# Automatic Story Generation: Challenges and Attempts

Amal Alabdulkarim \*, Siyan Li \*, Xiangyu Peng \* Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA 30332 {amal, lisiyansylvia, xpeng62}@gatech.edu

#### Abstract

Automated storytelling has long captured the attention of researchers for the ubiquity of narratives in everyday life. The best humancrafted stories exhibit coherent plot, strong characters, and adherence to genres, attributes that current states-of-the-art still struggle to produce, even using transformer architectures. In this paper, we analyze works in story generation that utilize machine learning approaches to (1) address story generation controllability, (2) incorporate commonsense knowledge, (3) infer reasonable character actions and (4) generate creative language.

# **1** Introduction and Motivation

Storytelling is central to human communication. People use stories to communicate effectively with one another. As humans, we engage with well-told stories and comprehend more information from stories (Suzuki et al., 2018). However, when it comes to automatic storytelling, computers still have a long way to go. The field of automated story generation, or computational narrative, has received more attention because of recent technological enhancements. The importance of computational narrative is that it can improve human interaction with intelligent systems. Storytelling helps computers communicate with humans (Riedl, 2016), and automated story generation drives improvements in natural language processing. Computational narrative research involves story understanding, story representation, and story generation. In this survey, we will focus on the story generation capabilities of computational systems.

Many surveys were written on different facets of computational storytelling. (Gervás, 2009) provides a chronological summary of storytelling systems focusing on computational creativity, measured using metrics including the stories' novelty

Past survey papers focused primarily on story generation using specific approaches or on specific sub-problems in story generation. For example, (Kybartas and Bidarra, 2017) summarizes progress in the areas of plot and space generation without much discussion around neural language models. (Hou et al., 2019) examine different deep learning models used in story generation and categorize them by their goals. However, there is still motivation to organize a survey in a different manner. The process of automatically generating a logically-coherent and interesting narrative is complex. Therefore, it might be more beneficial detailing the major problems present in the field and techniques used to address them rather than summarizing different types of models. For people who are new in the field, our survey should serve as a decent starting point for conducting innovative research in the field.

Some of the survey papers, albeit comprehensive, do not include the latest development in story generation because of transformers. (Riedl and Bulitko, 2013) chronicles interactive narrative prior to 2013, yet the discussed approaches do not include large-scale neural language models, which we have access to now and has been fueling new research in

and the users' involvement in the storytelling process. (Riedl and Bulitko, 2013) focuses on interactive intelligence, a digital interactive storytelling experience where users interact with the computational system to build storylines. The survey paper touches on generating narrative structures and character building. (Riedl, 2016) discusses humancentered computational narrative and how it can improve artificial intelligence applications. The paper shed some light on machine learning challenges concerned with story generation and commonsense reasoning. Nevertheless, it does not go into these challenges in-depth as it is not its primary focus point.

<sup>\*</sup> Equal contributions

the field. Another example would be the paper by (Gervás, 2009), where the author comments on storytelling systems and different evaluation criteria for creativity; similarly, all of the systems consist of planning and no neural approaches.

We acknowledge that more survey papers exist with different areas of focus within the domain of computational narratives, such as Narrative theories (Cavazza and Pizzi, 2006), Interactive Intelligence (Luo et al., 2015), Drama Management (Roberts and Isbell, 2008), Plan-based story generation (Young et al., 2013).

It has been demonstrated that the field of automated story generation has a gap in up-to-date survey papers. Our paper, by laying out all the prominent research problems in story generation and previous literature addressing these issues, will fill this gap.

The scope of this survey paper is to explore the challenges in automatic story generation. We hope to contribute in the following ways:

- 1. Explore how previous research in story generation addressed those challenges.
- 2. Discuss future research directions and new technologies that may aid more advancements.
- 3. Shed light on emerging and often overlooked challenges such as creativity and discourse.

There are several important background concepts crucial to understanding the problem of story generation. Automated story generation is a process involving the use of computer systems to create written stories, often involving artificial intelligence (AI). Story generation requires story understanding and representation, which are usually handled by natural language processing. Hence, the first concentration in this paper is content encoding and comprehension. A system is conventionally defined as capable of story comprehension if it, given a textual story, can read and answer questions about it (Lehnert et al., 1983; Reeves, 1991). Recently, state-of-the-art neural text generation models (such as GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019)), are used to generate stories. These models are trained on the WebText corpus, a collection of texts scraped from the internet. Hence, the key challenge of applying these language models to story generation is to ensure that the generated story remains on topic and maintains entity and event consistencies. In our paper, we consider the following two

concepts as crucial starting points: Controllability – having human inputs influence the generation results (Section 2.1), and commonsense – narrative systems with pre-existing knowledge that would help generate coherent stories (Section 2.2).

# 2 Method

# 2.1 Controllability in Story Generation

The controllability problem in story generation is the user input's ability to influence the generation results. Such influence often takes the form of a plot the user wishes the system to adhere to when producing a new narrative. Controlling story generation is a significant challenge that gained more attention in the last few years due to the limitations of neural-based story generation approaches. Most modern story generators use Neural based techniques that need little to no manual modeling to generate stories. Neural based models solve the lack of novelty issues found in the symbolic systems due to their unstructured generation. Yet, this advance comes at the cost of less controllability and plot coherence. In this section, we shed light on a few approaches to the problem of controllability, discuss their strengths and weaknesses, and compare their methodologies.

Reinforcement Learning. (Tambwekar et al., 2019) aimed at controlling the story plot by controlling its ending and events order. They proposed a deep reinforce approach to controlled story generation with a reward shaping technique to optimize the pre-trained sequence to sequence model in (Martin et al., 2017). Their reward function encompasses two main parts, the distance to the goal verb and the story verb frequency. They evaluated their model on plot coherence and goal achievement, length, and perplexity. Their method was better than their base model alone. However, this approach requires training the model for every new goal, which can be inconvenient for the users. Another drawback to this model is it uses the sequence to sequence model in (Martin et al., 2017), which generates stories as sequences of objects encapsulating the sentence components (verb and subject) that require translation to full sentences.

**Model Fusion**. (Fan et al., 2018) attempts to solving the plot controllability problem by dividing the generation process into two levels of hierarchy a premise and a story. The premise provides an overall sketch of the story, which was utilized to write the story. This fusion model combines a con-

volutional sequence to sequence model with a selfattention mechanism to improve generated story quality. A convolutional network first generates a writing prompt which then, becomes the input to the sequence to sequence model and guide it in generating a story conditioned on the prompt. Their model was superior in both human evaluations and perplexity scores than a traditional sequence to sequence method. Conditioning on the generated premise makes the generated story plot consistent and has an improved long-term dependency. Overall, this approach improves the shortcomings of the previous work by writing the stories directly and being conditioned for different prompts without retraining. Yet this model also has its limitations. First, it relies heavily on random sampling for the generation, which is prone to errors. Second, it suffers from text repetition in the generated stories. Lastly, the generated prompts are generic and less interesting than human written writing prompts, which often generates boring stories.

Plan and Write. (Yao et al., 2019) proposed the Plan-and-write story generation framework. The authors leveraged some of the characteristics of symbolic planning and integrated it into a neural system. Their work improves the previous literature in that it uses the titles to generate controlled storylines rather than the auto-generated writing prompts directly. They utilize storyline planning to improve the generated stories' quality and coherence and thus control the generation. They explore several story planning strategies to see their effect on story generation. This framework takes as an input the title of the story and then generates a storyline. The storyline and the title are then used as input to control the story generation in a sequence to sequence model. They also proposed two metrics to evaluate their model, inter-story repetition, and intra-story repetition. The evaluations showed that the model is more superior to the used conditional language model baselines. Those evaluations also showed that the model suffers from several major problems: repetition, going off-topic, and logical inconsistencies. It also utilizes a sequential language model to approximate the story plot, which simplifies the structure and depth of a good story plot, suggesting that generating coherent and logical story plots is still far from being solved.

**Generation by Interpolation**. (Wang et al., 2020) introduced a generation-by-interpolation story generation model. While previously intro-

duced methods require minimal human input, they still suffer from logical inconsistencies and offtopic wandering. The generation by interpolation model is designed to overcome these challenges. It is an ending-guided model that is better than storyline-guided models because, in the storylineguided, the model can easily be misled by a very general prompt. In contrast, an ending-guided model can use a single ending sentence to develop a good story plot. Their ending-guided method centers on conditioning the generation on the first and last sentences of the story. Where a GPT-2 model (Radford et al., 2019) generates several candidates for a storyline, and then these candidates are ranked based on their coherence scores using a RoBERTa model(Liu et al., 2019). Then the sentence with the highest coherence with the first and last sentence is chosen and then generated. Their evaluations demonstrate the informativeness of the ending guide and the effectiveness of the coherence ranking approach. The generated stories were of higher quality and better coherence than previous state-of-the-art models. The model's human evaluations suggested that good stories' assessment needs better and deeper evaluation metrics to match how humans define an excellent story, for example, measuring how the organization of events and characters can constitute better narratives. Lastly, using a transformer-language-model-based system improved the model's coherence and repetition. However, it showed that it could not manage commonsense inference beyond a small extend and thus established the need to integrate more human knowledge into the model.

Plot Machines. (Rashkin et al., 2020) proposed a transformer-language-model-based system that generates multi-paragraph stories conditioned on specified outlines for these stories. This model shows improvements in the narrative over the previous work. The approach utilizes memory state tracking and discourse structures to better control the generated story plot and keep track of the generated lines to maintain the coherence. The outlines are represented with an unordered list of high-level, multi-word descriptions of events occurring in the story. At every step, the model generates based on the representation of the given outline, the highlevel discourse representation, the preceding story context, and the previous memory. Discourse representation is an encoding of the type of paragraph the current paragraph is, including introduction

 $(\_i\_)$ , body  $(\_b\_)$ , and conclusion  $(\_c\_)$ , which is appended to the outline representations at every time step. The preceding story context is the same as the hidden state vectors output by the transformer's attention blocks upon feeding generated sentences into a static GPT-2 model. Finally, the memory is a concatenated vector containing both the generated tokens and an encoded state of the story. When evaluated based on human preferences, the proposed system outperforms baseline models, including Fusion (Radford et al., 2018), GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019), and Grover (Zellers et al., 2019) in metrics measuring logical ordering, narrative flow, and the level of repetitiveness. In PlotMachines, the conditioning of generation depended on a general outline that includes events and phrases for ease of extraction. Even with the better performance in PlotMachines, the stories can benefit from incorporating a comprehensive plot outline such as the output of an event-based planning system that can improve the generated stories' depth and interestingness.

Narrative controllability is still an open challenge for automatic story generation. Albeit being an active research area in natural language generation, we can attribute some of its problems to the new technologies that were essentially used to improve it, which manifested after introducing neural-based systems to story generation models. As summarized in table 1 in appendix A, narrative controllability approaches are typically endingfocused or storyline-focused. In the ending focused, the goal is to generate a story with a specific desired ending. An example of these such systems are (Tambwekar et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). Whereas in the storyline focused, the generated stories would follow an outline of the plot. (Rashkin et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2018) are examples of such systems. Both approaches reflect different controllability goals which needs to be addressed when comparing generation systems. We also notice a shift from Seq2Seq models (Tambwekar et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2019) to transformer based architecture in newer models (Rashkin et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020).

After examining those solutions we notice that there are three main challenges that needs to be solved. First, rigid controls lead to low creativity and interestingness. Second, the evaluation metrics for the controllability of automatic story generation systems are neither sufficient nor unified, making it harder to evaluate and compare systems. Third, despite the controls added to the generation process, we still need to improve the coherence and logical plot generation. Those challenges are an open invitation for more research in controllability.

# 2.2 Commonsense Knowledge in Story Generation

Commonsense is regarded obvious to most humans(Cambria et al., 2011), and comprises shared knowledge about how the world works (Nunberg, 1987). Commonsense serves as a deep understanding of language. Two major bottlenecks here are how to acquire commonsense knowledge and incorporate it into state-of-the-art story-telling generation systems.

# 2.2.1 Benchmarks

Before integrating commonsense knowledge into neural language models, the models often are trained on commonsense knowledge bases, datasets containing information detailing well-known facts or causal relationships. We will first introduce these benchmarks, which target commonsense.

**ConceptNet.** ConceptNet by Speer et al. (2017) is a large semantic knowledge graph that connects words and phrases of natural language with labeled edges, describing general human knowledge and how it is expressed in natural language. The data is in form of triples of their start node, relation label, and end node. For example, the assertion that "a dog has a tail" can be represented as (dog, HasA, tail). It lays the foundation of incorporating real-world knowledge into a variety of AI projects and applications. What's more, many new benchmarks extract from ConceptNet and serve other utilities.

**CommonsenseQA**. CommonsenseQA by (Talmor et al., 2019) is a benchmark extracting from ConceptNet's multiple target concepts, which have the same semantic relation, to a single source concept. It provides a challenging new dataset for commonsense question answering. Each question requires one to disambiguate a target concept from three connected concepts in ConceptNet. The best pre-trained LM tuned on question answering, can only get 55.9% accuracy on CommonsenseQA, possessing important challenge for incorporating commonsense into large language model.

**ATOMIC**. (Sap et al., 2019a) presented ATlas Of MachIne Commonsense (ATOMIC), an atlas for commonsense knowledge with 877K textual descriptions of nine different types *If-then* relations. Instead of capturing general commonsense knowledge like ConceptNet, ATOMIC focuses on sequences of events and the social commonsense relating to them. The purpose of the dataset is to allow neural networks abstract commonsense inferences and make predictions on previously unseen events. The dataset is in the form of <event, relation, event> and is organized into nine categories such as xIntent (PersonX's intention) and xEffect (effect on PersonX). For instance, "PersonX makes PersonY a birthday cake xEffect PersonX gets thanked".

**GLUCOSE**. ATOMIC is person centric, hence it can not be used in sentences describing events. Mostafazadeh et al. (2020) constructs GLUCOSE (GeneraLized and COntextualized Story Explanations), a large-scale dataset of implicit commonsense causal knowledge, which sentences can describe any event/state. Each GLUCOSE entry is organized into a story-specific causal statement paired with an inference rule generalized from the statement. Given a short story and a sentence X in the story, GLUCOSE captures ten dimensions of causal explanations related to X. GLUCOSE shares the same purpose with ATOMIC.

**SocialIQA**. SocialIQA(Sap et al., 2019b) is the a large-scale benchmark for commonsense reasoning about social situations, which provides 38k multiple choice questions. Each question consists of a brief context, a question about the context, and three answer options. It covers various types of inference about people's actions being described in situational contexts. The purpose of SocialIQA is to reason about social situations.

There are also many other benchmarks involved in commonsense domain. **MCScript**(Ostermann et al., 2018) provides narrative texts and questions, collected based on script scenarios.**OpenBookQA**(Mihaylov et al., 2018) is a question answering dataset, modeled after open book exams for assessing human understanding of a subject. **Cosmos QA**(Huang et al., 2019) provides 35k problems with multiple-choice, which require commonsense-based reading comprehension.

What's more, technique of generating commonsense datasets are also developed. For example, Davison et al. (2019) proposed a method for generating commonsense knowledge by transforming relational triples into masked sentences, and then using a large, pre-trained bidirectional language model to rank a triple's validity by the estimated pointwise mutual information between the two entities. Schwartz et al. (2017) and Trinh and Le (2018) demonstrate a similar approach to using language models for tasks requiring commonsense, such as the Story Cloze Task and the Winograd Schema Challenge, respectively (Mostafazadeh et al., 2016; Levesque et al., 2012).

#### 2.2.2 Frameworks

Three ways of applying these benchmarks on commonsense story generation are (1) fine-tuning pretrained language models (LM) on commonsense benchmarks, (2) perceptions of causality after generating stories, and (3) incorporating benchmarks into language models encoding.

An intuition is to utilize commonsense knowledge is to train language model on commonsense datasets. Yang et al. (2019) integrates external commonsense knowledge to BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) to enhance language representation for reading comprehension. Guan et al. (2020) fine-tuned GPT-2(Radford et al., 2019) on on knowledgeaugmented data, ATOMIC and ConceptNet, for a better performance for commonsense story generation. They firstly transform ConceptNet and ATOMIC into readable natural language sentences and then post-trained on these transformed sentences by minimizing the negative likelihood of predicting the next token. Mao et al. (2019) and (Guan et al., 2020) also fine-tuned GPT-2 on Concept-Net and the BookCorpus(Kiros et al., 2015). They achieve a less perplexity and higher BLEU score, however, these knowledge-enhanced pre-training model for commonsense story generation are still far from generating stories with long-range coherence.

Instead of directly training language models on commonsense datasets, which improves LM's logicality and grammaticality, an alternative of incorporating commonsense into language model is to analyze perceptions of causality or overall story quality.

(Bosselut et al., 2019) extended upon the work ATOMIC by Sap et al. (2019a) and ConceptNet by Speer et al. (2017) and trained a GPT model (Radford et al., 2018) on commonsense knowledge tuples, in the format of <phrase subject, relationship, phrase object>. The resulting model, **COMeT**, is capable of generating new commonsense triples on novel phrases. With this feature, automatic generated story can be evaluated easily. The model has been proven to be efficient in learning commonsense knowledge tuples, as in humans deem most COMeT-generated triples from novel phrases to be correct. It provides a easy way of making inferece on generated text. However, it is Sentence-level Commonsense inferences, which is only able to deal with short sentences, within 18 tokens. Story generation is usually in need of a paragraph-level commonsense inference because combining with context, the inference could be completely different.

In order to incorporates paragraph-level information to generate coherent commonsense inferences from narratives, Gabriel et al. (2020) proposed a discourse-aware model PARA-COMeT. PARA-COMeT firstly created commonsense datasets by (1) using COMeT to provides inference on sentences in ROCStories corpus (Mostafazadeh et al., 2016) and (2) transform inference into natural language by human-written templates, (3) then filter out those with low coherence with narrative. PARA-COMeT consists of (1) a memory-less model, focusing on extracting semantic knowledge from the context, and (2) a model augmented with recurrent memory, used for incorporating episodic knowledge. Compared with COMeT, PARA-COMeT demonstrated the effectiveness of generating more implicit and novel discourse-aware inferences in paragraph level.

Ammanabrolu et al. (2020) also developed proposed Causal, Commonsense Pot Ordering(CCPO) on COMeT. CCPO establishs plot points by (1) extracting all the coreference clusters from a given textual story plot using a pre-trained neural coreference resolution model(Clark and Manning, 2016), and (2)extract a set of jsubject, relation, object; triples from the story text using OpenIE(Angeli et al., 2015). Then a plot graph between each two plot points is generated by keep recursively querying commonsense inference on these two plot points. The automatic story is generated based on the plot graphs. CCPO successfully improves perceptions of local and global coherence in terms of causality, however its performance is restricted by commonsense inference models.

Another common method is incorporating commonsense knowledge graph into the model encoding process. Guan et al. (2019) incorporates commonsense knowledge graph by applying features from ConceptNet(Speer et al., 2017) and graph attention(Veličković et al., 2018) on building knowledge context vectors to represent the graph. They significantly improve the ability of neural networks to predict the end of a story. Mihaylov and Frank (2018) also incorporate external commonsense knowledge into a neural cloze-style reading comprehension model.

# 2.3 Other Challenges in Story Generation

There are issues in the story generation field that are yet to be heavily researched upon. The current emphasis of mainstream story generation research is to produce narratives with reasonable structures and plots and less on the cherries on top: fascinating and driven characters, consistent styles, and creative language and plot. Some researchers have ventured potential approaches to these currently outstanding problems, as detailed below.

#### 2.3.1 Characters and Entities

How characters are motivated and interact with each other influence the progression of a story. Different approaches have been taken to model how focusing on characters can produce higher-quality generated narratives, some from the perspective of character affect, and some from entity representation in narrative generation.

ENGEN (Clark et al., 2018) presented an entitybased generation model ENGEN, which produces narratives relying on: (1) the current sentence; (2) the previous sentence, encoded by a Seq2Seq model (S2SA); (3) dynamic, up-to-date representations of all the entities in the narrative. The entity representation vectors are based on EntityNLM (Ji et al., 2017), and the vectors are updated every time their corresponding entities are mentioned. The model was evaluated on a series of tasks, including a novel mention generation task, where the model fills a slot with all previous mentions of entities, including coreferences. Similarly, the automated sentence selection task examines ENGEN's ability to distinguish between the ground truth continuation sentence and a distraction sentence. ENGEN is able to out-perform both S2SA and EntityNLM for these tasks. Another task involved Mechanical Turk workers reading sentences generated by both ENGEN and S2SA on the same prompts and deciding which continuation is more fluent. Out of the 50 prompt passages, Turkers preferred the EN-GEN stories for 27 of them, and S2SA for the rest 23, although most of the human evaluations yield similar results between the two models. Incorporating character or entity information into the context for generation can improve model performance on

some automated and human-evaluated tasks. The authors contended that this design improves the fluency of the generated texts. However, the lengths of the generated segments for the human-evaluation task are very short, usually fragments of sentences. Therefore, it is unlikely that these generated texts help propel the plot. Furthermore, the paper does not indicate how the entity representations model character interactions and how these interactions contribute to the stories.

Using Character Affinities A dive into character interactions in particular is detailed in (Méndez et al., 2016), where the authors attempted to model character interactions using numerical affinity values. Character relationships are categorized into four types: foe (lowest affinity), indifferent (medium affinity), friend (higher affinity), and mate (highest affinity). The system consists of a Director Agent, which sets up the environment for interactions to occur, and a set of Character Agents, each representing a character. The authors defines that each Character Agent interacts with the character's foes, friends, and mates. Actions pertinent to different interactions are templated using defined interaction protocols and are relatively restricted in terms of scope. These actions are independent and can be added upon each other to alter the affinity values. The primary parameter of concern in this model is the affinity between characters, a factor related to character emotions. Although this modeling approach has been suggested for narrative generation, the authors did not provide examples of stories generated using this character affinity model. Instead, the authors presented affinity changes for different Character Agents in the story to illustrate how different affinity threshold values for foe interactions affect the affinity evolution in the narratives. The model might be considered useful for modeling character interactions, yet the effect affinity changes have on the story plot remains unclear.

**EC-CLF** (Brahman and Chaturvedi, 2020) proposed a method for story generation conditioned on emotion arc of the protagonist by using reinforcement learning to train a GPT-2 model. The authors suggested two emotion consistency rewards: EC-EM and EC-CLF. EC-EM calculates how well the generated story aligns with the given arc using character reaction inferences from COMET (Bosselut et al., 2019); it is a modified Levensthtein distance that considers the cosine similarities between words from the given arc and the COMET

inferences. EC-CLF, on the other hand, involves training a BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) classifier to identify the emotion in the generated sentences; the reward value is the probability of the desired emotions throughout the narrative from the classifier head. For human-evaluated tasks such as assessing emotion faithfulness and content quality, RL-CLF (GPT-2 trained with EC-CLF reward) outperformed baselines including GPT-2 trained with the emotion arc as an additional input to the narrative examples (EmoSup) and GPT-2 trained on the reward function EC-EM. This work augmented current state-of-the-art models with the ability to generate narratives with the protagonist's emotion changes following a specified emotion arc. It is an example of how character emotions can be used to inform story progression and improve narrative quality. Despite the enhancement of generation quality, the model still only focuses on one character instead of interactions between characters.

SRL + Entity (Fan et al., 2019) generated action-driven narratives by adapting the following pipeline: (1) based on the prompt given, produce an action plan with where all entities are represented with placeholder tags; (2) create an entityanonymized story from the action plan; (3) output the full story after replacing the anonymized, generalized entities with natural language entities. Every entry in the action sequence consists of a predicate, which is a verb, and a series of arguments, which are the entities involved in the action. This representation allows models to learn more in-depth and generalizable relationships between different verbs and characters. A convolutional Seq2Seq model is trained on the prompts from the WRITINGPROMPTS dataset (Fan et al., 2018) and their corresponding action sequences. The network has an attention head dedicated to past verbs to improve verb diversity in generations.Human preference studies showed that the novel model generated more coherent narratives than the Fusion model from (Fan et al., 2018); additionally, the new model had more diversity in the generated verbs. The technique of abstraction and generalization can be proven useful in the story generation process, since abstractions reveal more widely-applicable rules in storytelling. Again, it is not clear if character interactions are implicitly learned by the models in this work, therefore further investigation would be required to determine if this work is suitable for multi-agent narrative generation.

In this section, we examine four works in the sub-field of character and entity-focused automated narrative generation. Generally, representing entities in certain format can improve the quality of the plotline, and character emotions can help inform the story generation process. Interactions between multiple characters are currently not the focus of the field, but it should be for potential future research.

# 2.3.2 Creativity

Creativity in human-authored narratives manifests in ways including figures of speech, character traits, and the environment for the narrative to occur in. (Martin et al., 2016) developed a system for improvisational interactive storytelling based on a plot graph as a general guideline for the generated storyline. Recent introduction to transformer-based language models has inspired people generating novel contents using these language models <sup>1</sup>, including using GPT-2 to generate fantasy descriptions with explicit subjects and weblinks (Austin, 2019). Nonetheless, there has still not been much specific research into further improving the creativity of transformer-based language models.

# **3** Conclusion and Future Work

This survey discussed several directions in automatic story generation research and their respective challenges, and summarized research attempts at solving them. The research in automatic story generation is far from done. With automated story generation, such challenges include controlling the story content, commonsense knowledge, inferring reasonable character actions, and creativity. This survey provides a dive into some of these active research problems.

In Section 2.1, we summarized a few approaches addressing the problem of story generation controllability. We noticed that the papers we reviewed shared one of two goals, either controlling the story outline or controlling the story ending. We also observed an emerging trend towards using transformer-based language models for story generation.

In Section 2.2, we introduced methods to incorporate commonsense knowledge into story generation systems and frameworks with such integrated commonsense knowledge. Frequent approaches include: (1) Fine-tuning on commonsense datasets, (2) analyzing perceptions of causality and (3) incorporating commonsense knowledge graph into encoders. These methods are able to increase the overall story quality. However, no methods can ensure the generation of reasonable and coherent stories. One potential path to major improvements in this area would be to combine all of these different approaches.

In Section 2.3, we provided insight into some less-researched areas at the moment, including characters in generated narratives and the creativity of generated stories. Incorporating representations of entities into the generation process seems to improve the coherence of the plot, and character affect can help navigate the generation space as well. Extending the work in character affect from single character to multi characters can perhaps further enhance the generated narratives. There has not been much emphasis on the creativity of generated texts.

Additionally, we highlight a few future research problems that are worth exploring:

- 1. In the controllability systems we examined, we noticed that the stories become less interesting when the generation process is more controlled. There is a trade-off between narrative creativity and structural coherence of narratives.
- 2. The evaluation metrics used are generally the metrics used for other natural language generation tasks such as BLEU, perplexity, and ROUGE. Those metrics are weak and do not perform well for this task. Moreover, the story generation domain needs different metrics to capture story-specific characteristics. Such as measures for creativity and interestingness. Besides, there is a need to develop more robust and unified metrics to facilitate comparisons between systems.
- The problems of plot incoherence and illogical plot generation are far from being solved. Both are still very active research areas and can be an interesting future research direction.
- 4. Instead of sentence-level and paragraph-level commonsense inference, a story-level commonsense inference could increase the accuracy of inference and provides a better tool for generating a more logic coherent story.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://www.gwern.net/GPT-3

# References

- Prithviraj Ammanabrolu, Wesley Cheung, William Broniec, and Mark O Riedl. 2020. Automated storytelling via causal, commonsense plot ordering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.00829*.
- Gabor Angeli, Melvin Jose Johnson Premkumar, and Christopher D Manning. 2015. Leveraging linguistic structure for open domain information extraction. In Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 344–354.
- John Austin. 2019. The book of endless history: Authorial use of gpt2 for interactive storytelling. In *International Conference on Interactive Digital Storytelling*, pages 429–432. Springer.
- Antoine Bosselut, Hannah Rashkin, Maarten Sap, Chaitanya Malaviya, Asli Celikyilmaz, and Yejin Choi. 2019. Comet: Commonsense transformers for automatic knowledge graph construction. pages 4762– 4779.
- Faeze Brahman and Snigdha Chaturvedi. 2020. Modeling protagonist emotions for emotion-aware storytelling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.06822*.
- Erik Cambria, Yangqiu Song, Haixun Wang, and Amir Hussain. 2011. Isanette: A common and common sense knowledge base for opinion mining. In 2011 IEEE 11th International Conference on Data Mining Workshops, pages 315–322. IEEE.
- Marc Cavazza and David Pizzi. 2006. Narratology for Interactive Storytelling: A Critical Introduction. In *Technologies for Interactive Digital Storytelling and Entertainment*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 72–83, Berlin, Heidelberg. Springer.
- Elizabeth Clark, Yangfeng Ji, and Noah A. Smith. 2018. Neural text generation in stories using entity representations as context. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 2250–2260, New Orleans, Louisiana. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Kevin Clark and Christopher D Manning. 2016. Deep reinforcement learning for mention-ranking coreference models. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2256–2262.
- Joe Davison, Joshua Feldman, and Alexander M Rush. 2019. Commonsense knowledge mining from pretrained models. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 1173–1178.

- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171–4186.
- Angela Fan, Mike Lewis, and Yann Dauphin. 2018. Hierarchical neural story generation. pages 889–898.
- Angela Fan, Mike Lewis, and Yann Dauphin. 2019. Strategies for structuring story generation. In Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 2650– 2660.
- Saadia Gabriel, Chandra Bhagavatula, Vered Shwartz, Ronan Le Bras, Maxwell Forbes, and Yejin Choi. 2020. Paragraph-level commonsense transformers with recurrent memory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.01486*.
- Pablo Gervás. 2009. Computational approaches to storytelling and creativity. *AI Magazine*, 30(3):49–49.
- Jian Guan, Fei Huang, Zhihao Zhao, Xiaoyan Zhu, and Minlie Huang. 2020. A knowledge-enhanced pretraining model for commonsense story generation. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8:93–108.
- Jian Guan, Yansen Wang, and Minlie Huang. 2019. Story ending generation with incremental encoding and commonsense knowledge. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 6473–6480.
- Chenglong Hou, Chensong Zhou, Kun Zhou, Jinan Sun, and Sisi Xuanyuan. 2019. A survey of deep learning applied to story generation. In *Smart Computing and Communication*, pages 1–10, Cham. Springer International Publishing.
- Lifu Huang, Ronan Le Bras, Chandra Bhagavatula, and Yejin Choi. 2019. Cosmos qa: Machine reading comprehension with contextual commonsense reasoning. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 2391–2401.
- Yangfeng Ji, Chenhao Tan, Sebastian Martschat, Yejin Choi, and Noah A Smith. 2017. Dynamic entity representations in neural language models. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 1830–1839.
- Ryan Kiros, Yukun Zhu, Russ R Salakhutdinov, Richard Zemel, Raquel Urtasun, Antonio Torralba, and Sanja Fidler. 2015. Skip-thought vectors. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 3294–3302.

- B. Kybartas and R. Bidarra. 2017. A survey on story generation techniques for authoring computational narratives. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Intelligence and AI in Games*, 9(3):239–253.
- Wendy G Lehnert, Michael G Dyer, Peter N Johnson, CJ Yang, and Steve Harley. 1983. Boris—an experiment in in-depth understanding of narratives. *Artificial intelligence*, 20(1):15–62.
- Hector Levesque, Ernest Davis, and Leora Morgenstern. 2012. The winograd schema challenge. In *Thirteenth International Conference on the Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning*. Citeseer.
- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692.
- Linbo Luo, Wentong Cai, Suiping Zhou, Michael Lees, and Haiyan Yin. 2015. A review of interactive narrative systems and technologies: a training perspective. *SIMULATION*, 91(2):126–147. Publisher: SAGE Publications Ltd STM.
- Huanru Henry Mao, Bodhisattwa Prasad Majumder, Julian McAuley, and Garrison Cottrell. 2019. Improving neural story generation by targeted common sense grounding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 5990–5995.
- Lara J Martin, Prithviraj Ammanabrolu, Xinyu Wang, William Hancock, Shruti Singh, Brent Harrison, and Mark O Riedl. 2017. Event representations for automated story generation with deep neural nets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.01331*.
- Lara J. Martin, Brent Harrison, and Mark O. Riedl. 2016. Improvisational computational storytelling in open worlds. In *Interactive Storytelling*, pages 73– 84, Cham. Springer International Publishing.
- Gonzalo Méndez, Pablo Gervás, and Carlos León. 2016. On the use of character affinities for story plot generation. In *Knowledge, Information and Creativity Support Systems*, pages 211–225. Springer.
- Todor Mihaylov, Peter Clark, Tushar Khot, and Ashish Sabharwal. 2018. Can a suit of armor conduct electricity? a new dataset for open book question answering. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2381–2391.
- Todor Mihaylov and Anette Frank. 2018. Knowledgeable reader: Enhancing cloze-style reading comprehension with external commonsense knowledge. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 821–832.

- Nasrin Mostafazadeh, Nathanael Chambers, Xiaodong He, Devi Parikh, Dhruv Batra, Lucy Vanderwende, Pushmeet Kohli, and James Allen. 2016. A corpus and evaluation framework for deeper understanding of commonsense stories. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.01696*.
- Nasrin Mostafazadeh, Aditya Kalyanpur, Lori Moon, David Buchanan, Lauren Berkowitz, Or Biran, and Jennifer Chu-Carroll. 2020. Glucose: Generalized and contextualized story explanations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.07758*.
- Geoffrey Nunberg. 1987. Position paper on commonsense and formal semantics. In *Theoretical Issues in Natural Language Processing 3*.
- Simon Ostermann, Ashutosh Modi, Michael Roth, Stefan Thater, and Manfred Pinkal. 2018. Mcscript: A novel dataset for assessing machine comprehension using script knowledge. In Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2018).
- Alec Radford, Karthik Narasimhan, Tim Salimans, and Ilya Sutskever. 2018. Improving language understanding by generative pre-training.
- Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI Blog*, 1(8).
- Hannah Rashkin, Asli Celikyilmaz, Yejin Choi, and Jianfeng Gao. 2020. Plotmachines: Outlineconditioned generation with dynamic plot state tracking. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.14967.
- John Fairbanks Reeves. 1991. Computational morality: A process model of belief conflict and resolution for story understanding.
- Mark O. Riedl. 2016. Computational Narrative Intelligence: A Human-Centered Goal for Artificial Intelligence. arXiv:1602.06484 [cs]. ArXiv: 1602.06484.
- Mark Owen Riedl and Vadim Bulitko. 2013. Interactive narrative: An intelligent systems approach. *Ai Magazine*, 34(1):67–67.
- David L Roberts and Charles L Isbell. 2008. A Survey and Qualitative Analysis of Recent Advances in Drama Management. page 15.
- Maarten Sap, Ronan Le Bras, Emily Allaway, Chandra Bhagavatula, Nicholas Lourie, Hannah Rashkin, Brendan Roof, Noah A Smith, and Yejin Choi. 2019a. Atomic: An atlas of machine commonsense for if-then reasoning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 3027–3035.
- Maarten Sap, Hannah Rashkin, Derek Chen, Ronan Le Bras, and Yejin Choi. 2019b. Social iqa: Commonsense reasoning about social interactions. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical*

Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 4453– 4463.

- Roy Schwartz, Maarten Sap, Ioannis Konstas, Leila Zilles, Yejin Choi, and Noah A Smith. 2017. The effect of different writing tasks on linguistic style: A case study of the roc story cloze task. In *Proceedings of the 21st Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CoNLL 2017)*, pages 15–25.
- Robyn Speer, Joshua Chin, and Catherine Havasi. 2017. Conceptnet 5.5: an open multilingual graph of general knowledge. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 4444–4451.
- Wendy A. Suzuki, Mónica I. Feliú-Mójer, Uri Hasson, Rachel Yehuda, and Jean Mary Zarate. 2018. Dialogues: The Science and Power of Storytelling. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 38(44):9468–9470.
- Alon Talmor, Jonathan Herzig, Nicholas Lourie, and Jonathan Berant. 2019. Commonsenseqa: A question answering challenge targeting commonsense knowledge. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference* of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4149–4158.
- Pradyumna Tambwekar, Murtaza Dhuliawala, Lara J Martin, Animesh Mehta, Brent Harrison, and Mark O Riedl. 2019. Controllable neural story plot generation via reward shaping. pages 5982–5988.
- Trieu H Trinh and Quoc V Le. 2018. A simple method for commonsense reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.02847*.
- Petar Veličković, Guillem Cucurull, Arantxa Casanova, Adriana Romero, Pietro Liò, and Yoshua Bengio. 2018. Graph attention networks. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Su Wang, Greg Durrett, and Katrin Erk. 2020. Narrative interpolation for generating and understanding stories. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.07466*.
- An Yang, Quan Wang, Jing Liu, Kai Liu, Yajuan Lyu, Hua Wu, Qiaoqiao She, and Sujian Li. 2019. Enhancing pre-trained language representations with rich knowledge for machine reading comprehension. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2346–2357.
- Lili Yao, Nanyun Peng, Ralph Weischedel, Kevin Knight, Dongyan Zhao, and Rui Yan. 2019. Planand-Write: Towards Better Automatic Storytelling. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 33:7378–7385.

- R Michael Young, Stephen Ware, Brad Cassell, and Justus Robertson. 2013. Plans and Planning in Narrative Generation: A Review of Plan-Based Approaches to the Generation of Story, Dis- course and Interactivity in Narratives. page 24.
- Rowan Zellers, Ari Holtzman, Hannah Rashkin, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, Franziska Roesner, and Yejin Choi. 2019. Defending against neural fake news. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pages 9054–9065.

# A Controllability Approaches

	-		
Model/System	Architecture	Condition	Goal
Reinforcement Learning	Reinforcement Learning on a Seq2Seq	Goal Event	Generate a specific ending
	model		
Model Fusion	Generation on two levels: CNN to gen-	Generated Prompt	Generate with a storyline
	erate prompt, Seq2Seq to generate story		
	from prompt		
Plan and Write	Two Seq2Seq models for plot and story	Title	Generate with a storyline
	generation		
Generation by Interpolation	GPT-2 model for sentence generation	End sentence	Generate a specific ending
	and a RoBERTa coherence ranker		
Plot Machines	end-to-end trainable transformer built	Outline	Generate with a storyline
	on top of the GPT with memory repre-		
	sentation		

Table 1: Summary of controllability approaches