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Introduction

Argument mining (also known as “argumentation mining”) is a growing research area within computational linguistics. At its heart, argument mining involves the automatic identification of argumentative structures in free text, such as the conclusions, premises, and inference schemes of arguments, as well as their pro- and con-relations. To date, researchers have investigated argument mining in many genres, such as legal documents, product reviews, news articles, online debates, Wikipedia articles, essays, academic literature, tweets, and dialogues. In addition, argument quality assessment and generation are also important problems.Argument mining gives rise to various practical applications of great importance. In particular, it provides methods that can find and visualize the main pro and con arguments in written text and dialogue and that enable argument search on the web for a topic of interest. In educational contexts, argument mining can be applied to written and diagrammed arguments for instructing and assessing students’ critical thinking. In information retrieval, argument mining is expected to play a salient role in the emerging field of conversational search. Real-world applications include argument analysis in education, finance, law, public policy, and other social sciences, argument web search, opinion analysis in customer reviews, argument analysis in meetings, and scientific writing.

The community around ArgMining is constantly growing. This year’s edition of the workshop had 37 valid submissions (27 in 2017, 32 in 2018, 41 in 2019, 30 in 2020, and 39 in 2021). Out of the 37 submissions, 12 full papers, 3 short papers, and 3 shared task papers were accepted, resulting in an overall acceptance rate of 49%. All accepted papers are included in the proceedings at hand.

Given the duration of the workshop (1 day) and its format (hybrid), we decided to give all the authors the opportunity to present their work orally. Long papers had 15 min for the talk and Q&A and short papers had 12 min for the talk and Q&A. We were delighted to have Prof. Dr. Hans Hoeken from the Department of Languages, Literature, and Communication, Utrecht University as the keynote speaker, on Mining for Persuasive Ingredients: What’s the Right Mix.

The ArgMining 2022 workshop program also included a shared task on Predicting the Validity and Novelty of Arguments, chaired by Philipp Heinisch (University of Bielefeld), Philipp Cimiano (University of Bielefeld), Anette Frank (University of Heidelberg), and Juri Opitz (University of Heidelberg). A panel featured five domain experts from different domains: Laura Alonso Alemany (Universidad Nacional de Córdoba), Chung-Chi Chen (National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology), Beata Beigman Klebanov (Educational Testing Service), Joonsuk Park (University of Richmond), and Michael Yeomans (Imperial College London). A best paper award and scholarships were sponsored with thanks to NAVER and IBM. Awards are announced on the official workshop webpage: https://argmining-org.github.io/2022/index.html.
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