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Abstract  
The manner in which gender is portrayed in materials used to teach children conveys messages about people’s roles in society. In this paper, we measure the gendered depiction of central domains of social life in 100 years of highly influential children’s books. We make two main contributions: (1) we find that the portrayal of gender in these books reproduces traditional gender norms in society, and (2) we publish StoryWords 1.0, the first word embeddings trained on such a large body of children’s literature. We find that, relative to males, females are more likely to be represented in relation to their appearance than in relation to their competence; second, they are more likely to be represented in relation to their role in the family than their role in business. Finally, we find that non-binary or gender-fluid individuals are rarely mentioned. Our analysis advances understanding of the different messages contained in content commonly used to teach children, with immediate applications for practice, policy, and research.

1 Introduction  
Educators and parents use books to teach children messages about society, conduct, and the world. These messages may be encoded in how different identities are, and are not, represented. If there are systematically different associations between specific identities and depictions, such messages can shape how children view the roles that they themselves, as well as others, can occupy in society. In this paper, we apply Natural Language Processing (NLP) tools to analyze the gendered association of different attributes (e.g., traits, occupations, physical characteristics) to measure how females and males are portrayed in children’s books.1

To measure portrayal, we use word embeddings, a prediction-based method for analyzing the semantic meaning of words in context using high-dimensional vectors. We supplement our analysis by training a model to detect individual sentences containing stereotypes in order to gain a deeper understanding of the implicit and explicit messages conveyed to children by the books they read. This awareness can, in turn, also help inform content-selection decisions of educators and caregivers.

Messages about gender-specific abilities and roles may influence children’s beliefs and career paths (Leslie et al., 2015; Riley, 2017; Bian et al., 2017, 2018). Gender representation in children’s content has traditionally been measured by manual content analysis, in which one or multiple annotators slowly read through the text of written content to classify the messages within one or multiple dimensions (Neuendorf, 2016). The key advantage of this approach is that it is able to measure deep meaning in books; the main disadvantage is that it is highly labor-intensive, making it prohibitively costly to comprehensively characterize representation in large bodies of content, and requires a high degree of fidelity in the management and training of the coders (Krippendorff, 2018). It is difficult to avoid human biases in this type of traditional content analysis, though these biases are of course also baked into any content analysis, including computerized approaches (Buolamwini and Gebru, 2018).

Advances in computer-driven content analysis began to address these concerns through automation. Early efforts focused on a numerical accounting of words which represented different genders – such as counts of pronouns and the genders of named entities – and these counts were then baked into any content analysis, including computerized approaches (Buolamwini and Gebru, 2018; of a subset of books which center LGBTQIA+ experiences, we only found two characters with non-binary identities (0.37% of total characters). Because there would not be a sufficient sample size to estimate embeddings for this group, we limit our main analysis to females and males.
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*All the authors contributed equally to this work.
1As gender is not a binary construct, we wished to include characters who identified as non-binary or gender fluid, in addition to those who identified as female or male. In an analysis of a subset of books which center LGBTQIA+ experiences, we only found two characters with non-binary identities (0.37% of total characters). Because there would not be a sufficient sample size to estimate embeddings for this group, we limit our main analysis to females and males.
Gentzkow et al., 2019). Simple token counts, however, primarily capture superficial representation. If a female or male is frequently present but portrayed in a stereotypical or narrow manner, then the mere existence of representation will not only be insufficient but also possibly counterproductive.

In this paper, we address this gap by using word vectors to measure how different genders are depicted, vis-a-vis societal roles, in English-language, award-winning children’s books commonly found in schools and homes over the past century, complementing existing measurement of whether they appear (Adukia et al., 2022). This involves converting high-dimensional measures of the semantic meaning of words in text into one-dimensional measures of gender representation in children’s books. Our study makes two primary contributions:

(1) We apply established NLP tools to a policy-relevant body of text with clear implications for child development and education in order to understand how roles are differentially portrayed by gender (cf. Section 5). Specifically, we examine the gender associations of societal domains such as appearance vs. competence, family vs. business, and female vs. male professions.

(2) We release a word embeddings dataset trained on our sample of award-winning children’s books (named the StoryWords 1.0 dataset) so that other researchers can use these data (cf. Section 3).

How different identities are portrayed in these books has the potential to shape children’s beliefs about themselves as well as their beliefs about others, which affects their effort in school, future educational decisions, and later life outcomes. Our work also demonstrates how NLP tools can be used to measure the messages contained in bodies of text being considered for use in curricular settings. This has clear and immediate applications for both the practice of education and for research on the linkages between the content of books and on the educational outcomes of children exposed to them.

2 Related Work

External stimuli may have important influences in shaping beliefs, actions, and outcomes (Bian et al., 2017; Bordalo et al., 2017; Rodríguez-Planas and Nollenberger, 2018). For example, historical analysis of changes in textbooks using a quasi-experimental framework has shown that such changes shape both people’s preferences and their view of history (Fuchs-Schündeln and Masella, 2016; Cantoni et al., 2017). Less is known about the representation of identities in the content in these books and how these identities are depicted.

Recent work has attempted to address this question by estimating the frequency of female and male presence in stories. Research enumerating gender counts in children’s books shows inequality in how frequently females are present in the text relative to males over time regardless of the measure, for example, in gendered pronouns and in the gender of named characters (Adukia et al., 2022). While these findings are illustrative, they show only superficial representations and neglect to demonstrate whether the trend towards numeric equality is inclusive or rather one of an increased incidence of imbalanced representations. If the frequency of inclusion of underrepresented identities increases without a change in the underlying equity in the manner of representation, simple frequency-based measures might overstate the equity of representation in books that children are given.

Recent work has addressed how characters of different genders are portrayed. Xu et al. (2019) analyzes female characters’ emotional dependency on male characters in a collection of books, movie synopses and movie scripts. That study defines narratives in which a man serves as a woman’s path to a happy, fulfilling life as characterized by the ‘Cinderella complex’. Using pretrained word2vec models, they constructed a vector representing the dimension of happy vs. unhappy that was used for calculating the ‘happiness scores’ of words surrounding specific female and male characters. They first selected the movie synopsis of Cinderella; calculating happiness scores for it, the study shows that the happiness of Cinderella depends on the prince, but not vice versa. Further testing on different movie genres showed that the happiness of Cinderella depends on the prince, but not vice versa. Further testing on different movie genres showed that the happiness score of the female characters portrayed in the same context as male characters was higher than when the females were portrayed alone. They also find that male characters are more likely to be described using verbs, while female characters are more likely to be described using adjectives.

The data and associated code are available at: https://github.com/miielab/GenderEmbeddingsPaper
2.1 Gender in Language Models

Word embeddings have become one of the most used types of features in many NLP models and are widely used for a variety of downstream tasks. However, these word representations have been proven to reflect social biases (such as race and gender) inherited from data used to train them (Caliskan et al., 2017). To automatically quantify these biases, several fairness metrics (i.e., functions that measure the association degree between target and attribute words in a word embedding model) have been proposed in the past few years (Caliskan et al., 2017; Garg et al., 2018; Sweeney and Najafian, 2019; Ethayarajh et al., 2019; Dev and Phillips, 2019). More recently, researchers have started quantifying, analyzing and mitigating the gender bias exhibited by contextualized embeddings (Zhao et al., 2019; Kurita et al., 2019; Tan and Celis, 2019; Guo and Caliskan, 2021). Their results show that contextualized word models inherit human-like biases, which are then propagated to downstream tasks.

2.2 Gender Stereotypes in Social Sciences

Gender stereotypes are defined by the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) as "a generalised view or preconception about attributes, or characteristics that are or ought to be possessed by women and men or the roles that are or should be performed by men and women".

One significant consequence of gender stereotypes is the reinforcement of gender inequality; within this framework, agency (i.e., traits such as competence and independence) and communion (i.e., concerns about the welfare of others and relationship with them) are the core dimensions used to characterize gender stereotypes. Although biological attributes may impact a person’s behaviour and choice of occupational roles, research indicates that gender differences in beliefs about gender stereotypes develop over time, and that they are influenced by family, friends and education (Dhar et al., 2018; Eble and Hu, 2020, 2022). For example, one set of gender stereotypes posits that women are communal, kind and family oriented, whereas men are more agentic, skilled and work oriented (Ellemers, 2018).

In light of changes in the positions occupied by women in society, as well as the broadening of opportunities presented to women, Haines et al. (2016) characterize the extent to which gender stereotypes have changed between 1983 and 2014. In that study, participants assessed the likeliness of a set of gendered characteristics (e.g., traits, behaviours, occupations, physical characteristics) to belong to a typical man or woman, similar to the methods used by Deaux and Lewis (1984). The study assessed whether people’s beliefs changed over time in parallel with changes in society. They also measured whether these beliefs vary by age, measuring this for people from 19 to 73 years of age, as opposed to the college students studied in Deaux and Lewis (1984). Surprisingly, the authors find no indication of a substantial change in basic stereotypes over time in spite of many relevant societal changes.

Although widely studied in psychology, communication studies and social science (Allport et al., 1954; Crawford et al., 2002; Beike and Sherman, 2014; Biscarrat et al., 2016), in NLP, gender stereotypes have been studied mainly to detect or remove gender bias in word embeddings or word association graphs (Bolukbasi et al., 2016; Park et al., 2018; Madaan et al., 2018; Dev and Phillips, 2019; Du et al., 2019) as well as to identify disparity across gender in various applications like co-reference resolution (Zhao et al., 2018) and sentiment analysis (Felmlee et al., 2019). A notable exception is the work by Chiril et al. (2021) who use gender stereotypes detection as an auxiliary task to improve sexism classification.

3 Data

3.1 Primary Data: Children’s Books

School libraries and classrooms serve as major purveyors of sanctioned literary content for children. The books they offer are accompanied by an implicit state-sanctioned stamp-of-approval. These books are chosen because their content is perceived to be appropriate for children. They are often intended to transmit clear narratives about appropriate conduct, an account of important historical moments, or other, often identity-specific messages.

We draw from a set of children’s books written in English that are likely to be found in U.S. school libraries — namely, those that received awards administered or featured by the Association for Library Service to Children, a division of the American Library Association. Out of the 3,447 books that either won an award or received an honourable
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mention, we were able to collect and digitize a sample of 1,130 books using both library and online resources.

In order to understand whether representation differs depending on the focus of efforts to highlight different kinds of books, we divide these award-winning corpora into two collections: the Mainstream collection and the Diversity collection. Figure 1 shows the sample size of each collection by decade.

Mainstream Collection. The Mainstream collection comprises books that have received recognition through the Newbery or Caldecott Medals, the two oldest children’s book awards in the United States starting in the 1920s to present day. These books are selected for their perceived contribution to children’s literature and not popularity. Receipt of the award facilitates the book’s entry into the canon of U.S. children’s literature (Smith, 2013; Koss et al., 2018). These books are all in English, but are likely to be translated into other languages.

Diversity Collection. To examine how purposeful efforts to highlight typically excluded or marginalized identities perform, we draw from books likely to be placed on ‘diversity lists’ such as during Black History Month or Women’s History Month.\(^4\) Awards in this collection were first distributed in 1970, with a gradual rollout of different awards over the following decades.

We compare the estimates for the Mainstream and Diversity collections to examine whether intentional efforts to highlight underrepresented identities more equitably portray females and males compared to unintentional, ‘general’ efforts. We hypothesize that books which are recognized for highlighting one underrepresented identity may also highlight other underrepresented identities.

3.2 Data Collection and Pre-processing

We use Google Vision Optical Character Recognition (OCR) to extract text from scanned pages of each children’s book.\(^5\) Note that this process is restricted to the conversion of scanned text into ASCII characters. A manual error analysis on a random sample of 10 children’s books shows that the average Word Error Rate (WER) of the text extracted using OCR was 2.62%. Since our sample of children’s books contains many illustrations, most of the error can be attributed to random characters added to the extracted text when the OCR software mistook a shape in an illustration as an ASCII character.

Once the text is extracted, we pre-process the data to reduce variability and noise. We first divide each award corpus into sentences using the pre-trained Punkt tokenizer from Python’s NLTK library (Bird et al., 2009). For each sentence, we then lowercase the text and remove digits, line breaks, punctuation, and special characters.\(^6\)

Our goal is to characterize how females and males have been overall represented in each collection of books, as well as how this representation has changed over time. We therefore combine the data at two levels: (1) at the collection level, in order to measure overall representations between each of the collections, and (2) at the collection-by-decade level, to measure changes over time.

\(^4\)Specifically, we examine books that have received recognition from the following awards: American Indian Youth Literature, Américas, Arab American, Asian/Pacific American Award for Literature, Carter G. Woodson, Coretta Scott King, Dolly Gray, Ezra Jack Keats, Middle East, Notable Books for a Global Society, Pura Belpré, Rise Feminist, Schneider Family, Skipping Stones Honor, South Asia, Stonewall, and Tomás Rivera Mexican American Book Awards.

\(^5\)Source: https://cloud.google.com/vision/docs/ocr

\(^6\)We refrain from removing stopwords because a preliminary inspection of the sensitivity of our results to the inclusion or exclusion of stopwords prior to the learning process showed that our results remain similar.
3.3 Supplemental Data: HistWords

In addition to the children’s books, we incorporate data from the HistWords dataset, a collection of books gathered from over 40 university libraries containing more than 361 billion English words (Michel et al., 2011). These books span from 1800 to 2000 and contain text from of a variety of genres. We include these data as a numeraire, capturing the representations of females and males across the last two centuries in books intended for adult consumption, rather than children’s consumption. Because the only publicly available data for HistWords is in the form of word2vec embeddings, we directly incorporate the embeddings they provide in our final visualizations rather than running the lexicon through our pipeline, as outlined in Section 4.8.

3.4 Gender as a Non-binary or Fluid Construct

Our main goal is to measure the relationship between different gender groups and societal domains. Gender is not a binary construct and can comprise females, males, non-binary, and gender-fluid individuals. However, as far as we are aware, there is no systematic way of measuring non-binary or gender-fluid identities in off-the-shelf NLP packages such as those we use. Instead, to evaluate the presence of non-binary identities in our data, we manually search for non-binary characters in a set of books that received awards for centering LGBTQIA+ experiences (i.e., Stonewall Book Awards), which we expect would have a greater representation of individuals who identify as non-binary or gender-fluid. This exercise entails manually coding the gender for each human named entity (e.g., Mary) mentioned more than once in each book as measured by spaCy’s Named Entity Recognition software (Honnibal and Montani, 2017). The manually coded gender labels are: male, female, non-binary, or unknown. We use context clues within the books to determine gender. These context clues include the character’s own identification or, if the character’s input is absent, pronouns and gendered descriptions (e.g., Character X was a woman.). In the absence of sufficient context clues, we label the gender as unknown.

Out of 539 named human entities, only two characters identified as non-binary (0.37%). As a result, the sample size in our data would be insufficient to accurately and precisely estimate embeddings for non-binary and gender-fluid identities. As a result, performing the computational methods used in this paper on non-binary groups, separate from females and males, would not yield reliable results. In light of this, we focus our analysis on only females and males, though we note that this extremely small proportion of explicitly non-binary characters in the collection of books most likely to represent them is an important finding in itself.

4 Methodology

4.1 StoryWords 1.0

We use word embeddings to capture the ways in which gender is represented in these texts. Word embeddings operate under the assumption that words which appear in similar contexts have similar meanings (Firth, 1951; Harris, 1954). In practice, word embeddings are generated by neural networks which map each word to a high-dimensional vector representation of that word. Each word vector encapsulates semantic and syntactic information by incorporating information from the nearest neighbors (context) of that word. Word embeddings permit analysis between sets of vectors, including calculating similarity measurements between words using cosine distance.9

We use the word2vec package from Python’s Gensim library to estimate word embeddings (Rehurek and Sojka, 2010).10 Our word2vec implementation uses the Skip-Gram with Negative Sampling (SGNS) model architecture introduced by Mikolov et al. (2013).11 When setting the hyperparameters of our models, we followed the recommendations of Levy et al. (2015). After training, the algorithm outputs 300-dimensional vectors of every word in the lexicon of each book. We train separate word2vec models on the aggregate collection data as well as on the collection

---

8Word embeddings are categorized as prediction-based embeddings because they use Machine Learning to predict context words.

9While we show results from the implementation of word2vec, our results are similar when we use GloVe (Pennington et al., 2014).

10We chose the SGNS architecture as it outperforms other architectures on various linguistic tasks. It is fast to train and inexpensive in terms of memory consumption and disk space (Levy et al., 2015).
by-decade data (cf. Section 3.2). Because aggregate measures are not available at the collection level for HistWords, we average the measures for HistWords for each decade starting from the 1920s through the 1990s to estimate an overall measure for this collection and are not able to calculate statistics to generate an overall measure.

Each time a word2vec model is trained with the exact same hyperparameters, word neighborhoods may change, which can generate different embedding estimates for each round of training (Hellrich and Hahn, 2016; Antoniak and Mimno, 2018; Burdick et al., 2018). To minimize the influence of idiosyncratic variation in shaping our results, we train 50 separate word2vec models with identical hyperparameters on the collection-by-decade and aggregate collection data (cf. Section 3.2). We name the resulting embeddings dataset StoryWords 1.0. We make this available on our GitHub.

4.2 Word Embedding Association Tests

Group (Gender) Words. We develop a vocabulary of words that comprise two gender groups (females, males). The words associated with females and males were generated by drawing upon commonly used words for each category, in addition to incorporating words from sources such as those lists provided by Caliskan et al. (2017) and Senel et al. (2018). We fine-tune the categories to the linguistic particularities of the domain of children’s literature by incorporating vocabulary that is commonly used in these books. For example, words such as princess and king are included in our gender group word lists, but are not in prior group lexicons, such as those in Caliskan et al. (2017) and Garg et al. (2018). Our lexicon includes 71 pairs of (females, males) words. Each word within a given category is exclusive to that category only. The final list of gendered words can be found on our GitHub.

Domain Words. We seek to understand how females and males are depicted within these children’s books in relation to different attributes (e.g., traits, behaviours, occupations, physical characteristics). The choice of these attributes is based on their importance for children’s beliefs and perceptions of themselves and others. Each of these is commonly portrayed in children’s literature (Nodelman, 2008; Rudd, 2012; Beauvais, 2015).

Our empirical analysis follows Caliskan et al. (2017), supplemented with analysis of whether females are more associated with descriptions of appearance and related terms than males. Our decision to add this analysis follows prior research indicating that men are often described by words that pertain to behaviour, whereas women are typically described by adjectives that refer to their physical appearance and sexuality (Caldas-Coulthard and Moon, 2010).

We constructed our final word lists as follows. We first began with the domain lists provided by Caliskan et al. (2017) and Senel et al. (2018). We then manually augmented them by drawing upon a set of commonly used words for each domain category: appearance (93 words; e.g., alluring, elegant), competence (93 words such as persuasive, reasonable), family (39 words), and professions (340 words; e.g., dancer, educator for women; architect, professor for men). Our augmentation of these lists was performed through ConceptNet (Speer et al., 2017), a multilingual knowledge graph for natural language words or phrases in their undisambiguated forms. The final list of domain words can be found on our GitHub.

Each word within a given category is exclusive to that category only. For example, the family category is notably smaller than other lists because many ‘family’ words are gendered and therefore were included in the male/female lists instead of the family list.

4.3 Gender Stereotype Detection

While word embedding association tests help us understand gender stereotypes in collections of text, it is also important to be able to identify specific stereotypes found in individual books so parents can make informed decisions about which books are appropriate for their children. A recently published report shows that nearly two-thirds of preteenagers in America read for pleasure at least once a week (Rideout et al., 2022). As a parent, monitoring what content their children do or do not consume is difficult without information to guide
their decisions. Information on gender stereotypes in specific books can be obtained, for example, by using online platforms such as Common Sense Media. In a preliminary exploration of this resource, we found that only 25% of the books included in our corpus have a review.

To automatically identify potential stereotypical topics, we employ SentenceBERT, a modification of BERT that derives semantic sentence embeddings that can be compared using cosine similarity (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019). We leverage three manually annotated corpora with gender stereotype information from previous studies: the Automatic Misogyny Identification (AMI) corpora collection from both IberEval (Fersini et al., 2018b) and Evalita (Fersini et al., 2018a), and the dataset released by Chiril et al. (2021). We selected these datasets as they are freely available to the research community. This method classifies a sentence as containing a stereotype if the cosine similarity between the sentence and another sentence which has already been labeled as containing a stereotype is higher than a threshold ($T$). For our analysis, we apply SentenceBERT to a subset of six books from our corpora of children’s books that had commentary in the What Parents Need to Know section of Common Sense Media reviews. This section highlights topics that may be of particular concern to parents, flagging content to which they might not want their kids being exposed. We experimentally set the threshold to $T = 0.45$.

5 Results and Discussion

Word Embeddings. For conducting the experiments, we relied on the Word Embedding Fairness Evaluation (WEFE) framework (Badilla et al., 2020), an open source software that encapsulates, evaluates and compares different fairness metrics proposed in the literature. Here, we present the results obtained by using the WEAT metric from Caliskan et al. (2017), the most commonly used association test for word embeddings. WEAT assesses the extent to which a model associates two sets of target words (i.e., females and males) with sets of attribute words (i.e., appearance and competence, family and business, female and male professions). With values that can range between $-2.0$ and $2.0$, a positive score means that females are more associated with words related to appearance, family or female professions, and a negative score means that males are more associated with the aforementioned attributes.

The representation and visibility of women has increased substantially over the last century, including in occupations that have been traditionally dominated by men (Goldin, 2014). Despite this considerable progress, differential treatment of women in many dimensions of the economy persists into the 21st century (Blau and Kahn, 2017). Our analysis reflects these patterns, highlighting the incidence and persistence of professional role stereotyping in these corpora (cf. Figure 2 (a)). In addition, our results also highlight that females are more likely to be associated with words related to family than words related to business, relative to these likelihoods for males (cf. Figure 2 (b)). While the association between females and family appears to decrease slightly in the HistWords data over time, we see no evidence of a similar decline in our children’s book collections (c.f. Figure 2 (c)).

Finally, we quantify the degree to which the language used to describe females and males is different. Figure 2 (c) shows that females are much more likely to be associated with words related to their looks (as opposed to males, who tend to be associated with words related to their competence). This association between females and appearance is decreasing over time in the HistWords and Mainstream collections, but increases in the most recent decades within the children’s book collections.

These results show that all three collections (i.e., Diversity, Mainstream, and HistWords) contain biased representations. We found no evidence that the Diversity collection, meant to highlight typically excluded or marginalized identities, portrays females more equitably than the ‘general’ efforts of the Mainstream collection.

Stereotype Detection. We next apply the method for detecting particularly salient incidences of gender stereotypes in text, as described in Section 4.3. First, we access reviews from the Common Sense Media platform to identify books that are likely to possess highly gender stereotypical language. We then apply stereotype detection to iden-
identify specific sentences associated with the themes that were highlighted in the review and that present egregious cases of this type of messaging.

Here we present two sentences from a book in our corpora that this method identifies as containing such stereotypes. This book lends a commentary on rape culture.:

1. And I know you’re on your period, but there’s no need to get cranky with me. \((T = 0.552)\)

2. If I had boobs like that, I’d wear a burka or something. \((T = 0.466)\)

Sentence (1) appears to reinforce the stereotype of the hysterical menstrual woman, while sentence (2) discriminates against women based on the widely held belief that the exposure of the chest is a sexual act. It is important to acknowledge that this method does not take into account the context surrounding these sentences when identifying them as containing stereotypes. These detected sentences, together with the results from our word embeddings analysis, provide us with evidence of how highly gender stereotypical messages can appear in the text of children’s books, even those recognized in highly prominent national book awards.

Future work should more deeply interrogate the stereotypes being transmitted in these children’s books, as highlighted by these few examples. Such work should also include an exploration of the context of such phrases.
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Future work should more deeply interrogate the stereotypes being transmitted in these children’s books, as highlighted by these few examples. Such work should also include an exploration of the context of such phrases.
in children’s literature. Second, we created the first word embeddings trained on a century of award-winning children’s literature, StoryWords 1.0. Consistent with previous research, our results show that females are more likely than males to be represented in relation to their appearance and their roles in the family.

While we cannot speak to what ‘optimal’ representation would look like, our tools make it possible for practitioners, policymakers, and parents with a given goal to measure representation in a given set of books in order to help them make their choices.

Important directions for future work include using more precise tools, such as coreference resolution, to better understand and disentangle the indirect and direct messages contained in these texts. In addition, researchers or practitioners using these tools could expand their analysis to other targets: different groups (to understand how other identities may be differentially represented), as well as additional attributes that convey different societal meanings. Furthermore, researchers must expand definitions of gender to account for non-binary and gender-fluid identities. In the future, we also plan to account for polysemous words by using contextualized word vectors.

**Ethical Approval.** The research reported in this article involved no human participants and so no human subjects review was sought. Our use of the text data in the children’s books in our study is transformative, analyzing the books’ content and transforming it, via this analysis, into separate and distinct data, which we conduct under the fair use principle.

An important limitation is that our measure of gender representation binarizes gender, constraining it as *female* and *male*, and does not account for non-binary or gender-fluid identities. This comes as a direct result of the low number of characters identified as non-binary (cf. Section 3.4). With respect to the stereotypical language identified through the sentence similarity approach, we make no claims about the intentions of the authors of these books. The context of these phrases remains to be explored in future work.

Future work should innovate to address these challenges and spur new developments in this under-explored area.
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