Large Language Models Only Pass Primary School Exams in Indonesia: A Comprehensive Test on IndoMMLU

Fajri Koto¹

Haonan Li 1

¹Department Natural Language Processing, MBZUAI

²Quantic School of Business and Technology

Nurul Aisvah²

³The University of Melbourne

fajri.koto@mbzuai.ac.ae, nurulaisyah.inc@gmail.com, {haonan.li,timothy.baldwin}@mbzuai.ac.ae

Abstract

Although large language models (LLMs) are often pre-trained on large-scale multilingual texts, their reasoning abilities and real-world knowledge are mainly evaluated based on English datasets. Assessing LLM capabilities beyond English is increasingly vital but hindered due to the lack of suitable datasets. In this work, we introduce IndoMMLU, the first multi-task language understanding benchmark for Indonesian culture and languages, which consists of questions from primary school to university entrance exams in Indonesia. By employing professional teachers, we obtain 14,981 questions across 64 tasks and education levels, with 46% of the questions focusing on assessing proficiency in the Indonesian language and knowledge of nine local languages and cultures in Indonesia. Our empirical evaluations show that GPT-3.5 only manages to pass the Indonesian primary school level, with limited knowledge of local Indonesian languages and culture. Other smaller models such as BLOOMZ and Falcon perform at even lower levels.¹

1 Introduction

The evaluation of large language models (LLMs) has predominantly relied on English datasets to assess language proficiency (Wang et al., 2018; Baradaran et al., 2022), reasoning abilities (Zellers et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2019; Bisk et al., 2020; Talmor et al., 2019), and real-world knowledge (Hendrycks et al., 2021). LLMs such as GPT-3.5 (Ouyang et al., 2022), Falcon (Penedo et al., 2023), and BLOOMZ (Muennighoff et al., 2022), however, are pre-trained on large-scale multilingual data, and thus it is critical to evaluate what knowledge they capture and their reasoning abilities in languages beyond English.

School exams serve as a powerful means to assess the reasoning abilities and real-world knowl-

 1Code and dataset can be found at https://github.com/fajri91/IndoMMLU



Timothy Baldwin^{1,3}

Figure 1: Distribution of subject areas and education levels in IndoMMLU. "Hum", "Social", "Indo", and "Local" refer to Humanities, Social Science, Indonesian Language, and Local Languages and Cultures, respectively.

edge of LLMs, given that these tests are meticulously designed by expert educators, drawing upon the principles of learning science. At various educational levels, school exams function as assessment tools, evaluating not only language proficiency but also higher-order cognitive skills such as comprehension, analytic abilities, and the application of real-world knowledge across diverse scenarios (Novak, 1988).

Hendrycks et al. (2021) proposed MMLU, a massive multitask language understanding benchmark in English that is compiled from different exams, covering topics including US history, computer science, and high school subjects. Recent progresses on LLMs such as LLaMA (Touvron et al., 2023) and GPT-4 (OpenAI, 2023) use MMLU as one of the evaluation datasets. In the GPT-4 technical report, automatic evaluation is further extended to encompass various standardized exams, including SAT, GRE, and bar exams.

While there has been a plethora of work on LLM evaluation for English (OpenAI, 2023; Katz et al.,

2023; Choi et al., 2023; Ryznar, 2023; Chalkidis, 2023), there has been comparatively little work in other languages (Li et al., 2023b; Sengupta et al., 2023). Recent work by OpenAI (2023) evaluated GPT-4 using a translated version of MMLU, and reported strong performance. While encouraging, using translations of English evaluation datasets has serious shortcomings, including translation noise, a complete lack of content that is sensitized to the local language/culture (esp. as most English evaluation datasets are highly US centric), and conversely, the existence of content that is irrelevant to the local language/culture (e.g. questions relating to US law or customs) and incongruent with the language-specific evaluation (Liu et al., 2023a).

In this paper, we ask professional teachers (of Indonesian nationality) to collect exam questions from various educational levels in Indonesian schools (i.e. primary school, junior high school, senior high school, and university). We categorize the collected questions into different subject areas, including: (1) STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics); (2) Social Science; (3) Humanities; (4) Indonesian Language; and (5) Local Languages and Cultures. Figure 1 presents an overview of the distribution of the resulting dataset, IndoMMLU, across different subject areas and education levels. It is worth mentioning that 21% of the questions specifically focus on the Indonesian language, and 25% encompass nine distinct local languages and cultures that are specific to Indonesia.

Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We introduce the first Indonesian MMLU dataset, namely IndoMMLU, which comprises 64 tasks across different subject areas and education levels in Indonesia.
- Our dataset includes exam questions from school grades 1 to 12, as well as university entrance exams. This comprehensive coverage allows us to perform fine-grained assessment of the Indonesian language proficiency of existing LLMs.
- Approximately 25% of our data encompasses nine distinct local languages and cultures in Indonesia, namely Lampungic (1jp), Balinese (ban), Makassarese (mak), Banjarese (bjn), Madurese (mad), Sundanese (sun), Javanese (jav), Dayak Ngaju (nij), and Minangkabau.² These questions are not only in

under-represented languages but also incorporate specific cultural content, such as art, poetry, and daily life. For Lampungic (1jp) and Makassarese (mak) in particular, this is the very first NLP resource to be released.

• We evaluate various multilingual LLMs, including GPT-3.5 (Ouyang et al., 2022), XGLM (Lin et al., 2021), Falcon (Penedo et al., 2023), BLOOMZ (Muennighoff et al., 2022), mT0 (Muennighoff et al., 2022), LLaMA (Touvron et al., 2023), and Bactrian-X (Li et al., 2023a), across different model sizes. We find that only GPT-3.5 passes the highest primary school level exam, and no models demonstrate familiarity with local Indonesian languages and culture.

2 Related Work

Evaluating Large Language Models Various benchmarks have been released to evaluate English pre-trained LMs (Devlin et al., 2019; Conneau et al., 2020). Early benchmarks such as GLUE (Wang et al., 2018) and SuperGLUE (Wang et al., 2019) consist of various natural language understanding (NLU) tasks of different types with varying training data sizes. XGLUE (Liang et al., 2020), XTREME (Hu et al., 2020), and XTREME-R (Ruder et al., 2021) serve as multilingual benchmarks of more than 20 languages. For natural language generation (NLG), the GEM benchmark (Gehrmann et al., 2021) is a collection of machine translation, summarization, and generated descriptions in many languages.

As LLMs have become larger in size and improved over the standard benchmarks, there has been a shift in evaluation practice to focus on reasoning abilities (Zellers et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2019; Bisk et al., 2020; Talmor et al., 2019; Koto et al., 2022a), and real-world knowledge (Hendrycks et al., 2021). In GPT-4 (OpenAI, 2023), for instance, commonsense reasoning is evaluated using HellaSwag (Zellers et al., 2019) and WinoGrande (Sakaguchi et al., 2021), while real-world knowledge is evaluated based on school exams including MMLU (Hendrycks et al., 2021), ARC (Clark et al., 2018), and GSM-8K (Cobbe et al., 2021). Similarly, LLaMA (Touvron et al., 2023) was evaluated using school exam problems, in addition to closed-book question answering (Kwiatkowski et al., 2019; Joshi et al., 2017) and

²For Minangkabau culture, the Indonesian language is

used in teaching and exams.

Group	Question	Answer
Primary school	99.6	65.5
Junior high school	188.3	105.9
Senior high school	167.7	130.3
University Entrance Test	204.9	186.2
STEM	133.7	102.4
Social science	136.2	131.9
Humanities	113.2	104.4
Local languages and cultures	88.4	68.0
Indonesian language	307.4	161.8

Table 1: Average question and answer length (in characters) for each education group and subject area.

the RACE reading comprehension benchmark (Lai et al., 2017).

Indonesian Pre-trained Language Models and Benchmarks Several monolingual pre-trained language models have been released for Indonesian, including IndoBERT (Koto et al., 2020b; Wilie et al., 2020), IndoBERTweet (Koto et al., 2021), and IndoBART (Cahyawijaya et al., 2021). These models have been evaluated on NLU (e.g. IndoLEM and IndoNLU) and NLG (e.g. IndoNLG) benchmarks. Component tasks include sentiment analysis (Koto and Rahmaningtyas, 2017; Purwarianti and Crisdayanti, 2019), emotion classification (Saputri et al., 2018), hate speech detection, summarization (Koto et al., 2020a, 2022b), and translation (Cahyawijaya et al., 2021; Koto and Koto, 2020).

In contemporaneous work, Cahyawijaya et al. (2023) evaluated several LLMs using existing Indonesian datasets. This collection includes the recent NusaX dataset (Winata et al., 2023), which is a parallel sentiment analysis dataset in 10 Indonesian local languages, created through human translation. The collection also includes several question-answering datasets, such as FactQA (Purwarianti et al., 2007), IDK-MRC (Putri and Oh, 2022), and TyDiQA (Clark et al., 2020), over news and Wikipedia documents. IndoMMLU is different in that it explicitly evaluates reasoning, language, and cultural abilities in a fine-grained manner from the perspective of education science.

3 IndoMMLU

IndoMMLU is a multiple-choice problem set in 64 subjects from different education levels, following the format of English MMLU (see Figure 2 and Figure 3). IndoMMLU, however, is based on the

Minangkabau culture subject, Mid-term exam, class 7 (SMP)				
Terjadinya hubungan induak bako dengan anak pisang, karena adanya	<i>Induak bako</i> and <i>anak pisang</i> is a relationship in Minangkabau family because of			
A. Perkawinan B. Satu suku C. Satu nagari D. Kaum	A. Marriage B. One tribe relationship C. One village relationship D. One sub-tribe relationship			
Minangkabau culture subject, Mid-	term exam, class 6 (SD)			
Tari rantak kudo berasal dari A. Tanah datar B. Pesisir Selatan C. Pasaman barat D. Solok	Rantak kudo dance originally comes from A. Tanah datar B. Pesisir Selatan C. Pasaman barat D. Solok			
Sundanese subject, Mid-term exar	n, class 4 (SD)			
Hal - hal anu ditepikeun dina kawih, disebutna	Message that we want to express in <i>Kawih</i> is			
A. rasa B. amanat C. nada D. tema	A. feeling B. advice C. tone D. theme			

Figure 2: The first question focuses on the family relationship between *anak pisang* "children" and *induak bako* "aunt on the father's side". Both terms are commonly used in Minangkabau but not in the Indonesian language. The second and third questions pertain to traditional art. *Kawih* in the third question means a song set to a distinctive beat in Sundanese culture. **Left** is the original text and **right** is the English translation for illustrative purposes. The bold options are the correct answer keys.

Indonesian education curriculum, and has more fine-grained education levels than MMLU.

In Indonesia's curriculum, schools are categorized into three levels: (1) six years of primary school (Sekolah Dasar = "SD"), (2) three years of junior high school (Sekolah Menengah Pertama = "SMP"), and (3) three years of senior high school (Sekolah Menengah Atas = "SMA"). At primary school, pupils in all grades are taught the Indonesian language, civics, mathematics, art, sports, and religion. From grade 4 to 6 and in junior high school, pupils additionally learn a foreign language, a local language/culture, science, and social science.³ In senior high school, pupils study more specialized natural science and social science subjects, including physics, chemistry, biology, geography, sociology, economy, and history. In IndoMMLU, we explicitly exclude mathematics because the questions typically consist primarily of symbols with lit-

³In a recent curriculum change, science and social science have been added from grade 3.

Civics subject, Final-term exam, cl	ass 10 (SMA)
Ketentuan mengenai menteri diatur dalam UUD NRI Tahun 1945, yakni dalam Bab 5 tentang kementerian negara tepatnya pada pasal	Provisions regarding ministers are regulated in the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia, namely in Chapter 5 concerning state ministries to be precise in the article
A. 17	A. 17
B. 17 A	B. 17 A
C. 17 B	C. 17 B
D. 18	D. 18
E. 19	E. 19
Chemistry subject, University entra	ance test exam
Asam oksalat adalah asam	Oxalic acid is a two basic acid.
berbasa dua. Sebanyak 10 mL	A total of 10 mL of oxalic acid
larutan asam oksalat diencerkan	solution was diluted with water
dengan air sampai volumenya	to a volume of 100 mL. This
100 mL. Larutan ini digunakan	solution was used to titrate 20
untuk menitrasi 20 mL larutan	mL of 0.2 M NaOH solution with
NaOH 0,2 M dengan indikator	bromthymol blue indicator. If
bromtimol biru. Bila titik akhir	the end point of the titration is
titrasi diperoleh saat volume	obtained when the volume of
asam oksalat mencapai 25 mL,	oxalic acid reaches 25 mL, then
maka konsentrasi larutan asam	the concentration of the initial
oksalat awal adalah	oxalic acid solution is
A. 0,08 M	A. 0,08 M
B. 0,40 M	B. 0,40 M
C. 0,80 M	C. 0,80 M
D. 1,60 M	D. 1,60 M
E. 3,20 M	E. 3,20 M

Figure 3: Examples of civics and chemistry exam questions. **Left** is the original text and **right** is the English translation for illustrative purposes. The bolded options are the answer keys.

tle language content, and there are existing datasets for mathematical reasoning such as GSM-8K (Cobbe et al., 2021) and NumGLUE (Mishra et al., 2022).

The local language/culture subjects vary across provinces in Indonesia and depend on the local government policy. For example, in West Sumatra, Minangkabau culture is taught using the Indonesian language, while in West Java, pupils are exposed to the Sundanese language and culture. Figure 2 illustrates two exam questions for Minangkabau culture, and one exam question for Sundanese.

3.1 Data Construction

We asked seven professional teachers with at least a bachelor's degree in education to gather publiclyavailable school exam questions in Indonesia from web sources.⁴ They were tasked with gathering problems for specific subject areas and educational levels, as well as metadata such as the source (i.e. URL of the source document), school level, class level, question, multiple-choice options, and the correct answer key. We instructed the teachers to

Group	Subjects
STEM	Chemistry (SMA, UE), Biology (SMA, UE), Physics (SMA, UE), Science (SD, SMP)
Social science	Geography (SMA, UE), Sociology (SMA, UE), Economy (SMA, UE), Civics education (SD, SMP, SMA), Social science (SD, SMP)
Humanities	History (SMA, UE), Art (SD, SMP, SMA), Sports (SD, SMP, SMA), Islam religion (SD, SMP, SMA), Christian religion (SD, SMP, SMA), Hindu religion (SD, SMP, SMA)
Local languages and cultures	Lampungic (SD, SMP, SMA), Balinese (SD, SMP, SMA), Makassarese (SD, SMP, SMA), Banjarese (SD, SMP, SMA), Madurese (SD, SMP, SMA), Minangkabau culture (SD, SMP), Dayak Ngaju (SD), Sundanese (SD, SMP, SMA), Javanese (SD, SMP, SMA)
Indonesian language	Indonesian language (SD, SMP, SMA, UE)

Table 2: Subject areas in IndoMLU. "SD", "SMP", "SMA", "UE" indicate that questions in the subject are are available in primary school, junior high school, senior high school, and university entrance exams, respectively.

only include exams that had accompanying answer keys, and to exclude problems that contained images. Additionally, we organized an 1-hour workshop to discuss the data collection procedure with all the teachers, addressing any questions or concerns they had. All teachers are paid competitively, higher than the Indonesian average monthly wage.⁵

3.2 Quality Control

To ensure the accuracy of the data entry process, we randomly checked questions collected by each teacher. We manually verified the questions, multiple-choice options, and the corresponding answer keys based on the given URL, and found that each teacher conducted the work accurately. We also additionally performed automatic filtering to remove repetitive questions, and remove questions that have no answer key.

3.3 Data Statistics

After data cleansing, we obtained a total of 14,981 questions, distributed over school levels and subjects as detailed in Figure 1; the details of each subject area are in Table 2 and the Appendix.

⁴The seven teachers were selected from 70 applicants.

⁵The work for a single teacher was equivalent to a 5-day full-time job.



Figure 4: LLM performance (% accuracy) based on: (1) the probability of the full generated answer; and (2) the probability of the first token in the generated answer.

IndoMMLU consists of 30% primary school, 24% junior high school, 32% senior high school, and 14% university entrance exam questions. Table 1 shows the average question length for each education level and subject area. We can observe that primary school questions tend to be shorter and university entrance exam questions are longer. Indonesian language questions have the highest average length, while local languages and culture questions are around 88 characters on average.

4 **Experiments**

4.1 Set-Up

We evaluate 24 multilingual LLMs of different sizes in zero-shot and few-shot settings. This includes GPT-3.5 (Ouyang et al., 2022), XGLM (Lin et al., 2021), Falcon (Penedo et al., 2023), BLOOMZ (Muennighoff et al., 2022), mT0 (Muennighoff et al., 2022), LLaMA (Touvron et al., 2023) and Bactrian-X (Li et al., 2023a).⁶ We add a simple prompt in the Indonesian language *Ini adalah soal [subject] untuk [level]. Pilihlah salah satu jawaban yang dianggap benar!* "This is a [subject] question for [level]. Please choose the correct answer!" prior to the question and multiple-choice options.

For closed-source models, we evaluate questions by comparing the first generated tokens (e.g., A, B, C) and the answer key using a regular expression.⁷ For open-sourced models, we benchmark two strategies. Given a question and the corresponding multiple-choice options, we calculate: (1) the probability of the full generated answer; and (2) the probability of the first token in the generated answer. For the first, we select the answer with the highest normalized log likelihood, and for the second, we simply select the key token (e.g., C) with the highest probability among all possible keys.

4.2 Results

Figure 4 presents the zero-shot accuracy when using: (1) the full answer probability; and (2) the probability of the first token in the generated answer. Among the open-sourced language models (LLMs) including XGLM (7.5B), Falcon (40B), BLOOMZ (7.1B), mT0_{xxl} (13B), LLaMA (65B), and Bactrian-X (13B), we find that estimating the answer based on the probability of the first token in the generated answer generally performs best, with the notable exception of XGLM. Thus, we report results under this configuration in the remaining sections; the full results for both settings can be found in the Appendix.

Results across all models Table 3 shows the average accuracy for each subject area across the 24 models. To compute the scores, we disregard the education level of the questions, and average scores based on the subject (e.g. Biology), and finally combine the scores across all subject areas (e.g. STEM). The random performance varies between 20% to 27% due to the differing number of multiple-choice options (i.e. three to five).

Overall, we found that GPT-3.5 attains the highest overall accuracy, albeit low at 53.2%. GPT-3.5 is also notably the highest in each subject area, except in local languages and culture subjects. Among the open-source models, we observe that mT0_{xxl} (13B) achieves an average accuracy of 42.5%. Falcon (40B) performs worse than mT0_{xxl} (13B) and BLOOMZ (7B).

Performance based on model size varies, with smaller models such as BLOOMZ (7B) and mT0_{xx1} being better than Falcon (40B) and LLaMA (65B). We suspect that this is due to the absence of the Indonesian language in Falcon and LLaMA's pretraining data. The poor performance of the 13B and 30B LLaMA models might imply that any "emergent abilities" of LLMs generally appear in the same or closely-related languages. This is further supported by Bactrian-X-LLaMA (13B), a LLaMA model fine-tuned on instruction datasets in 52 languages (including Indonesian), which obtain a +5% average increment, compared to LLaMA

⁶At the time this research was carried out, we did not have access to the GPT-4 API, and thus leave it to future work.

⁷In cases where there is no match, we assign a random answer.

Model (#parameters)	STEM	Social Science	Humanities	Indonesian Language	Local languages and Cultures	Average
Random	21.9	23.4	23.5	24.4	26.6	24.4
GPT-3.5 (175B)	54.3	62.5	64.0	62.2	39.3	53.2
XGLM (564M)	22.1	23.0	25.6	25.6	27.5	25.2
XGLM (1.7B)	20.9	23.0	24.6	24.8	26.6	24.4
XGLM (2.9B)	22.9	23.2	25.4	26.3	27.2	25.2
XGLM (4.5B)	21.8	23.1	25.6	25.8	27.1	25.0
XGLM (7.5B)	22.7	21.7	23.6	24.5	27.5	24.5
Falcon (7B)	22.1	22.9	25.5	25.7	27.5	25.1
Falcon (40B)	30.2	34.8	34.8	34.9	29.2	32.1
BLOOMZ (560M)	22.9	23.6	23.2	24.2	25.1	24.0
BLOOMZ (1.1B)	20.4	21.4	21.1	23.5	24.7	22.4
BLOOMZ (1.7B)	31.5	39.3	38.3	42.8	29.4	34.4
BLOOMZ (3B)	33.5	44.5	39.7	46.7	29.8	36.4
BLOOMZ (7.1B)	37.1	46.7	44.0	49.1	28.2	38.0
mTO_{small} (300M)	21.8	21.4	25.7	25.1	27.6	24.9
mT0 _{base} (580M)	22.6	22.6	25.7	25.6	26.9	25.0
mTO _{large} (1.2B)	22.0	23.4	25.1	27.3	27.6	25.2
mT0 _{xl} (3.7B)	31.4	42.9	41.0	47.8	35.7	38.2
mTO_{xxl} (13B)	33.5	46.2	47.9	52.6	39.6	42.5
LLaMA (7B)	22.8	23.1	25.1	26.7	27.6	25.3
LLaMA (13B)	24.1	23.0	24.4	29.5	26.7	25.3
LLaMA (30B)	25.4	23.5	25.9	28.4	28.7	26.5
LLaMA (65B)	33.0	37.7	40.8	41.4	32.1	35.8
Bactrian-X-LLaMA (7B)	23.3	24.0	26.0	26.1	27.5	25.7
Bactrian-X-LLaMA (13B)	28.3	29.9	32.8	35.2	29.2	30.3

Table 3: Zero-shot performance (% accuracy) of LLMs, combined across education levels. "Average" means the average across all subject areas in IndoMMLU.

(13B).

Results across education levels As illustrated in Figure 1, IndoMMLU includes detailed education level metadata, which enables us to gain a deeper understanding of the capabilities of LLMs in terms of human education levels. In the Indonesian context, the minimum passing score for exams varies across subjects and typically ranges between 65 and $70.^8$ By setting the passing score at 65, we assess GPT-3.5 over real-world knowledge capabilities, as shown in Table 4. Green indicates that the model has successfully passed the subject, while red indicates it has failed. This reveals that GPT-3.5 generally performs well on primary school exams for general subjects, but exhibits a lack of understanding of local languages and culture. In subjects that require less analytical thinking, such as civics and religion, GPT-3.5 tends to achieve higher scores in high school exams.

Indonesian language proficiency of LLMs As discussed in Section 3, IndoMMLU specifically includes Indonesian language exams for all grades



Figure 5: Fine-grained accuracy (%) of GPT-3.5, $mT0_{xxl}$, and BLOOMZ in the Indonesian language subject area. The horizontal line depicts the passing score of 65, and the education level of 13 refers to the university entrance exam.

and education levels, allowing us to assess the Indonesian language proficiency of LLMs. Figure 5 illustrates that GPT-3.5 achieves its highest accuracy in grade 1, approaching 90%. However, as the education level increases, the model's performance gradually declines. For grades 3 and above, the

⁸This refers to Curriculum 2013 in Indonesia.

Subject	SD	SMP	SMA	UE
Science	76.3	67.8	52.8	43.7
Social science	84.6	73.1	63.5	48.2
Indonesian language	74.7	61.8	55.1	42.3
Civics	64.6	65.2	65.4	-
Sports	66.7	44.7	62.0	-
Art	73.9	71.2	58.7	-
Islam religion	78.6	59.9	67.7	-
Christian religion	83.7	77.6	62.0	-
Hindu religion	66.7	62.0	55.1	-
Sundanese	50.0	45.1	37.9	-
Javenese	46.1	36.1	36.1	-
Balinese	32.2	38.5	36.1	-
Makassarese	33.7	48.8	38.3	-
Banjarese	50.0	44.4	28.6	-
Lampungic	40.0	30.0	33.3	-
Madurese	41.0	28.3	35.0	-
Minangkabau culture	38.0	52.2	_	_
Dayak Ngaju	31.1	-	—	_

Table 4: GPT-3.5 performance (% accuracy) across different education levels. "SD", "SMP", "SMA", "UE" indicate primary school, junior high school, senior high school, and university entrance tests, respectively. Red indicates that the score is below the minimum passing threshold of 65, while green signifies a score at or above this minimum.

scores fall below 75, and for classes 7 and above, GPT-3.5 fails to pass the exams. We observe that this trend is similar for mTO_{xxl} and BLOOMZ, which only pass grades 1, 2, and 3. This fine-grained evaluation provides a valuable benchmark for LLM proficiency in Indonesian.

LLM performance on local languages and cultures It is interesting to observe in Table 3 that despite having only 13B parameters, mT0_{xxl} achieves the highest accuracy on local languages and cultures. On the other hand, GPT-3.5 with 175B parameters achieves competitive accuracy, just 0.3 absolute points lower than mT0_{xxl}. To further investigate this, Figure 6 displays the accuracy scores of each local language and culture subject, revealing that both mT0_{xxl} and GPT-3.5 excel in different subject areas. mT0_{xxl} shows greater familiarity with Javanese and Sundanese, with a disparity of +10 for both subjects compared to GPT-3.5. GPT-3.5 performs better in Dayak Ngaju, Banjarese, and Minangkabau culture.

4.3 Analysis

Few shot performance Providing several questions and the answer key in prompts has been re-



Figure 6: Zero-shot performance of mTO_{xx1} and GPT-3.5 in local languages and cultures subjects.



Figure 7: Few-shot performance (% accuracy) of $mT0_{xxl}$, BLOOMZ, Falcon, and LLaMA, averaged across all subject areas.

ported to improve model performance (Karimi Mahabadi et al., 2022; Hendrycks et al., 2021). We run similar experiments with our top-4 best opensource models and observe mixed outcomes in Figure 7.⁹ Few-shot inference does not yield improvements in instruction-tuned models like mT0 and BLOOMZ, as evidenced by a decrease in accuracy. In contrast, the pure LLMs Falcon and LLaMA show better performance with few-shot inference compared to zero-shot. These findings align with those of Liu et al. (2023b); Li et al. (2023b), where few-shot prompts may lead to unnatural inferences for instruction-tuned models.

Model confidence Given the top three models in Table 3, we assess whether their confidence predictions (i.e. the predicted likelihood of the predicted answer being correct) corresponds to the actual accuracy across 64 tasks. This uncertainty calibration gives us hints about the model's reliability

⁹Refer to the Appendix for details of the prompts.



Figure 8: Zero-shot calibration of $mT0_{xxl}$, BLOOMZ, and GPT-3.5 across 64 tasks. The average standard deviations of the confidence scores across all data points are 36.5, 26.4, and 43.9, respectively

and how to use them appropriately in real-world settings. For mT0 and BLOOMZ, the confidence score is determined through softmax normalization over probabilities of the multiple-choice options. For GPT-3.5, we adopt the approach described by Si et al. (2022); Wang et al. (2022), using a hightemperature value (0.7) during decoding. For each question, we generate n different outputs and measure self-consistency. The probability of a multiplechoice option is calculated based on the output frequency. In this experiment, we use n = 7, and choose the most frequently-occurring answer as the final prediction.

We average the confidence scores across the 64 tasks, and display the calibration of mT0, BLOOMZ, and GPT-3.5 in Figure 8. We observe that all three models are well-calibrated, with correlation scores of r > 0.85.

Additionally, we examine the relationship between confidence scores and question length, as depicted in Figure 9. We found a very weak correlation for both mT0 and BLOOMZ. It is worth noting that the confidence score can also be interpreted as a measure of question difficulty, based on which question length appears to have no bearing on difficulty.

Impact of negation In Indonesian school exam questions, the use of negation is common to enhance question difficulty and assess students' reasoning abilities. Similarly, in the field of NLP, negation is known to increase the difficulty of NLP tasks (Truong et al., 2022). To investigate the impact of negation, we employ a simple string-matching strategy to identify questions that contain negations



Figure 9: Correlation between question difficulty and question length.

Model	W/ negation	W/o negation
Indonesian langu	age	
GPT-3.5 (175B)	58.0	62.7
mT0 _{xx1} (13B)	47.9	53.1
BLOOMZ (7B)	39.3	50.1
Social science		
GPT-3.5 (175B)	66.2	63.0
mT0 _{xx1} (13B)	48.2	47.1
BLOOMZ (7B)	43.3	48.2

Table 5: Accuracy (%) for questions with and without negation in the Indonesian language and social science subject areas.

within each subject area.¹⁰ We then break down the accuracy for the top three models (GPT-3.5, mT0, and BLOOMZ) based on the presence or absence of negation. Among the subject areas, Indonesian language and social science are the most prevalent in employing negation, accounting for approximately 10% in each group. Through manual observation of 100 random samples, we verified that 85% of these questions indeed contained negation.

Table 5 shows the effects of negation on IndoMMLU accuracy. For the Indonesian language subject area, negated questions prove to be more challenging, with a decrease in accuracy ranging from -4 to -10. In social science, mT0 and BLOOMZ are similarly more accurate over questions without negation. Compared to mT0, however, BLOOMZ is less robust to negation, as indicated by the -5 accuracy drop.

¹⁰To identify negation, we use strings *kecuali* "except", *yang bukan* "which is not", and *yang tidak* "which is not".

5 Discussion

If LLMs are to be deployed in diverse contexts, it is critical to have more work on evaluation for different languages and cultures. In Table 1 we observed that the models struggle to answer questions that pertain to local languages and cultures across all levels of education in Indonesia. Minangkabau culture in particular is taught and assessed in the Indonesian language, and yet the limited performance in answering questions relating to it underscores a lack of cultural knowledge, despite reasonable results for the Indonesian language.

We also argue that education science should play a more central role in the future evaluation of LLMs. Current NLP work has mostly focused on developing larger models with different techniques and architectures, and evaluation has primarily been in terms of specific NLP tasks. Education science has decades of experience in designing assessments to evaluate student progress through painstakingly-designed comprehensive tests, which the NLP community should better engage with. With IndoMMLU, we have shown that exam questions across fine-grained educational levels offer a more profound comprehension of model proficiency in the Indonesian language, while also revealing potential areas for improvement.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we presented IndoMMLU, a multi-task language understanding benchmark for real-world evaluation of knowledge in the Indonesian context. By leveraging education level metadata, we found that current LLMs like GPT-3.5 are only able to pass primary school exams in Indonesia, while smaller models struggle across nearly in all education levels. Notably, none of the 24 evaluated models perform well in the domain of local languages and cultures, highlighting the need for further research in this direction.

Limitations

Despite being the largest question-answering dataset in the Indonesian context, IndoMMLU still has some limitations, in that it lacks: (1) multi-modal questions; (2) arithmetic reasoning tasks; and (3) essay-style questions. First, IndoMMLU is comprised solely of text-based questions, and questions with tables and figures are discarded to simplify data collection. We specifically exclude math

questions as they are already well covered by existing English math reasoning benchmarks. We suggest that essay questions enable a deeper assessment of comprehension and critical thinking, but that methods for evaluating essay quality across education levels in languages other than English are severely lacking.

Ethical Considerations

The IndoMLU dataset used in our study is collected from publicly-available web resources. In compliance with the Indonesian Copyright Law number 28 year 2014, specifically article 44, the use, retrieval, reproduction, and/or modification of works and/or related rights products, in whole or in substantial part, is not considered a copyright infringement if the source is fully cited or mentioned for educational and research purposes.¹¹

Regarding our experimental results, it is important to note that they do not provide a definitive answer as to the relative abilities of LLMs, and we caution readers against overinterpreting the findings. While we conclude that GPT-3.5 demonstrates proficiency in passing primary school exams in Indonesia based on IndoMMLU, it is essential to consider potential contamination in GPT-3.5's pretraining data, which could impact the results. Furthermore, it is worth noting that real-world student assessments encompass not only multiple-choice questions but also practical exams, laboratory work, and essay writing.

References

- Razieh Baradaran, Razieh Ghiasi, and Hossein Amirkhani. 2022. A survey on machine reading comprehension systems. *Natural Language Engineering*, 28(6):683–732.
- Yonatan Bisk, Rowan Zellers, Jianfeng Gao, Yejin Choi, et al. 2020. PIQA: Reasoning about physical commonsense in natural language. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, pages 7432–7439.
- Samuel Cahyawijaya, Holy Lovenia, Alham Fikri Aji, Genta Indra Winata, Bryan Wilie, Fajri Koto, Rahmad Mahendra, Christian Wibisono, Ade Romadhony, Karissa Vincentio, Jennifer Santoso, David Moeljadi, Cahya Wirawan, Frederikus Hudi, Muhammad Satrio Wicaksono, Ivan Halim Parmonangan, Ika Alfina, Ilham Firdausi Putra, Samsul Rahmadani, Yulianti Oenang, Ali Akbar Septiandri, James Jaya,

¹¹https://wipolex-res.wipo.int/edocs/lexdocs/ laws/en/id/id064en.pdf

Kaustubh Dhole, Arie Suryani, Rifki Afina Putri, Dan Su, Keith David Stevens, Made Nindyatama Nityasya, Muhammad Farid Adilazuarda, Ryan Ignatius Hadiwijaya, Ryandito Diandaru, Tiezheng Yu, Vito Ghifari, Wenliang Dai, Yan Xu, Dyah Inastra Damapuspita, Haryo Akbarianto Wibowo, Cuk Tho, Ichwanul Muslim Karo Karo, Tirana Noor Fatyanosa, Ziwei Ji, Graham Neubig, Timothy Baldwin, Sebastian Ruder, Pascale Fung, Herry Sujaini, Sakriani Sakti, , and Ayu Purwarianti. 2023. NusaCrowd: Open source initiative for Indonesian NLP resources. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*.

- Samuel Cahyawijaya, Genta Indra Winata, Bryan Wilie, Karissa Vincentio, Xiaohong Li, Adhiguna Kuncoro, Sebastian Ruder, Zhi Yuan Lim, Syafri Bahar, Masayu Khodra, Ayu Purwarianti, and Pascale Fung. 2021. IndoNLG: Benchmark and resources for evaluating Indonesian natural language generation. In Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 8875–8898, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ilias Chalkidis. 2023. ChatGPT may pass the bar exam soon, but has a long way to go for the LexGLUE benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.12202*.
- Jonathan H Choi, Kristin E Hickman, Amy Monahan, and Daniel Schwarcz. 2023. ChatGPT goes to law school. *Available at SSRN*.
- Jonathan H. Clark, Eunsol Choi, Michael Collins, Dan Garrette, Tom Kwiatkowski, Vitaly Nikolaev, and Jennimaria Palomaki. 2020. TyDi QA: A benchmark for information-seeking question answering in typologically diverse languages. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8:454–470.
- Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. 2018. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the AI2 reasoning challenge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457*.
- Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, et al. 2021. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168*.
- Alexis Conneau, Kartikay Khandelwal, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Guillaume Wenzek, Francisco Guzmán, Edouard Grave, Myle Ott, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2020. Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 8440– 8451, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of*

the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Sebastian Gehrmann, Tosin Adewumi, Karmanya Aggarwal, Pawan Sasanka Ammanamanchi, Anuoluwapo Aremu, Antoine Bosselut, Khyathi Raghavi Chandu, Miruna-Adriana Clinciu, Dipanjan Das, Kaustubh Dhole, Wanyu Du, Esin Durmus, Ondřej Dušek, Chris Chinenye Emezue, Varun Gangal, Cristina Garbacea, Tatsunori Hashimoto, Yufang Hou, Yacine Jernite, Harsh Jhamtani, Yangfeng Ji, Shailza Jolly, Mihir Kale, Dhruv Kumar, Faisal Ladhak, Aman Madaan, Mounica Maddela, Khyati Mahajan, Saad Mahamood, Bodhisattwa Prasad Majumder, Pedro Henrique Martins, Angelina McMillan-Major, Simon Mille, Emiel van Miltenburg, Moin Nadeem, Shashi Narayan, Vitaly Nikolaev, Andre Niyongabo Rubungo, Salomey Osei, Ankur Parikh, Laura Perez-Beltrachini, Niranjan Ramesh Rao, Vikas Raunak, Juan Diego Rodriguez, Sashank Santhanam, João Sedoc, Thibault Sellam, Samira Shaikh, Anastasia Shimorina, Marco Antonio Sobrevilla Cabezudo, Hendrik Strobelt, Nishant Subramani, Wei Xu, Diyi Yang, Akhila Yerukola, and Jiawei Zhou. 2021. The GEM benchmark: Natural language generation, its evaluation and metrics. In Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Natural Language Generation, Evaluation, and Metrics (GEM 2021), pages 96-120, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Dan Hendrycks, Collin Burns, Steven Basart, Andy Zou, Mantas Mazeika, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. 2021. Measuring massive multitask language understanding. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Junjie Hu, Sebastian Ruder, Aditya Siddhant, Graham Neubig, Orhan Firat, and Melvin Johnson. 2020. XTREME: A massively multilingual multitask benchmark for evaluating cross-lingual generalization. In *Proceedings of ICML 2020*.
- Lifu Huang, Ronan Le Bras, Chandra Bhagavatula, and Yejin Choi. 2019. Cosmos QA: Machine reading comprehension with contextual commonsense reasoning. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 2391–2401, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Mandar Joshi, Eunsol Choi, Daniel Weld, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2017. TriviaQA: A large scale distantly supervised challenge dataset for reading comprehension. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1601–1611, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Rabeeh Karimi Mahabadi, Luke Zettlemoyer, James Henderson, Lambert Mathias, Marzieh Saeidi, Veselin Stoyanov, and Majid Yazdani. 2022. Promptfree and efficient few-shot learning with language models. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics* (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 3638–3652, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Daniel Martin Katz, Michael James Bommarito, Shang Gao, and Pablo Arredondo. 2023. GPT-4 passes the bar exam. *Available at SSRN 4389233*.
- Fajri Koto, Timothy Baldwin, and Jey Han Lau. 2022a. Cloze evaluation for deeper understanding of commonsense stories in Indonesian. In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Commonsense Representation and Reasoning (CSRR 2022)*, pages 8–16, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Fajri Koto, Timothy Baldwin, and Jey Han Lau. 2022b. LipKey: A large-scale news dataset for absent keyphrases generation and abstractive summarization. In Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, pages 3427– 3437, Gyeongju, Republic of Korea. International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
- Fajri Koto and Ikhwan Koto. 2020. Towards computational linguistics in Minangkabau language: Studies on sentiment analysis and machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 34th Pacific Asia Conference on Language, Information and Computation*, pages 138– 148, Hanoi, Vietnam. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Fajri Koto, Jey Han Lau, and Timothy Baldwin. 2020a. Liputan6: A large-scale Indonesian dataset for text summarization. In Proceedings of the 1st Conference of the Asia-Pacific Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 10th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, pages 598–608, Suzhou, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Fajri Koto, Jey Han Lau, and Timothy Baldwin. 2021. IndoBERTweet: A pretrained language model for Indonesian Twitter with effective domain-specific vocabulary initialization. In Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 10660–10668, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Fajri Koto, Afshin Rahimi, Jey Han Lau, and Timothy Baldwin. 2020b. IndoLEM and IndoBERT: A benchmark dataset and pre-trained language model for Indonesian NLP. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 757–770, Barcelona, Spain (Online). International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
- Fajri Koto and Gemala Y Rahmaningtyas. 2017. Inset lexicon: Evaluation of a word list for Indonesian sentiment analysis in microblogs. In 2017 International

Conference on Asian Language Processing (IALP), pages 391–394. IEEE.

- Tom Kwiatkowski, Jennimaria Palomaki, Olivia Redfield, Michael Collins, Ankur Parikh, Chris Alberti, Danielle Epstein, Illia Polosukhin, Jacob Devlin, Kenton Lee, Kristina Toutanova, Llion Jones, Matthew Kelcey, Ming-Wei Chang, Andrew M. Dai, Jakob Uszkoreit, Quoc Le, and Slav Petrov. 2019. Natural questions: A benchmark for question answering research. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 7:452–466.
- Guokun Lai, Qizhe Xie, Hanxiao Liu, Yiming Yang, and Eduard Hovy. 2017. RACE: Large-scale ReAding comprehension dataset from examinations. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 785– 794, Copenhagen, Denmark. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Haonan Li, Fajri Koto, Minghao Wu, Alham Fikri Aji, and Timothy Baldwin. 2023a. Bactrian-X: A multilingual replicable instruction-following model with low-rank adaptation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.15011.
- Haonan Li, Yixuan Zhang, Fajri Koto, Yifei Yang, Hai Zhao, Yeyun Gong, Nan Duan, and Timothy Baldwin. 2023b. CMMLU: Measuring massive multitask language understanding in chinese. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.09212*.
- Yaobo Liang, Nan Duan, Yeyun Gong, Ning Wu, Fenfei Guo, Weizhen Qi, Ming Gong, Linjun Shou, Daxin Jiang, Guihong Cao, Xiaodong Fan, Ruofei Zhang, Rahul Agrawal, Edward Cui, Sining Wei, Taroon Bharti, Ying Qiao, Jiun-Hung Chen, Winnie Wu, Shuguang Liu, Fan Yang, Daniel Campos, Rangan Majumder, and Ming Zhou. 2020. XGLUE: A new benchmark dataset for cross-lingual pre-training, understanding and generation. In *Proceedings of the* 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), pages 6008–6018, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xi Victoria Lin, Todor Mihaylov, Mikel Artetxe, Tianlu Wang, Shuohui Chen, Daniel Simig, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Shruti Bhosale, Jingfei Du, et al. 2021. Few-shot learning with multilingual language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.10668.*
- Chen Cecilia Liu, Fajri Koto, Timothy Baldwin, and Iryna Gurevych. 2023a. Are multilingual llms culturally-diverse reasoners? an investigation into multicultural proverbs and sayings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.08591*.
- Chuang Liu, Renren Jin, Yuqi Ren, Linhao Yu, Tianyu Dong, Xiaohan Peng, Shuting Zhang, Jianxiang Peng, Peiyi Zhang, Qingqing Lyu, et al. 2023b. M3KE: A massive multi-level multi-subject knowledge evaluation benchmark for chinese large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10263*.

- Swaroop Mishra, Arindam Mitra, Neeraj Varshney, Bhavdeep Sachdeva, Peter Clark, Chitta Baral, and Ashwin Kalyan. 2022. NumGLUE: A suite of fundamental yet challenging mathematical reasoning tasks. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 3505–3523, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Niklas Muennighoff, Thomas Wang, Lintang Sutawika, Adam Roberts, Stella Biderman, Teven Le Scao, M Saiful Bari, Sheng Shen, Zheng-Xin Yong, Hailey Schoelkopf, et al. 2022. Crosslingual generalization through multitask finetuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.01786*.
- Joseph Novak. 1988. Learning science and the science of learning. *Studies in Science Education*, 15(1):77– 101.
- OpenAI. 2023. GPT-4 technical report. ArXiv, abs/2303.08774.
- Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, et al. 2022. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 35:27730–27744.
- Guilherme Penedo, Quentin Malartic, Daniel Hesslow, Ruxandra Cojocaru, Alessandro Cappelli, Hamza Alobeidli, Baptiste Pannier, Ebtesam Almazrouei, and Julien Launay. 2023. The RefinedWeb dataset for Falcon LLM: Outperforming curated corpora with web data, and web data only. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.01116*.
- Ayu Purwarianti and Ida Ayu Putu Ari Crisdayanti. 2019. Improving bi-LSTM performance for Indonesian sentiment analysis using paragraph vector. In 2019 International Conference of Advanced Informatics: Concepts, Theory and Applications (ICAICTA), pages 1–5. IEEE.
- Ayu Purwarianti, Masatoshi Tsuchiya, and Seiichi Nakagawa. 2007. A machine learning approach for Indonesian question answering system. In *Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, pages 573–578.
- Rifki Afina Putri and Alice Oh. 2022. IDK-MRC: Unanswerable questions for Indonesian machine reading comprehension. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 6918–6933, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sebastian Ruder, Noah Constant, Jan Botha, Aditya Siddhant, Orhan Firat, Jinlan Fu, Pengfei Liu, Junjie Hu, Dan Garrette, Graham Neubig, and Melvin Johnson. 2021. XTREME-R: Towards more challenging and nuanced multilingual evaluation. In *Proceedings* of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 10215–10245,

Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Margaret Ryznar. 2023. Exams in the time of ChatGPT. Washington and Lee Law Review Online, 80(5):305.
- Keisuke Sakaguchi, Ronan Le Bras, Chandra Bhagavatula, and Yejin Choi. 2021. Winogrande: An adversarial Winograd schema challenge at scale. *Communications of the ACM*, 64(9):99–106.
- Mei Silviana Saputri, Rahmad Mahendra, and Mirna Adriani. 2018. Emotion classification on Indonesian Twitter dataset. In 2018 International Conference on Asian Language Processing (IALP), pages 90–95. IEEE.
- Neha Sengupta, Sunil Kumar Sahu, Bokang Jia, Satheesh Katipomu, Haonan Li, Fajri Koto, Osama Mohammed Afzal, Samta Kamboj, Onkar Pandit, Rahul Pal, et al. 2023. Jais and jais-chat: Arabic-centric foundation and instruction-tuned open generative large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.16149*.
- Chenglei Si, Zhe Gan, Zhengyuan Yang, Shuohang Wang, Jianfeng Wang, Jordan Boyd-Graber, and Lijuan Wang. 2022. Prompting GPT-3 to be reliable. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.09150*.
- Alon Talmor, Jonathan Herzig, Nicholas Lourie, and Jonathan Berant. 2019. CommonsenseQA: A question answering challenge targeting commonsense knowledge. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4149–4158, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. 2023. LLaMA: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*.
- Thinh Truong, Timothy Baldwin, Trevor Cohn, and Karin Verspoor. 2022. Improving negation detection with negation-focused pre-training. In *Proceedings* of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 4188–4193, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alex Wang, Yada Pruksachatkun, Nikita Nangia, Amanpreet Singh, Julian Michael, Felix Hill, Omer Levy, and Samuel Bowman. 2019. SuperGLUE: A stickier benchmark for general-purpose language understanding systems. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32.
- Alex Wang, Amanpreet Singh, Julian Michael, Felix Hill, Omer Levy, and Samuel Bowman. 2018. GLUE:

A multi-task benchmark and analysis platform for natural language understanding. In *Proceedings of the* 2018 EMNLP Workshop BlackboxNLP: Analyzing and Interpreting Neural Networks for NLP, pages 353–355, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Xuezhi Wang, Jason Wei, Dale Schuurmans, Quoc Le, Ed Chi, Sharan Narang, Aakanksha Chowdhery, and Denny Zhou. 2022. Self-consistency improves chain of thought reasoning in language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.11171*.
- Bryan Wilie, Karissa Vincentio, Genta Indra Winata, Samuel Cahyawijaya, Xiaohong Li, Zhi Yuan Lim, Sidik Soleman, Rahmad Mahendra, Pascale Fung, Syafri Bahar, and Ayu Purwarianti. 2020. IndoNLU: Benchmark and resources for evaluating Indonesian natural language understanding. In Proceedings of the 1st Conference of the Asia-Pacific Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 10th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, pages 843–857, Suzhou, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Genta Indra Winata, Alham Fikri Aji, Samuel Cahyawijaya, Rahmad Mahendra, Fajri Koto, Ade Romadhony, Kemal Kurniawan, David Moeljadi, Radityo Eko Prasojo, Pascale Fung, Timothy Baldwin, Jey Han Lau, Rico Sennrich, and Sebastian Ruder. 2023. NusaX: Multilingual parallel sentiment dataset for 10 Indonesian local languages. In Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 815–834, Dubrovnik, Croatia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Remi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander Rush. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations, pages 38–45, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Rowan Zellers, Ari Holtzman, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, and Yejin Choi. 2019. HellaSwag: Can a machine really finish your sentence? In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 4791–4800, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.

A Data Statistics

Subjects	SD	SMP	SMA	UE	Total
Science	488	680	_	_	1168
Physics	-	_	297	_	297
Chemistry	_	_	287	398	685
Biology	-	_	457	388	845
Social science	300	299	-	-	599
Geography	_	_	196	294	490
Sociology	-	-	295	201	496
Economics	-	-	296	192	488
History	-	_	300	198	498
Civics	99	300	300	_	699
Indonesian language	1125	850	857	381	3213
Balinese	200	123	148	_	471
Makassarese	98	41	47	-	186
Banjarese	120	10	14	_	144
Lampungic	93	30	24	-	147
Madurese	100	93	102	-	295
Sundanese	718	294	145	-	1157
Javanese	396	298	298	-	992
Dayak Ngaju	109	-	-	-	109
Minangkabau culture	153	46	-	-	199
Art	200	200	201	-	601
Sports	49	49	50	-	148
Islam religion	201	202	300	-	703
Christian religion	50	49	102	-	201
Hindu religion	49	52	49	-	150
Total	4548	3616	4765	2052	14981

Table 6, Table 7, and Table 8 provide detailed statistics of the question distribution in IndoMMLU.

Table 6: Total number of questions for each subject area and education level. "SD", "SMP", "SMA", "UE" indicate primary school, junior high school, senior high school, and university entrance tests, respectively.

Class	#questions
1	200
2	150
3	195
4	187
5	196
6	197
7	282
8	291
9	277
10	295
11	288
12	274
12+	381
Total	3213

Table 7: Total number of questions in the Indonesian language subject, including those designated for university entrance tests (12+).

Category	#question
STEM	2995
Social science	2772
Humanities	2301
Indonesian language	3213
Local languages and cultures	3700
Total	14981

 Table 8: Total number of questions based on subject areas.

B Few-shot Prompt

lni adalah beberapa contoh soal [SUBJECT].	These are several examples of [SUBJECT] question.
[Example-1]	[Example-1]
Jawaban: [Answer-1]	Answer: [Answer-1]
[Example-2]	[Example-2]
Jawaban: [Answer-2]	Answer: [Answer-2]
[Example-3]	[Example-3]
Jawaban: [Answer-3]	Answer: [Answer-3]
[QUESTION]	[QUESTION]
Jawaban:	Answer:

Figure 10: Illustration of our few-shot prompt template. The English translation on the right is solely for illustrative purposes. In our experiments, we used up to three examples within the prompt. The placeholders [SUBJECT], Example-i, Answer-i, and QUESTION correspond to the subject, the *i*-th question example, the answer key for the *i*-th question example, and the main question, respectively.

C Zero-shot Performance Based on the Probability of the Full Generated Answer

Model (#parameters)	STEM	Social Science	Humanities	Indonesian Language	Local languages and Cultures	Average
Random	21.9	23.4	23.5	24.4	26.6	24.4
XGLM (564M)	24.2	25.9	27.2	29.0	27.8	26.8
XGLM (1.7B)	23.7	25.4	27.1	28.4	28.9	26.9
XGLM (2.9B)	23.6	25.4	28.3	28.8	28.8	26.9
XGLM (4.5B)	23.9	25.5	29.4	27.9	28.1	27.2
XGLM (7.5B)	23.5	26.0	29.4	28.6	28.9	27.6
Falcon (7B)	22.2	25.8	28.4	30.1	27.9	26.8
Falcon (40B)	25.8	28.4	29.5	32.9	27.7	28.2
BLOOMZ (560M)	23.0	24.4	23.7	27.2	26.4	24.9
BLOOMZ (1.1B)	22.9	25.8	26.6	28.3	27.4	26.2
BLOOMZ (1.7B)	23.7	29.8	29.7	32.8	28.1	28.3
BLOOMZ (3B)	27.6	32.5	32.6	35.0	27.4	30.0
BLOOMZ (7.1B)	26.8	32.9	33.5	36.5	28.1	30.5
mT0 _{small} (300M)	24.0	26.1	27.0	29.8	30.8	27.8
mT0 _{base} (580M)	23.9	25.5	27.6	30.1	30.5	27.7
mT0 _{large} (1.2B)	25.1	27.5	27.9	33.6	29.6	28.2
mT0 _{x1} (3.7B)	28.5	36.1	35.3	40.7	34.3	34.2
mTO_{xxl} (13B)	30.1	38.1	40.9	43.2	34.5	36.4
LLamA (7B)	23.7	25.6	28.0	29.0	28.3	27.0
LLamA (13B)	24.0	25.4	27.7	29.4	29.6	27.4
LLamA (30B)	24.3	26.4	29.5	29.8	28.5	27.7
LLamA (65B)	26.7	29.3	32.4	32.9	29.0	29.7
Bactrian-X-LLamA (7B)	23.8	25.4	28.7	29.8	28.0	27.0
Bactrian-X-LLamA (13B)	25.6	27.4	29.2	30.7	27.9	27.8

Table 9: Zero-shot performance (% accuracy) of large language models based on **the probability of the full generated answer**, aggregated across education levels. "Average" means the average across all subject areas in IndoMMLU.

D Model Artifacts

Models (#parameters)	Source
XGLM (564M)	facebook/xglm-564M
XGLM (1.7B)	facebook/xglm-1.7B
XGLM (2.9B)	facebook/xglm-2.9B
XGLM (4.5B)	facebook/xglm-4.5B
XGLM (7.5B)	facebook/xglm-7.5B
Falcon (7B)	tiiuae/falcon-7b
Falcon (40B)	tiiuae/falcon-40b
BLOOMZ (560M)	bigscience/bloomz-560m
BLOOMZ (1.1B)	bigscience/bloomz-1b1
BLOOMZ (1.7B)	bigscience/bloomz-1b7
BLOOMZ (3B)	bigscience/bloomz-3b
BLOOMZ (7.1B)	bigscience/bloomz-7b1
mT0 _{small} (300M)	bigscience/mt0-small
mT0 _{base} (580M)	<pre>bigscience/mt0-base</pre>
mTO _{large} (1.2B)	<pre>bigscience/mt0-large</pre>
mT0 _{x1} (3.7B)	bigscience/mt0-xl
mTO_{xxl} (13B)	bigscience/mt0-xxl
LLamA (7B)	decapoda-research/llama-7b-hf
LLamA (13B)	decapoda-research/llama-13b-hf
LLamA (30B)	decapoda-research/llama-30b-hf
LLamA (65B)	huggyllama/llama-65b
Bactrian-X-LLamA (7B)	MBZUAI/bactrian-x-llama-7b-lora
Bactrian-X-LLamA (13B)	MBZUAI/bactrian-x-llama-13b-lora

Table 10: With the exception of GPT–3.5 (Ouyang et al., 2022), all the models used in this study were sourced from Huggingface (Wolf et al., 2020).

E Full Results in Each Subject and Education Level in GPT-3.5, mT0, and BLOOMZ



Figure 11: Performance (% accuracy) breakdown across the 64 tasks. "SD", "SMP", "SMA", "UE" indicate primary school, junior high school, senior high school, and university entrance tests, respectively. The red vertical line denotes random performance.