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Abstract

Recently Large Language Models (LLMs) have been proven to have strong abilities in various domains and tasks. We study the problem of prompt designing in the text-to-SQL task and attempt to improve the LLMs’ reasoning ability when generating SQL queries. Besides the trivial few-shot in-context learning setting, we design our chain-of-thought (CoT) prompt with a similar method to schema linking. We provide a method named ACT-SQL1 to automatically generate auto-CoT exemplars and thus the whole process doesn’t need manual labeling. Our approach is cost-saving since we only use the LLMs’ API call once when generating one SQL query. Furthermore, we extend our in-context learning method to the multi-turn text-to-SQL task. The experiment results show that the LLMs’ performance can benefit from our ACT-SQL approach. Our approach achieves SOTA performance on the Spider dev set among existing in-context learning approaches.

1 Introduction

The text-to-SQL task (Zhong et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2017) aims to translate the natural language question into the corresponding SQL query with the given database schema. It is the key technique to establish the natural language interface on relational databases, which can help common users access data from relational databases in a more convenient way.

Recent studies in text-to-SQL research have primarily centered on the development of semantic parsers within the framework of cross-domain analysis. In cross-domain text-to-SQL datasets such as Spider (Yu et al., 2018), SParC (Yu et al., 2019b), and CoSQL (Yu et al., 2019a), the databases employed in the train set, dev set, and test set do not overlap. Prior research endeavors have focused on training specialized text-to-SQL models and optimizing their structural components to enhance overall performance. Notably, these efforts have yielded impressive model performances across various datasets. Nevertheless, the construction of such models necessitates a substantial number of high-quality training examples and entails significant time investments for finetuning. Moreover, these models often possess intricate structures, rendering their deployment challenging.

Recent research has provided empirical evidence establishing the substantial capabilities of Large Language Models (LLMs), such as GPT-3 (Brown et al., 2020) and ChatGPT (Ouyang et al., 2022), across a wide spectrum of domains and tasks. As the scale of LLMs continues to expand, scholarly investigations have revealed the presence of emergent abilities (Wei et al., 2022) exclusive to larger LLMs and absent in their smaller counterparts. Therefore, the latest studies employ LLMs in the context of the text-to-SQL task, utilizing the in-context learning method (Brown et al., 2020). Owing to the impressive performance demonstrated by LLMs in zero-shot or few-shot prompting scenarios, the need for extensive finetuning using an abundance of training examples has been rendered unnecessary. Consequently, the integration of LLMs in the text-to-SQL process yields notable time and cost savings.

Nonetheless, contemporary in-context learning approaches for text-to-SQL encounter certain challenges. For instance, Rajkumar et al. (2022), in comparison to SOTA finetuned models, employ a simplistic prompt designing approach that yields relatively subpar performance. Similarly, Pourreza and Rafiei (2023) employs a convoluted workflow to generate the final SQL query, resulting in achieving SOTA performance on the test set of the Spider dataset. However, this approach proves time-consuming and resource-intensive, as it ne-
cessitates multiple API calls to LLMs during the query generation process. Moreover, the recent advancements in in-context learning methods for text-to-SQL have yet to be extended to multi-turn datasets, such as SParC, CoSQL, and DIR (Li et al., 2023b).

Despite the proficiency of LLMs as zero-shot and few-shot learners, the mere superficial prompt design fails to fully activate their capabilities. To address this limitation, Wei et al. (2023) proposes a novel prompting technique called chain-of-thought (CoT). Through the CoT method, the prompt text encompasses a comprehensive thinking process that guides LLMs towards accurate deduction of answers. Notably, the CoT method mirrors the sequential nature of human reasoning, wherein intermediate answers are obtained before arriving at a final conclusion. Given the intricate nature of the text-to-SQL task, the CoT method proves highly suitable, as generating the SQL query entails complex reasoning processes. However, existing CoT methodologies necessitate extensive time investments in the selection of canonical examples and manual labeling. The text-to-SQL task lacks an automated approach for generating CoT sequences.

In this paper, we propose our in-context learning method for the text-to-SQL task with the automatically-generated CoT. First, under the zero-shot setting, we study the influence on LLMs’ performance caused by the input format of the database schema. Second, under the few-shot setting, we provide a hybrid strategy to select exemplars and study the influence on LLMs’ performance caused by the number of exemplars. Our experiment results show that the strategy is effective. Third, we present our approach named ACT-SQL to generate auto-CoT for the dataset training example consisting of the database schema, the question, and the corresponding SQL query. The experiment results show that the generated auto-CoT can indeed improve the LLMs’ performance. The ACT-SQL achieves the SOTA performance on the Spider dev set among existing in-context learning methods. Furthermore, our automatic method is cost-saving and time-saving and does not need extra LLMs’ API calls.

3. We extend our method onto the multi-turn text-to-SQL task and achieve comparable performances with finetuned models on the SParC and CoSQL datasets.

2 Related Work

Text-to-SQL models Over the past several years, text-to-SQL researches mainly focus on building well-designed deep neural networks (Chen et al., 2021b; Cao et al., 2023). RATSQL model (Wang et al., 2020) and LGESQL model (Cao et al., 2021) are AST-based approaches, where AST is the abbreviation of the abstract syntax tree. They encode the input and decode the AST of the SQL query with predefined grammar. AST-based approaches perform well but are generally complex to deploy. PICARD (Scholak et al., 2021) is a sequence-to-sequence model. SQL is a formal language that follows strict grammar rules. Directly finetuning pretrained language models (PLMs) on text-to-SQL datasets would make PLMs likely to generate invalid SQL queries. The PICARD model rejects invalid tokens at each decoding step and constrains the generated results into a certain output space.

Although these specialized models have achieved excellent performances, there still exist some inevitable disadvantages. In order to train a text-to-SQL model, abundant high-quality training examples are needed. Constructing and labeling a large-scale text-to-SQL dataset is always not easy and would consume a lot of resources and time. Training and finetuning the model is also a hard project which costs many computing resources.

In-context learning for text-to-SQL Since LLMs have shown amazing ability across various domains and have been applied in many academic and industrial fields, the latest researches begin to activate the LLMs’ ability for the text-to-SQL task. Rajkumar et al. (2022) uses the trivial zero-shot and few-shot learning setting and performs an
empirical evaluation of text-to-SQL capabilities of LLMs including GPT-3 (Brown et al., 2020) and Codex (Chen et al., 2021a). They perform the zero-shot prompt learning on Spider (Yu et al., 2018), a large-scale human-labeled cross-domain text-to-SQL dataset. Their work is relatively simple and the performance falls behind finetuned models.

Nan et al. (2023) mainly concentrate on the strategy of exemplars selection. Their work achieves good performance on several cross-domain datasets including Spider, Spider-Syn (Gan et al., 2021a), Spider-DK (Gan et al., 2021b) and Spider-Realistic (Deng et al., 2021). However, their work requires an extra preliminary predictor to evaluate the SQL’s difficulty level and needs to use LLMs’ API call many times due to the majority vote method.

DIN-SQL (Pourreza and Rafiei, 2023) provides a relatively complex approach. DIN-SQL consists of a complex workflow that decomposes the problem into several simpler sub-problems. With the LLM GPT-4, DIN-SQL has surpassed previous finetuned models and has achieved the best score on the Spider dataset. But DIN-SQL’s workflow is obviously slow and expensive since it uses LLMs’ API call many times to generate one SQL.

3 Methodology

With the in-context learning method, the SQL generation process can be formulated as

\[ S = \text{LLM}(I, D, Q, E) \].

\( I \) represents the instruction. \( D \) represents the database schema. \( Q \) represents the question. \( E = [(D_1, Q_1, P_1), \ldots, (D_n, Q_n, P_n)] \) is the list of exemplars where \( P_i \) is the answer prompt which contains the correct SQL for the \( i \)-th exemplar. Thus the performance of LLMs is mainly influenced by the database prompt style, the exemplar selection strategy, and the exemplar prompt design.

In this section, we first describe the prompt styles of the database schema. Then we state our strategy of exemplar selection for the few-shot learning setting. Furthermore, we introduce our ACT-SQL approach, i.e. the automatically generated CoT method for constructing effective answer prompts. Finally, we extend our approach to the multi-turn text-to-SQL task.

3.1 Database Prompt Style

Previous works have shown that when the database schema, strong LLMs (e.g. GPT models) can translate the relatively simple natural language question into the correct SQL query, though no exemplar is provided. Under the zero-shot setting, the LLMs merely take the database schema and the question as the input. Thus the input format of the database schema would mainly influence the LLMs’ performance. Generally, we use five different database schema styles, which are shown in Appendix C.1:

1. Table(Column) lists each table followed by its columns in each line. This style follows the official document provided by OpenAI\(^2\).

2. Table(Column)(PF) adds primary keys and foreign keys at the end of Table(Column).

3. Create(NoPF) describes all tables and columns with the “create table” statement in the SQL grammar. “NoPF” represents that no information on primary keys and foreign keys is added. Compared with Table(Column), this input format contains information on column types (e.g. number and text) and is more similar to real SQL statements.

4. Create(EoC) adds primary keys and foreign keys based on Create(NoPF). “EoC” represents that they are added at the end of the corresponding column.

5. Create(EoT) adds primary keys and foreign keys based on Create(NoPF). “EoT” represents that they are added at the end of the table.

Furthermore, database contents are concerned. Specifically \( c \) example rows are appended to each table. Appendix C.2 shows instances where \( c = 3 \).

3.2 Exemplar Selection

Given a few exemplars, LLMs can benefit and acquire tips from them and thus generate SQL queries with a more standard format and higher accuracy. Exemplar selection is an important work under the few-shot setting, which would influence the LLMs’ performance a lot.

We select exemplars using a hybrid strategy. Specifically, we first of all select \( n_s \) examples from the training dataset at random. These dataset examples are named static exemplars. They would be used in the context of every test case. As for each specific test case, we select \( n_d \) extra examples from

\(^2\text{https://platform.openai.com/examples/default-sql-translate} \)
the training dataset. These dataset examples are named dynamic exemplars since they are selected according to some features of the current test case. Consequently, there are total \( n_s + n_d \) exemplars for each test case.

In order to get dynamic exemplars that are more relevant to the current test case, we compare the natural language question of the current test case with all questions in the training dataset. We calculate the similarity scores with the suitable pretrained model and then select the top-\( n_d \) training dataset examples. We believe that dynamic exemplars with more relevant questions would provide more effective information to the LLMs.

### 3.3 Chain-of-Thought Prompt Design

Under the few-shot learning setting, it has been proven that the LLMs’ performance can benefit a lot from the chain-of-thought (CoT) (Wei et al., 2023) method. In the text-to-SQL task, only the database schema, the question, and the corresponding SQL query are provided in the prompt under the trivial few-shot learning setting. However, with the CoT method, the thought process of how to write the correct SQL query is added to the prompt. These prompting texts can help the LLMs think step by step when generating the complete SQL query and thus can activate the logical reasoning ability of the LLMs.

In previous works, some grammar-based text-to-SQL models utilize the graph encoding technique to jointly encode both the database schema and the question. Schema linking (Bogin et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Cao et al., 2021) is a commonly used algorithm for building the input graph. If the question tokens exactly or partially match some schema item (i.e. table and column) names, then they are linked with the specific graph edge. It is obvious that the schema linking method can help the text-to-SQL models fetch the most relevant tables and columns among a great number of schema items based on the question.

We design our chain-of-thought prompt with a similar method to schema linking. Figure 1 shows an instance of the manually labeled CoT for the example from the train set of the Spider dataset (Yu et al., 2018). As suggested in Kojima et al. (2023), the CoT prompt starts with “Let’s think step by step”. For each slice of the question sentence that may contain some information about the schema item, we add them into the CoT prompting text in the format shown in Figure 1. Furthermore, the values mentioned in the question and the SQL query are also a concern. The final SQL query is appended at the end of the CoT prompt.

**Auto-CoT** Although CoT prompts can be manually labeled, it costs a lot of time to find efficient and effective training dataset examples for CoT labeling. In addition, manually labeled CoT exemplars are fixed, which means that they are all static exemplars and dynamic exemplars are deficient. In order to deal with problems in the manual labeling process, we introduce an automatic method to generate auto-CoT prompts for every example in the training dataset.

Given the question \( q = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_{|q|}) \) and the SQL query \( s \), the \( q_i \) represents the \( i \)-th token in the question sentence. We define \( q_{i,j} = (q_i, q_{i+1}, \ldots, q_j) \) as a slice of the original question. We first enumerate each column \([\text{tab}],[\text{col}]\) appearing in the SQL query, where \([\text{tab}]\) represents the table name and \([\text{col}]\) represents the column name. For each column, we use the suitable pretrained model to compute the similarity scores between the current column and all the question sentence slices. The most relevant slice is

\[
\arg \max_{q_{i,j}} \text{Sim}([\text{tab}],[\text{col}], q_{i,j}),
\]

where \( \text{Sim} \) is the similarity function. We link the column and its most relevant slice and add them to the auto-CoT prompt in the same format as the manual labeled CoT prompt. Note that during this process, we ignore the column appearing in the GROUP BY clause of the SQL query, since the GROUP BY column is commonly not mentioned directly in the question.

Secondly, we enumerate each table \([\text{tab}]\) appearing in the SQL query, where \([\text{tab}]\) represents the table name. In this process, we eliminate tables that have occurred in the columns, since those tables have been added into the auto CoT prompt. The left tables only appear in the FROM clause and

---

**Figure 1:** Manually labeled CoT for the dataset example.
indicate some extra information. For each table, we also compute all the similarity scores and find out the most relevant question slice, i.e.,

$$\arg \max_{q_{i,j}} \text{Sim}(\text{tab}, q_{i,j}).$$

We link the table and its most relevant slice and add them to the auto-CoT.

Finally, we enumerate the values in the SQL query and then add them to the auto-CoT. Figure 2 shows an instance of the auto-generated CoT from the train set of the Spider dataset.

![Auto-CoT](image)

**Figure 2:** Auto-CoT for the dataset example.

### 3.4 Extension for Multi-turn Text-to-SQL

The prompts described in the previous sections are designed for the single-turn text-to-SQL task. However, questions in the multi-turn text-to-SQL task are context-dependent and thus those prompts cannot be directly used. Moreover, the auto-CoT method is also disabled under the multi-turn setting, since the auto-CoT method finds information about schema linking based on the question slices. Under the multi-turn setting, this information may distribute into several context-dependent sentences.

In order to deal with the challenge of the multi-turn text-to-SQL task, we use LLMs to convert the multi-turn text-to-SQL task into the single-turn text-to-SQL task. Concretely, with the help of the LLMs, we can rewrite the question sentences and remove the context dependency among them. Thus, each rewritten question and its corresponding SQL query turn into a new independent dataset example. We then directly apply the previous in-context learning method in the converted multi-turn text-to-SQL task.

The quality of the rewritten questions would influence the LLMs’ performance a lot. It is necessary to manually label some rewriting exemplars in order to fix the format and improve the quality of the LLMs’ outputs. For each multi-turn text-to-SQL dataset, we select 10 examples from the train set at random and manually label the rewritten results.

### 4 Experiments

#### 4.1 Experiment Setup

**Models**

We mainly use the GPT-3.5-turbo model to evaluate our proposed approach. The GPT-3.5-turbo model is a low-cost LLM and is very large to have the emergent ability (Wei et al., 2022) for handling the text-to-SQL task. In addition, we use the GPT-4 model to evaluate our auto-CoT method on the Spider dataset (Yu et al., 2018), since the GPT-4 model has a stronger reasoning ability but is much more expensive. We use the PLM text2vec-base-chinese to compute the similarity scores when selecting dynamic exemplars and generating auto-CoT prompts.

**Hyperparameters**

The temperature in LLMs’ API is set to 0, i.e. the greedy decoding strategy is applied. The text-to-SQL tasks require the model to generate SQL queries with strict grammar rules. The LLMs are likely to generate invalid SQL queries or to write SQL queries that are not relevant to the given questions if the temperature is too high. The number of max tokens is set to 150 for the trivial in-context learning setting and 750 when using the CoT method.

**Datasets**

We mainly evaluate our proposed approach on Spider, a large-scale human-labeled cross-domain text-to-SQL dataset across 200 databases covering 138 domains. The Spider dataset contains 8,659 examples in the train set and 1,034 examples in the dev set. It also provides the evaluation script which divides SQL queries into four categories (i.e. easy, medium, hard, and extra) according to the difficulty level. The test set of Spider is not publicly available. We conduct the experiments on the dev set.

In addition, we also conduct the in-context learning experiments on Spider-Syn (Gan et al., 2021a), Spider-DK (Gan et al., 2021b) and Spider-Realistic (Deng et al., 2021). Based on Spider, Spider-Syn replaces some schema-related tokens in the question with synonyms, which would make models unable to discover useful schema items with the simple string-matching method. Spider-DK defines five types of domain knowledge and modifies some examples by adding domain knowledge that reflects real-world question paraphrases. Spider-DK evaluates the models’ generalization ability across domains when domain knowledge does not frequently appear in the train set. Spider-Realistic removes explicit mentions of column
names to evaluate the model’s ability to capture text-table alignment.

As for multi-turn text-to-SQL datasets, we conduct our experiments on SParC (Yu et al., 2019b) and CoSQL (Yu et al., 2019a). SParC totally consists of 4,298 coherent question sequences including 12k+ individual questions and the corresponding SQL queries. CoSQL totally contains 10k+ annotated SQL queries. Each dialogue in CoSQL simulates a real-world scenario where the common user is exploring the database and the expert is retrieving answers with SQL.

**Evaluation metrics** We use three commonly used evaluation metrics of the text-to-SQL task: exact match accuracy (EM), execution accuracy (EX), and test-suite accuracy (TS). The EM metric requires each component of the predicted SQL to be equivalent to the corresponding component of the gold SQL. Values in the SQL query are not concerned with the EM metric. The EX metric requires the execution result of the predicted SQL to be correct. Since there may exist different SQL queries that represent the same semantic, the EX metric is commonly more precise than the EM metric. The TS metric also evaluates the execution result but requires the result to be correct under multiple database instances per database schema.

For multi-turn text-to-SQL datasets, we evaluate our approach with question match accuracy (QM) and interaction match accuracy (IM). The QM score is 1 if the predicted SQL query for the single question is correct. The IM score is 1 if all the predicted SQL queries in the interaction are correct.

### 4.2 Zero-shot Results

As discussed in Section 3.1, the LLMs’ performance is mainly influenced by the database prompt style and the rows of database contents under the zero-shot learning setting. We first conduct experiments for studying the influence on LLMs’ performance caused by the rows of database contents. We fix the LLM as the GPT-3.5-turbo model and the database style as Table(Column) and only change the rows of database contents for each table in the prompt. Figure 3 shows the result on the Spider dev set. The LLM achieves the lowest score when no database content is provided. This indicates that database contents can provide useful tips for LLMs, especially when the testing case is sensitive to values in SQL where Table 1 shows two cases. In the first case, the 3 records from the database contain exactly one cell value “France” instead of “French” for the column “singer.Citizenship”. Thus the LLM successfully predicts the correct value when these records are added to the prompt. In the second case, the database contents point out that “Aberdeen” is the city name so that the LLM can predict the correct SQL structure.

#### Question: What are the names of the singers who are not French citizens?

**DB content 0:** SELECT Name FROM singer WHERE Citizenship != 'French'

**DB content 3:** SELECT Name FROM singer WHERE Citizenship != 'France'

#### Questions: Give the flight numbers of flights leaving from Aberdeen.

**DB content 0:** SELECT FlightNo FROM flights WHERE SourceAirport = 'Aberdeen'

**DB content 3:** SELECT FlightNo FROM flights WHERE SourceAirport IN (SELECT AirportCode FROM airports WHERE City = 'Aberdeen')

Table 1: Case study for different rows of DB contents.

The LLM gets the best score when the rows of database contents is set to 3. Too much database content in the prompt would not improve the LLMs’ performance. Therefore, we always set the rows of database contents to 3 for the subsequent experiments.

Table 3 shows the different performances of the GPT-3.5-turbo model when using different database styles. In general, Table(Column) and Table(Column)(PF) achieve higher scores than the other three database styles with the zero-shot learning setting because these two database styles follow the OpenAI’s official document and
Table 2: Performances of ACT-SQL and other previous works on Spider dev set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LLM</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>API per SQL</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>TS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Codex Davinci</td>
<td>Rajkumar et al. (2022)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>55.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codex Davinci</td>
<td>Chang and Fosler-Lussier (2023)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codex Davinci</td>
<td>DIN-SQL (Pourreza and Rafiei, 2023)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPT-4</td>
<td>DIN-SQL (Pourreza and Rafiei, 2023)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>74.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPT-3.5-turbo</td>
<td>ACT-SQL (Ours)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><strong>62.7</strong></td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPT-4</td>
<td>ACT-SQL (Ours)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td><strong>82.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>74.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Zero-shot performances of GPT-3.5-turbo with different DB styles on Spider dev set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DB Style</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>TS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table(Column)</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td><strong>69.4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table(Column)(PF)</td>
<td><strong>45.4</strong></td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>69.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create(NoPF)</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>66.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create(EoC)</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td><strong>79.2</strong></td>
<td>67.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create(EoT)</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>67.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experiment results prove that our ACT-SQL approach is effective. When the GPT-3.5-turbo model uses the ACT-SQL approach with the Create(EoT) database style, it achieves the best EM score of 62.7% and the best TS score of 71.4%. The best database style changes because LLMs can learn from exemplars. Table 13 shows the case study for the ACT-SQL method. With the trivial few-shot learning setting, there is a redundant column “TV_Channel.Hight_definition_TV” appearing in the SELECT clause. When the ACT-SQL method is applied, the entire output generated by the LLM contains the complete thinking process which successfully does the schema linking. After clarifying all the tables and columns that may be used in SQL, the LLM eventually writes the correct SQL query without any redundant schema item.

4.3 Few-shot Results

Table 11 shows all the few-shot experiment results on the Spider dev set, where different database styles and different numbers of static and dynamic exemplars are used. Compared with the zero-shot results, it is obvious that all the EM scores increase a lot. This is because SQL queries from the same dataset usually share similar grammar and structure and thus the exemplars from the Spider train set lead LLMs to output a similar SQL query.

Under the trivial few-shot learning setting, the TS scores also get improved by 1%-3% except for the Table(Column) database style. Table(Column) no longer performs better than Table(Column)(PF), since LLMs’ accuracy for predicting hard and extra hard SQL queries get increased with the few-shot exemplars and thus primary keys and foreign keys in the prompt become more important.

Since the GPT-4 model is expensive, we use the GPT-4 model to evaluate our ACT-SQL approach only with the Create(EoT) database style and \( n_s = n_d = 2 \). Table 2 shows the performances of our ACT-SQL and other previous works using in-context learning with LLMs. The ACT-SQL approach uses the LLMs’ API call only once for generating one SQL query and achieves the highest EM, EX, and TS scores among existing in-context learning approaches. ACT-SQL’s performance is also comparable to finetuned models. Actually, finetuned models would get higher scores on the dev set than the test set, since these models are selected by the dev set performance. Instead, in-context learning methods would not suffer the performance gap between the dev set and the test set. Table 4 shows some previous works’ performances on Spider dev set and test set. For finetuned ap-
proaches mentioned in the table, the performances drop from the dev set to the test set. On the contrary, for in-context learning approaches mentioned in the table, the performances increase from the dev set to the test set. After all, finetuned models are selected by the dev set performance, which would lead to the overfitting on the dev set and the performance dropping on the test set. For in-context learning approaches, the dev set and the test set are equal to the model. Performances between the dev set and the test set are only affected by the dataset feature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finetuned Approach</th>
<th>Dev</th>
<th>Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphix-3B+PICARD</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>77.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESDSQL-3B+NatSQL</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>79.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In-context Learning</th>
<th>Dev</th>
<th>Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C3 (Dong et al., 2023)</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIN-SQL (Pourreza and Rafiei, 2023)</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>85.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Performances of different previous approaches on Spider dev set and test set.

Table 5, Table 6 and Table 7 shows the GPT-3.5-turbo’s performances on Spider-Syn, Spider-DK, and Spider-Realistic dev set. We use the Create(EoT) database style and set $n_s = n_d = 2$. The experiment results show that our approach is still comparable to finetuned models on Spider-Syn and Spider-Realistic datasets. On the Spider-DK dataset, our approach’s EX score surpasses finetuned models. This is due to the wide range of domain knowledge stored in LLMs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>TS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphix-3B+PICARD</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESDSQL-3B+NatSQL</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Few-shot (Ours)</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT-SQL (Ours)</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>59.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Performances of GPT-3.5-turbo and other previous works on Spider-Syn dev set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>TS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphix-3B+PICARD</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESDSQL-3B+NatSQL</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Few-shot (Ours)</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>61.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT-SQL (Ours)</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Performances of GPT-3.5-turbo and other previous works on Spider-DK dev set.

4.4 Multi-turn Datasets Results

Table 8 and Table 9 show the GPT-3.5-turbo’s performances on two multi-turn text-to-SQL datasets, i.e. SParC and CoSQL. The database style is set to Create(CoT) and $n_s, n_d$ are set to 2 as before. The ACT-SQL approach is not that effective when applied to multi-turn datasets. We believe that our two-phase method causes bad performance. In the first phase, we use LLMs to rewrite questions in the interaction and convert the multi-turn dataset into the single-turn dataset. Sometimes the rewritten result’s quality is bad, which influences the schema-linking process. Table 10 shows two rewritten instances from the SParC dev set. In the first instance, the LLM correctly rewrites all sentences without missing any key information. However, in the second instance, the LLM does not remove the context dependency for the second sentence. This also leads to the error in the third sentence, where the keyword “airline” in the first sentence is missing. In general, our in-context learning method is comparable to finetuned models (GAZP + BERT) though there is still a big room for improvement. Improving LLMs’ performance on this difficult task is a challenging future work. We just complete the initial exploration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>QM</th>
<th>IM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAZP+BERT (Zhang et al., 2020)</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RASAT+PICARD (Qi et al., 2022)</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Few-shot (Ours)</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT-SQL (Ours)</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>63.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Performances of GPT-3.5-turbo and other previous works on Spider-Realistic dev set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>TS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphix-3B+PICARD</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESDSQL-3B+NatSQL</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Few-shot (Ours)</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT-SQL (Ours)</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>61.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Performances of GPT-3.5-turbo and other previous works on SParC dev set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>QM</th>
<th>IM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAZP+BERT</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RASAT+PICARD</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Few-shot (Ours)</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>63.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT-SQL (Ours)</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>63.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Performances of GPT-3.5-turbo and other previous works on CoSQL dev set.
5 Conclusion

LLMs have shown a strong ability in various domains with the in-context learning method. The latest studies have attempted to use LLMs to solve the text-to-SQL task. However, previous prompting approaches either perform worse than finetuned models or need to use LLMs’ API call many times. We design the CoT prompt which can be automatically generated and propose our ACT-SQL approach. The ACT-SQL approach uses LLMs’ API call only once to generate one SQL query. The experiment results prove that our approach achieves state-of-the-art performance on the Spider dev set among existing in-context learning approaches. Furthermore, we extend our approach to multi-turn text-to-SQL datasets.

Limitations

There are some limitations in our work. First of all, we use a hybrid strategy for the exemplar selection. The numbers of static and dynamic exemplars are hyperparameters and still need manually determined. In addition, it is a relatively simple strategy that still needs improvement. Furthermore, our approach achieves relatively poor scores on some robustness variants of the Spider dataset and some multi-turn text-to-SQL datasets. Exploration of these datasets can be conducted in future work.
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A Detailed Experiment Results

## DB Style

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>nuers</th>
<th>ns</th>
<th>nd</th>
<th>Few-shot</th>
<th>ACT-SQL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EM</td>
<td>EX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table(Column)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>77.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table(Column)(PF)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>79.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create(NoPF)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>78.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>79.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create(EoC)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create(EoT)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>79.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>80.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11: Few-shot performances of GPT-3.5-turbo with different DB styles and different numbers of static and dynamic exemplars on Spider dev set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LLM</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Easy</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Hard</th>
<th>Extra</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPT-3.5-turbo</td>
<td>ACT-SQL (Ours)</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPT-4</td>
<td>ACT-SQL (Ours)</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: Detailed performances of ACT-SQL on Spider dev set.

B Auto-CoT by LLM

**Question:** Find the package choice and series name of the TV channel that has high definition TV.

**Few-shot:** SELECT series_name, Hight_definition_TV, Package_Option FROM TV_Channel WHERE Hight_definition_TV = 'yes'

**ACT-SQL:** SELECT package_option, series_name FROM TV_Channel WHERE Hight_definition_TV = 'yes'

**Entire Output:**

Let's think step by step.

According to "TV channel that has high definition TV", columns [TV_Channel.Hight_definition_TV] may be used.

According to "package choice and series name", columns [TV_Channel.Package_Option] and [TV_Channel.series_name] may be used.

So the final answer is:

SELECT package_option, series_name FROM TV_Channel WHERE Hight_definition_TV = 'yes'

Table 13: Case study for ACT-SQL. The entire output is totally generated by the LLM with the ACT-SQL approach.

C Prompts

In this section, we list detailed prompts used in our experiments.
C.1 Database Styles

C.1.1 Table(Column)
# stadium(Stadium_ID, Location, Name, Capacity, Highest, Lowest, Average)
# singer(Singer_ID, Name, Country, Song_Name, Song_release_year, Age, Is_male)
# concert(concert_ID, concert_Name, Theme, Stadium_ID, Year)
# singer_in_concert(concert_ID, Singer_ID)

C.1.2 Table(Column)(PF)
# stadium(Stadium_ID, Location, Name, Capacity, Highest, Lowest, Average)
# singer(Singer_ID, Name, Country, Song_Name, Song_release_year, Age, Is_male)
# concert(concert_ID, concert_Name, Theme, Stadium_ID, Year)
# singer_in_concert(concert_ID, Singer_ID)
# primary keys = [stadium.Stadium_ID, singer.Singer_ID, concert.concert_ID, singer_in_concert.concert_ID]

C.1.3 Create(NoPF)
create table stadium (  
  Stadium_ID number,  
  Location text,  
  Name text,  
  Capacity number,  
  Highest number,  
  Lowest number,  
  Average number  
)
create table singer (  
  Singer_ID number,  
  Name text,  
  Country text,  
  Song_Name text,  
  Song_release_year text,  
  Age number,  
  Is_male others  
)
create table concert (  
  concert_ID number,  
  concert_Name text,  
  Theme text,  
  Stadium_ID text,  
  Year text  
)
create table singer_in_concert (  
  concert_ID number,  
  Singer_ID text  
)

C.1.4 Create(EoC)
create table stadium (  
  Stadium_ID number primary key,  
  Location text,
CREATE TABLE singer (
    Singer_ID NUMBER PRIMARY KEY,
    Name TEXT,
    Country TEXT,
    Song_Name TEXT,
    Song_release_year TEXT,
    Age NUMBER,
    Is_male OTHERS
)

CREATE TABLE concert (
    concert_ID NUMBER PRIMARY KEY,
    concert_Name TEXT,
    Theme TEXT,
    Stadium_ID TEXT REFERENCES stadium(Stadium_ID),
    Year TEXT
)

CREATE TABLE singer_in_concert (
    concert_ID NUMBER PRIMARY KEY REFERENCES concert(concert_ID),
    Singer_ID TEXT REFERENCES singer(Singer_ID)
)

CREATE TABLE stadium (
    Stadium_ID NUMBER,
    Location TEXT,
    Name TEXT,
    Capacity NUMBER,
    Highest NUMBER,
    Lowest NUMBER,
    Average NUMBER,
    PRIMARY KEY (Stadium_ID)
)

CREATE TABLE singer (
    Singer_ID NUMBER,
    Name TEXT,
    Country TEXT,
    Song_Name TEXT,
    Song_release_year TEXT,
    Age NUMBER,
    Is_male OTHERS,
    PRIMARY KEY (Singer_ID)
)

CREATE TABLE concert (
    concert_ID NUMBER,
    concert_Name TEXT,
    Theme TEXT,
    Name TEXT,
    Capacity NUMBER,
    Highest NUMBER,
    Lowest NUMBER,
    Average NUMBER
)
C.2 Database Contents

We only use the Table(Column) and the Create(EoT) database styles in the following prompt examples. The other three database styles are similar. The rows of database contents is set to 3 in the following prompt examples.

C.2.1 Table(Column)

### stadium(Stadium_ID, Location, Name, Capacity, Highest, Lowest, Average)

```
3 example rows from table stadium:
Stadium_ID Location Name Capacity Highest Lowest Average
1 Raith Rovers Stark’s Park 10104 4812 1294 2106
2 Ayr United Somerset Park 11998 2363 1057 1477
3 East Fife Bayview Stadium 2000 1980 533 864
```

### singer(Singer_ID, Name, Country, Song_Name, Song_release_year, Age, Is_male)

```
3 example rows from table singer:
Singer_ID Name Country Song_Name Song_release_year Age Is_male
1 Joe Sharp Netherlands You 1992 52 F
2 Timbaland United States Dangerous 2008 32 T
3 Justin Brown France Hey Oh 2013 29 T
```

### concert(concert_ID, concert_Name, Theme, Stadium_ID, Year)

```
3 example rows from table concert:
concert_ID concert_Name Theme Stadium_ID Year
1 Auditions Free choice 1 2014
2 Super bootcamp Free choice 2 2 2014
3 Home Visits Bleeding Love 2 2015
```

### singer_in_concert(concert_ID, Singer_ID)

```
3 example rows from table singer_in_concert:
concert_ID Singer_ID
1 2
1 3
1 5
```
C.2.2 Create (EoT)

create table stadium (  
    Stadium_ID number,  
    Location text,  
    Name text,  
    Capacity number,  
    Highest number,  
    Lowest number,  
    Average number,  
    primary key (Stadium_ID)  
)  
/

3 example rows from table stadium:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stadium_ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Highest</th>
<th>Lowest</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Raith Rovers</td>
<td>Stark's Park</td>
<td>10104</td>
<td>4812</td>
<td>1294</td>
<td>2106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ayr United</td>
<td>Somerset Park</td>
<td>11998</td>
<td>2363</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>1477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>East Fife</td>
<td>Bayview Stadium</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>864</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

create table singer (  
    Singer_ID number,  
    Name text,  
    Country text,  
    Song_Name text,  
    Song_release_year text,  
    Age number,  
    Is_male others,  
    primary key (Singer_ID)  
)  
/

3 example rows from table singer:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Singer_ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Song_Name</th>
<th>Song_release_year</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Is_male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Joe Sharp</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>You</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Timbaland</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dangerous</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Justin Brown</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Hey Oh</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

create table concert (  
    concert_ID number,  
    concert_Name text,  
    Theme text,  
    Stadium_ID text,  
    Year text,  
    primary key (concert_ID),  
    foreign key (Stadium_ID) references stadium(Stadium_ID)  
)  
/

3 example rows from table concert:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>concert_ID</th>
<th>concert_Name</th>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Stadium_ID</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Auditions</td>
<td>Free choice</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Super bootcamp</td>
<td>Free choice</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Home Visits</td>
<td>Bleeding Love</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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create table singer_in_concert (  
    concert_ID number,  
    Singer_ID text,  
    primary key (concert_ID),  
    foreign key (Singer_ID) references singer(Singer_ID),  
    foreign key (concert_ID) references concert(concert_ID)  
)  
/
3 example rows from table singer_in_concert:  
    concert_ID  Singer_ID  
      1          2  
      1          3  
      1          5  
**/

C.3 In-context Learning Prompts

We only use the Create(EoT) database styles in the following prompt examples. The other four database styles are similar. The rows of database contents is set to 3 in the following prompt examples. Under the few-shot setting, the first two shots are static exemplars and the last two shots are dynamic exemplars.

C.3.1 Zero-shot

role: system  
content:  
Given the database schema, you need to translate the question into the SQL query.

role: user  
content:  
Database schema:  
create table stadium (  
    Stadium_ID number,  
    Location text,  
    Name text,  
    Capacity number,  
    Highest number,  
    Lowest number,  
    Average number,  
    primary key (Stadium_ID)  
)  
/
3 example rows from table stadium:  
    Stadium_ID  Location Name  Capacity  Highest  Lowest  Average  
      1          Raith Rovers  Stark’s Park  10104   4812   1294   2106  
      2          Ayr United  Somerset Park  11998   2363   1057   1477  
      3          East Fife  Bayview Stadium  2000   1980   533   864  
**/
create table singer (  
    Singer_ID number,  
    Name text,  
    Country text,  
    Song_Name text,  
    Song_release_year text,  
    Age number,
Is_male others,
primary key (Singer_ID)
)
/*
3 example rows from table singer:
Singer_ID Name Country Song_Name Song_release_year Age Is_male
1 Joe Sharp Netherlands You 1992 52 F
2 Timbaland United States Dangerous 2008 32 T
3 Justin Brown France Hey Oh 2013 29 T
**/
create table concert (  
concert_ID number,  
concert_Name text,  
Theme text,  
Stadium_ID text,  
Year text,  
primary key (concert_ID),  
foreign key (Stadium_ID) references stadium(Stadium_ID)
)
/*
3 example rows from table concert:
concert_ID concert_Name Theme Stadium_ID Year
1 Auditions Free choice 1 2014
2 Super bootcamp Free choice 2 2 2014
3 Home Visits Bleeding Love 2 2 2015
**/
create table singer_in_concert (  
concert_ID number,  
Singer_ID text,  
primary key (concert_ID),  
foreign key (Singer_ID) references singer(Singer_ID),  
foreign key (concert_ID) references concert(concert_ID)
)
/*
3 example rows from table singer_in_concert:
concert_ID Singer_ID
1 2
1 3
1 5
**/
Question: How many singers do we have?

C.3.2 Few-shot
role: system
content:
Given the database schema, you need to translate the question into the SQL query.

role: user
content:
Database schema:
create table ACCOUNTS (  
custid number,
create table SAVINGS (  
    custid number,  
    balance number,  
    primary key (custid),  
    foreign key (custid) references ACCOUNTS(custid)  
)  
/*
3 example rows from table SAVINGS:
custid balance
1 200000.0
2 999999999.0
3 230000.0
*/
create table CHECKING (  
    custid number,  
    balance number,  
    primary key (custid),  
    foreign key (custid) references ACCOUNTS(custid)  
)  
/*
3 example rows from table CHECKING:
custid balance
1 10000.0
2 2000.0
3 3000.0
*/

Question: Find the name and savings balance of the top 3 accounts with the highest saving balance sorted by savings balance in descending order.

role: assistant
content:
SELECT T1.name, T2.balance FROM accounts AS T1 JOIN savings AS T2 ON T1.custid = T2.custid ORDER BY T2.balance DESC LIMIT 3

role: user
content:
}
distance number,
departure_date time,
arrival_date time,
price number,
aid number,
primary key (flno),
foreign key (aid) references aircraft(aid)
)
/*
3 example rows from table flight:
flno origin destination distance departure_date arrival_date price
aid
99 Los Angeles Washington D.C. 2308 04/12/2005 09:30 04/12/2005 09:40
235.98 1
13 Los Angeles Chicago 1749 04/12/2005 08:45 04/12/2005 08:45
220.98 3
346 Los Angeles Dallas 1251 04/12/2005 11:50 04/12/2005 07:05 182
2
**/
create table aircraft (  
aid number,  
name text,  
distance number,  
primary key (aid)  
)
/*
3 example rows from table aircraft:  
aid name distance  
1 Boeing 747-400 8430  
2 Boeing 737-800 3383  
3 Airbus A340-300 7120  
**/
create table employee (  
eid number,  
name text,  
salary number,  
primary key (eid)  
)
/*
3 example rows from table employee:  
eid name salary  
242518965 James Smith 120433  
141582651 Mary Johnson 178345  
11564812 John Williams 153972  
**/
create table certificate (  
eid number,  
aid number,  
primary key (eid),  
foreign key (aid) references aircraft(aid),  
foreign key (eid) references employee(eid)  
)
Question: Which destination has least number of flights?

SELECT destination FROM Flight GROUP BY destination ORDER BY count(*) LIMIT 1

Database schema:
create table Activity (
    actid number,
    activity_name text,
    primary key (actid)
)

create table Participates_in (
    stuid number,
    actid number,
    foreign key (actid) references Activity(actid),
    foreign key (stuid) references Student(StuID)
)

create table Faculty_Participates_in (
    FacID number,
    actid number,
    foreign key (actid) references Activity(actid),
    foreign key (FacID) references Faculty(FacID)
)

3 example rows from table certificate:
eid   aid
11564812  2
11564812  10
90873519  6
*/
create table Student (  
  StuID number,  
  LName text,  
  Fname text,  
  Age number,  
  Sex text,  
  Major number,  
  Advisor number,  
  city_code text,  
  primary key (StuID)  
)

create table Faculty (  
  FacID number,  
  Lname text,  
  Fname text,  
  Rank text,  
  Sex text,  
  Phone number,  
  Room text,  
  Building text,  
  primary key (FacID)  
)

3 example rows from table Student:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>StuID</th>
<th>LName</th>
<th>Fname</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Advisor</th>
<th>city_code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>Linda</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>BAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1002</td>
<td>Kim</td>
<td>Tracy</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>7712</td>
<td>HKG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Shiela</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>7792</td>
<td>WAS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 example rows from table Faculty:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FacID</th>
<th>Lname</th>
<th>Fname</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Room</th>
<th>Building</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1082</td>
<td>Giuliano</td>
<td>Mark</td>
<td>Instructor</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2424</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>NEB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1121</td>
<td>Goodrich</td>
<td>Michael</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3593</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>NEB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1148</td>
<td>Masson</td>
<td>Gerald</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3402</td>
<td>224B</td>
<td>NEB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question: How many female Professors do we have?

SELECT count(*) FROM Faculty WHERE Sex = 'F' AND Rank = "Professor"

Database schema:
create table region (  
  Region_ID number,  
  primary key (Region_ID)  
)
create table region (
    Region_ID number,
    Region_name text,
    Date text,
    Label text,
    Format text,
    Catalogue text,
    primary key (Region_ID)
)
create table party (  
    Party_ID number,
    Minister text,
    Took_office text,
    Left_office text,
    Region_ID number,
    Party_name text,
    primary key (Party_ID),
    foreign key (Region_ID) references region(Region_ID)
)
create table member (  
    Member_ID number,
    Member_Name text,
    Party_ID text,
    In_office text,
    primary key (Member_ID),
    foreign key (Party_ID) references party(Party_ID)
)
create table party_events (  
    Event_ID number,
    Event_Name text,
    Party_ID number,
    Member_in_charge_ID number,
    Region_name text,
    Date text,
    Label text,
    Format text,
    Catalogue text,
    primary key (Region_ID)
)
primary key (Event_ID),
foreign key (Member_in_charge_ID) references member(Member_ID),
foreign key (Party_ID) references party(Party_ID)
)

/*
3 example rows from table party_events:
Event_ID Event_Name Party_ID Member_in_charge_ID
1    Annual Meeting  1     4
2    Conference     1     12
3    Annual Meeting  2     2
**/

Question: How many parties do we have?

role: assistant
content:
SELECT count(DISTINCT party_name) FROM party

role: user
content:
Database schema:
create table stadium (  
    Stadium_ID number,
    Location text,
    Name text,
    Capacity number,
    Highest number,
    Lowest number,
    Average number,
    primary key (Stadium_ID)
)

/*
3 example rows from table stadium:
Stadium_ID Location Name Capacity Highest Lowest Average
1    Raith Rovers Stark’s Park  10104 4812 1294 2106
2    Ayr United Somerset Park 11998 2363 1057 1477
3    East Fife Bayview Stadium 2000 1980 533 864
**/

create table singer (  
    Singer_ID number,
    Name text,
    Country text,
    Song_Name text,
    Song_release_year text,
    Age number,
    Is_male others,
    primary key (Singer_ID)
)

/*
3 example rows from table singer:
Singer_ID Name Country Song_Name Song_release_year Age Is_male
1    Joe Sharp Netherlands You 1992 52 F

3524
2 Timbaland United States Dangerous 2008 32 T
3 Justin Brown France Hey Oh 2013 29 T

create table concert (  
  concert_ID number,  
  concert_Name text,  
  Theme text,  
  Stadium_ID text,  
  Year text,  
  primary key (concert_ID),  
  foreign key (Stadium_ID) references stadium(Stadium_ID)  
)

/*
3 example rows from table concert:
concert_ID concert_Name Theme Stadium_ID Year
1 Auditions Free choice 1 2014
2 Super bootcamp Free choice 2 2 2014
3 Home Visits Bleeding Love 2 2015
**/

create table singer_in_concert (  
  concert_ID number,  
  Singer_ID text,  
  primary key (concert_ID),  
  foreign key (Singer_ID) references singer(Singer_ID),  
  foreign key (concert_ID) references concert(concert_ID)  
)

/*
3 example rows from table singer_in_concert:
concert_ID Singer_ID
1 2
1 3
1 5
**/

Question: How many singers do we have?

C.3.3 Auto-CoT

role: system
content:
Given the database schema, you need to translate the question into the SQL query.

role: user
content:
Database schema:
create table ACCOUNTS (  
  custid number,  
  name text,  
  primary key (custid)  
)

/*
3 example rows from table ACCOUNTS:
custid name
1 Brown
CREATE TABLE SAVINGS ( 
  custid NUMBER, 
  balance NUMBER, 
  PRIMARY KEY (custid), 
  FOREIGN KEY (custid) REFERENCES ACCOUNTS(custid) 
)
/

3 example rows from table SAVINGS:
custid balance
1 200000.0
2 999999999.0
3 230000.0
/

CREATE TABLE CHECKING ( 
  custid NUMBER, 
  balance NUMBER, 
  PRIMARY KEY (custid), 
  FOREIGN KEY (custid) REFERENCES ACCOUNTS(custid) 
)
/

3 example rows from table CHECKING:
custid balance
1 10000.0
2 2000.0
3 3000.0
/

Question: Find the name and savings balance of the top 3 accounts with the highest saving balance sorted by savings balance in descending order.

role: assistant
content:
Let's think step by step.
According to "savings balance", columns [SAVINGS.balance] may be used.
According to "accounts", columns [ACCOUNTS.name] may be used.
Values [3] may be used.
So the final answer is:
SELECT T1.name, T2.balance FROM accounts AS T1 JOIN savings AS T2 ON T1.custid = T2.custid ORDER BY T2.balance DESC LIMIT 3

role: user
content:
Database schema:
CREATE TABLE flight ( 
  flno NUMBER, 
  origin TEXT, 
  destination TEXT, 
  distance NUMBER, 
  departure_date TIME, 
  ...)
arrival_date time,
price number,
aid number,
primary key (flno),
foreign key (aid) references aircraft(aid)
)
*/
3 example rows from table flight:
flno origin destination distance departure_date arrival_date price
aid
99 Los Angeles Washington D.C. 2308 04/12/2005 09:30 04/12/2005 09:40 235.98 1
13 Los Angeles Chicago 1749 04/12/2005 08:45 04/12/2005 08:45 220.98 3
346 Los Angeles Dallas 1251 04/12/2005 11:50 04/12/2005 07:05 182 2
**/
create table aircraft ( 
aid number,
name text,
distance number,
primary key (aid)
)
*/
3 example rows from table aircraft:
aid name distance
1 Boeing 747-400 8430
2 Boeing 737-800 3383
3 Airbus A340-300 7120
**/
create table employee ( 
eid number,
name text,
salary number,
primary key (eid)
)
*/
3 example rows from table employee:
eid name salary
242518965 James Smith 120433
141582651 Mary Johnson 178345
11564812 John Williams 153972
**/
create table certificate ( 
eid number,
aid number,
primary key (eid),
foreign key (aid) references aircraft(aid),
foreign key (eid) references employee(eid)
)
*/
Question: Which destination has least number of flights?

role: assistant
content:
Let’s think step by step.
According to "flights", columns [flight.destination] may be used.
Values [1] may be used.
So the final answer is:
SELECT destination FROM Flight GROUP BY destination ORDER BY count(*) LIMIT 1

role: user
content:
Database schema:
create table Student (  
    StuID number,  
    LName text,  
    Fname text,  
    Age number,  
    Sex text,  
    Major number,  
    Advisor number,  
    city_code text,  
    primary key (StuID)
)
/*
3 example rows from table Student:
StuID LName Fname Age Sex Major Advisor city_code  
1001 Smith Linda 18 F 600 1121 BAL  
1002 Kim Tracy 19 F 600 7712 HKG  
1003 Jones Shiela 21 F 600 7792 WAS  
*/
create table Dorm (  
    dormid number,  
    dorm_name text,  
    student_capacity number,  
    gender text
)
/*
3 example rows from table Dorm:
dormid dorm_name student_capacity gender  
100 Smith Hall 85 X  
110 Bud Jones Hall 116 M  
140 Fawlty Towers 355 X  
*/
create table Dorm_amenity (
amenid number,
  amenity_name text
)
/*
3 example rows from table Dorm_amenity:
  amenid amenity_name
  900 TV Lounge
  901 Study Room
  902 Pub in Basement
**/
create table Has_amenity (  
  dormid number,
  amenid number,
  foreign key (amenid) references Dorm_amenity(amenid),
  foreign key (dormid) references Dorm(dormid)
)
/*
3 example rows from table Has_amenity:
  dormid amenid
  109  900
  109  901
  109  903
**/
create table Lives_in (  
  stuid number,
  dormid number,
  room_number number,
  foreign key (dormid) references Dorm(dormid),
  foreign key (stuid) references Student(StuID)
)
/*
3 example rows from table Lives_in:
  stuid dormid room_number
  1001 109  105
  1002 100  112
  1003 100  124
**/
Question: How many dorms have amenities?

role: assistant
content:
Let’s think step by step.
According to "dorms have amenities ?", columns [Has_amenity.dormid] may be used.
So the final answer is:
SELECT count(DISTINCT dormid) FROM has_amenity

role: user
content:
Database schema:
create table Student (  
  StuID number,
LName text,
Fname text,
Age number,
Sex text,
Major number,
Advisor number,
city_code text,
primary key (StuID)
)
/*
3 example rows from table Student:
StuID   LName    Fname    Age   Sex   Major   Advisor   city_code
1001    Smith    Linda    18    F     600     1121     BAL
1002    Kim      Tracy    19    F     600     7712     HKG
1003    Jones    Shiela   21    F     600     7792     WAS
**/
create table Dorm (  
dormid number,  
dorm_name text,  
student_capacity number,  
gender text  
)
/*
3 example rows from table Dorm:  
dormid    dorm_name   student_capacity   gender  
100       Smith Hall  85              X   
110       Bud Jones Hall 116  M   
140       Fawlty Towers 355 X   
**/
create table Dorm_amenity (  
amenid number,  
amenity_name text  
)
/*
3 example rows from table Dorm_amenity:  
amenid    amenity_name  
900       TV Lounge    
901       Study Room   
902       Pub in Basement  
**/
create table Has_amenity (  
dormid number,  
amenid number,  
foreign key (amenid) references Dorm_amenity(amenid),  
foreign key (dormid) references Dorm(dormid)  
)
/*
3 example rows from table Has_amenity:  
dormid    amenid  
109        900   
109        901
create table Lives_in (  
stuid number,  
dormid number,  
room_number number,  
foreign key (dormid) references Dorm(dormid),  
foreign key (stuid) references Student(StuID)  
)  
/
3 example rows from table Lives_in:  
stuid  dormid  room_number  
1001  109  105  
1002  100  112  
1003  100  124  
/
Question: How many different dorm amenities are there?

role: assistant  
content:  
Let's think step by step.  
According to "dorm amenities are there?", tables [Dorm_amenity] may be used.  
So the final answer is:  
SELECT count(*) FROM dorm_amenity

role: user  
content:  
Database schema:  
create table stadium (  
    Stadium_ID number,  
    Location text,  
    Name text,  
    Capacity number,  
    Highest number,  
    Lowest number,  
    Average number,  
    primary key (Stadium_ID)  
)  
/
3 example rows from table stadium:  
Stadium_ID  Location  Name  Capacity  Highest  Lowest  Average  
1  Raith Rovers  Stark's Park  10104  4812  2944  2106  
2  Ayr United  Somerset Park  11998  2363  1057  1477  
3  East Fife  Bayview Stadium  2000  1980  533  864  
/
create table singer (  
    Singer_ID number,  
    Name text,  
    Country text,  
    Song_Name text,  
    Song_release_year text,
create table singer (  
    Singer_ID number,  
    Name text,  
    Country text,  
    Song_Name text,  
    Song_release_year number,  
    Age number,  
    Is_male others,  
    primary key (Singer_ID)  
)  
/*
3 example rows from table singer:
Singer_ID Name Country Song_Name Song_release_year Age Is_male  
1 Joe Sharp Netherlands You 1992 52 F  
2 Timbaland United States Dangerous 2008 32 T  
3 Justin Brown France Hey Oh 2013 29 T  
***/  
create table concert (  
    concert_ID number,  
    concert_Name text,  
    Theme text,  
    Stadium_ID text,  
    Year text,  
    primary key (concert_ID),  
    foreign key (Stadium_ID) references stadium(Stadium_ID)  
)  
/*
3 example rows from table concert:
concert_ID concert_Name Theme Stadium_ID Year  
1 Auditions Free choice 1 2014  
2 Super bootcamp Free choice 2 2 2014  
3 Home Visits Bleeding Love 2 2015  
***/  
create table singer_in_concert (  
    concert_ID number,  
    Singer_ID text,  
    primary key (concert_ID),  
    foreign key (Singer_ID) references singer(Singer_ID),  
    foreign key (concert_ID) references concert(concert_ID)  
)  
/*
3 example rows from table singer_in_concert:  
concert_ID Singer_ID  
1 2  
1 3  
1 5  
***/  
Question: How many singers do we have?