Contextual Profiling of Charged Terms in Historical Newspapers

Ryan Brate and Marieke van Erp

KNAW Humanities Cluster, DHLab Oudezijds Achterburgwal 185 1012 DK Amsterdam, Netherlands {ryan.brate,marieke.van.erp}

@dh.huc.knaw.nl

Abstract

We extract nouns and corresponding cooccurrent targeted context features from a large corpus of Dutch language newspaper articles, from 1950s through the 1990s. Applying a well-established approach for scoring context feature and centre word associativity, we explore using the scores in the task of identifying key characteristics of known-charged terminology. Then use these features to draw parallels between known-charged and other terms. In the context of the very current decolonisation efforts amongst museum institutions, such approaches offer an opportunity to condense large quantities of data into the mostsignificant, salient information for digestion by heritage professionals. The methods were found to indeed yield insights into known and candidate charged terms.

Disclaimer: This paper contains derogatory words and phrases. They are provided solely as illustrations of the research results and do not reflect the opinions of the authors or their organisations. In-text examples of derogatory and potentially offensive are presented in "*quotes, boldfaced and italicised*".

1 Introduction

Museums of the World,¹ a database of cultural heritage institutions, records approximately 55,000 museums spread over 202 countries. The largest such collection, The Smithsonian Institution² alone holds in excess of 155M. Such collections enhance our collective understanding of our shared past, but in doing so, they give cultural heritage institutions powerful voices in the shaping of historical narratives in the public consciousness.

factsheets/smithsonian-collections

Antal van den Bosch Utrecht University Institute for Language Sciences Utrecht, the Netherlands a.p.j.vandenbosch@uu.nl

Many museum collections originate from the colonial period, with metadata and object portrayals stemming from the particular world of the time. There is now a growing movement of decolonisation in western museums aimed at the acknowledgement and accommodation of previously marginalised voices to combat biases propagated by the advancement of narrow viewpoints (Odumosu, 2020). Part of the decolonisation effort centres around greater sensitivity and reconsideration of the terminology and language used in item metadata. This is more complicated than wholesale removal of terminology from metadata and items from collections, even if such problematic terms are known. To handle the complexities properly, there needs to be greater contextual understanding of a term's implied characterisation in context. For instance, many terms nowadays considered problematic are ambiguous, also in their contentiousness: calling a plant exotic is different from calling a person the same. When and why terms are deemed problematic is complex, but the recognition of the social-cultural (contextual) aspects of terms provides a mechanism for some degree of understanding and comparison.

In this paper, we aim to explore the contextual profiles of a reference set of known charged collective nouns, reflective of some people group and identify the contextual features that distinguish them. Specifically, we consider four complementary context feature types: verbs for which the noun is the agent, verbs for which the noun is the patient, adjectives, and compound word modifiers as applied to the nouns. I.e., we are trying to capture the things done to them, the things they do and the attributes ascribed to them. In order to do so, we leverage the extensive digitised (and OCR'd) newspaper collection of the National Library of the Netherlands (KB), between the 1950s and 1990s, thereby capturing the period of European decolonisation to more recent post-colonial times. Such a

¹https://www.degruyter.com/database/ MOW/html ²https://www.si.edu/newsdesk/

collection represents a valuable resource reflective of public discourse, attitudes and societal norms of the times.

In exploring context and its relevance to charged nouns, we make use of noun-context associativity measures. Specifically, we ask for each noun of a set of known charged nouns, do contextual features exist, which for some noun-context feature associativity score threshold, are highly predictive of the noun? Secondly, we seek to examine the parallels that can be drawn between known charged nouns: i.e., are there context features which for some nouncontext feature associativity threshold, recall multiple known charged nouns with a reasonable degree of precision with respect to our known charged noun set? Finally, we examine those nouns, not part of our known charged noun set, which share similar context feature associations: asking, can the context features of known charged nouns help *identify other charged instances?*

2 Related Work

Our work is situated on the intersection of detecting and modeling bias and harmful language. Bias in large datasets and its effects on models learned on those datasets has gained more attention in recent years (cf. (Sap et al., 2020; Bender et al., 2021; Schick et al., 2021; Birhane et al., 2022)). Work done on the same corpus as ours is (Wevers, 2019), who aims to detect gender bias in Dutch newspapers. We focus on broader biases and harmful language, mostly coming from a colonial perspective. The GLAM community is very well aware of problematic artefacts of colonial history in datasets (cf. (Mohamed et al., 2020; Barabucci et al., 2020; Luthra et al., 2023)) but there has been less attention for this in the NLP community. In our prior work, we have started to investigate how certain terms are viewed by the general public via a crowdsourcing experiment (Brate et al., 2021). We found that context plays an important role in whether certain terms are deemed charged or not. In this paper, we extend this work by modelling contextual features of charged terms.

The detection of hate speech gained traction with the growing popularity of social media data and includes cyberbullying, insults, vulgar content and racist language (Schmidt and Wiegand, 2017). While the charged terminology we are investigating has overlaps with the dimension investigated in hate speech, colonially biased language tends to be somewhat more subtle than overt insults, although these do occur. It should also be noted that researching harmful stereotypes requires a balanced approach to not inadvertently incur more harm (Kirk et al., 2022).

Our approach to use adjectives and verbs directly associated with entities, as contextual features for distinguishing entities is inspired by (Bamman et al., 2013). They used a hierarchical Bayesian approach to group film-character types across film and film tropes, using the characterisation of characters in terms of the things they do, the things done to them, and the way they are described as features. However, whereas the soft-clustering iterative approach used by Bamman is based on broad feature commonality, and favours data-rich cluster types; we expect charged terms to yield often highly unique associations, not necessary given to easy feature clustering. Consequently, whilst inspired by this approach, we consider feature comparison based on a metric of noun-feature keyness, i.e., associativity score, based on the work of (Dunning, 1993).

3 Methodology

We use the raw data of the National Library of the Netherlands OCR'd newspaper dataset.³ We split the data into discrete years to be analysed independently, as usages and characterisations of known-charged terms are subject to variation over time. We take sample years per decade, to be considered separately. The expectation is that one-year periods are too short to be regularly affected by confusing shifts in usage. We use the sampled data to create tables of associativity, or *keyness*, scores by collective noun and context features to answer our research questions.

The adjective–noun and verb–noun pairs are extracted by pattern matching against part of speech (POS) tagged dependency trees of the newspaper dataset. In the case of modifier–noun pairs, a corpus of modifiers and corresponding heads is bootstrapped from our set of known-charged words. Subsequently, the coincident collective noun–context feature pairs are assembled into separate frequency tables according to the context feature type (e.g., adjective) for each sample year. For the known-charged nouns, the frequencies for all plural forms of the noun are aggregated. The raw collective noun–context feature co-ocurrence fre-

³https://delpher.nl

quencies are then converted to some metric of *keyness*, which is used as the basis for exploring the key features by collective noun, and for exploring the parallels between collective nouns.

3.1 Charged nouns

The terms in Table 1 are used as our reference set of known charged collective nouns. The basis of this list is the aforementioned Words Matter document (Modest and Lelijveld, 2018). We consider singular and plural forms.

3.2 Dataset

All available, publicly accessible OCR'd articles of the National Library of the Netherlands (KB) newspaper set, in each of the years as listed in Table 2, were taken in their entirety. The table also lists the number of approximate resulting extracted articles.

A dependency-parsed, POS-tagged version of this dataset was created via spaCy (Honnibal and Montani, 2017), with an intermediate step of rulebased tokenisation and sentence segmentation via regular expressions. To reduce the sentence complexity passed to spaCy, segmentation is additional performed on conjunctions, ":", "," and ";".

3.3 Building a corpus of the modifier–head components of compound nouns

As described in section 3.6, the keyness metric adopted in determining how key a some *particular context feature* is to some *particular noun* in question, is a function of the corpus-wide noun–context feature co-occurrence frequencies. Hence, a corpus of modifier–head instances is needed which consists of all modifiers coincident with known charged nouns, and all of the corresponding heads coincident with these modifiers. The spaCy dependency parse of the KB newspaper corpus provides a list of tagged instances of nouns. Using this list of nouns together with the charged noun set, we bootstrapped a corpus of modifier–head compound words.

Separately, for each of the years in Table 2, a corpus of modifier–head components of compound nouns was assembled. The result considers modifiers with or without terminating hyphens as being the same instance. The following approach was adopted to bootstrap the corpus from the known-charged nouns:

	Charged Nouns (translation)			
	<pre>aboriginal(s) (aboriginal(s));</pre>			
	afkomst (en) (<i>descent</i> (s));			
	allochtoon , allochtonen (<i>migrant</i> (<i>s</i>));			
	Berber (s) (<i>Berber</i> (s));			
	blanke (n) (<i>white person(s)</i>);			
	bosneger (s) (bush negro);			
	creool , creolen (<i>creole</i> (<i>s</i>));			
	eskimo('s) (eskimo(s));			
	<pre>etniciteit(en) (ethnicity(-ies));</pre>			
	gekleurd (en) (<i>colored</i> (s));			
	halfbloed(en) (<i>half-blood(s</i>));			
	Hottentot(ten) (Khoikhoi people):			
	immigrant (en) (<i>immigrant</i> (s)):			
	inboorling (en) (<i>primitive native</i> (s)):			
	indo('s) (Indo-European(s)):			
	indiaan indianen (Indian(s));			
	inhooms(en) (indigenous):			
	inlander(s) (native(s));			
	loffor (a) (black African);			
	Kallel (S) (black African), Vbc: (Vbcican neorle):			
	Kiloi (<i>Knoisan people</i>),			
	kieuriing(en) (colorea(s));			
	koppensneller (s) (headhunter(s));			
race	moor , moren (<i>Muslim people of Arab</i>			
	and Amazingh descent);			
	marron (s) (maroon);			
	medicijnman(nen) (medicine			
	man(men));			
	mesties (person of mixed-race back-			
	ground;			
	migrant (en) (<i>migrant</i> (s));			
	mulat (ten) (<i>mulatto</i> (s));			
	neger (s, in, innen) (<i>negro</i> (s) (<i>m/f</i>));			
	njai (Indonesian mistress to			
	coloniser):			
	oorsprong (en) (<i>descent(s</i>):			
	primitief , primitieven (<i>primitive(s</i>)):			
	Pygmee (ën) (<i>Pygmy</i> (<i>Pygmees</i>)):			
	ras(sen) (race(s)):			
	roots (roots):			
	scaln(en) (scaln(s)):			
	stap(cn)(scup(s)),			
	stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribe(s));			
	stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildowen(noc) (unciviliant damage);			
	stan(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoof(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man			
	stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men));			
	stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies));			
	stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s));			
	stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s));			
	stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s));			
social	stamp(en) (staup(s)), stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeumer(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s));			
social	<pre>stam(nen) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s));</pre>			
social	<pre>stam(nen) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s)); slaaf, slaven (slave(s));</pre>			
social	stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s)); slaaf, slaven (slave(s)); slavenhandel(s) (slave trade);			
social	<pre>stam(nen) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s)); slavenhandel(s) (slave trade); dwerg(en) (dwarf(dwarves));</pre>			
social non-racial	<pre>stam(p(en) (stup(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s)); slaaf, slaven (slave(s)); slavenhandel(s) (slave trade); dwerg(en) (dwarf(dwarves)); hermafrodiet(en) (hermaphrodite(s));</pre>			
social non-racial characteristics	<pre>stam(p(en) (stup(s)); stam(p(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s)); slaaf, slaven (slave(s)); slavenhandel(s) (slave trade); dwerg(en) (dwarf(dwarves)); hermafrodiet(en) (hermaphrodite(s)); mongool, mongolen (mongoloid(s)):</pre>			
social non-racial characteristics	<pre>stam(nen) (tribal head(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s)); slaaf, slaven (slave(s)); slavenhandel(s) (slave trade); dwerg(en) (dwarf(dwarves)); hermafrodiet(en) (hermaphrodite(s)); mongool, mongolen (mongoloid(s)); homo('s) (gay person(s)):</pre>			
social non-racial characteristics sexual	<pre>stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s)); slaaf, slaven (slave(s)); slaaf, slaven (slave(s)); slavenhandel(s) (slave trade); dwerg(en) (dwarf(dwarves)); hermafrodiet(en) (hermaphrodite(s)); mongool, mongolen (mongoloid(s)); homo('s) (gay person(s));</pre>			
social non-racial characteristics sexual orientation	<pre>stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s)); slaaf, slaven (slave(s)); slavenhandel(s) (slave trade); dwerg(en) (dwarf(dwarves)); hermafrodiet(en) (hermaphrodite(s)); mongool, mongolen (mongoloid(s)); homo('s) (gay person(s)); trans (trans person(s));</pre>			
social non-racial characteristics sexual orientation	stam(men) (tribe(s)); stam(men) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s)); slaaf, slaven (slave(s)); slavenhandel(s) (slave trade); dwerg(en) (dwarf(dwarves)); hermafrodiet(en) (hermaphrodite(s)); mongool, mongolen (mongoloid(s)); homo('s) (gay person(s)); queer(s) (queer person(s)); trans (trans person(s));			
social non-racial characteristics sexual orientation place	stam(men) (tribe(s)); stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s)); slaven(slave(s)); slavenhandel(s) (slave trade); dwerg(en) (dwarf(dwarves)); hermafrodiet(en) (hermaphrodite(s)); mongool, mongolen (mongoloid(s)); homo('s) (gay person(s)); queer(s) (queer person(s)); trans (trans person(s)); jappenkamp(en) (Japanese concentra- tion camp(s):			
social non-racial characteristics sexual orientation place	<pre>stam(nen) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s)); slaaf, slaven (slave(s)); slavenhandel(s) (slave trade); dwerg(en) (dwarf(dwarves)); hermafrodiet(en) (hermaphrodite(s)); mongool, mongolen (mongoloid(s)); homo('s) (gay person(s)); queer(s) (queer person(s)); trans (trans person(s)); jappenkamp(en) (Japanese concentra- tion camp(s));</pre>			
social non-racial characteristics sexual orientation place	stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s)); slaaf, slaven (slave(s)); slavenhandel(s) (slave trade); dwerg(en) (dwarf(dwarves)); hermafrodiet(en) (hermaphrodite(s)); mongool, mongolen (mongoloid(s)); homo('s) (gay person(s)); queer(s) (queer person(s)); trans (trans person(s)); jappenkamp(en) (Japanese concentra- tion camp(s)); islamiet(en) (muslim(s)); mongon non solve the solve s			
social non-racial characteristics sexual orientation place religious	stam(men) (tribe(s)); stamhoofd(en) (tribal head(s)); wildeman(nen) (uncivilised man (men)); zigeuner(s) (gypsy (gypsies)); baboe(s) (female servant(s)); barbaar, barbaren (barbarian(s)); bediende(n) (servant(s)); koeli(es) (contract worker(s)); piraat, piraten (pirate(s)); slaaf, slaven (slave(s)); slavenhandel(s) (slave trade); dwerg(en) (dwarf(dwarves)); hermafrodiet(en) (hermaphrodite(s)); mongool, mongolen (mongoloid(s)); homo('s) (gay person(s)); queer(s) (queer person(s)); trans (trans person(s)); jappenkamp(en) (Japanese concentra- tion camp(s)); islamiet(en) (muslim(s)); mohammedaan, mohammedanen			

Table 1: Charged noun list. Word forms of each charged noun are aggregated and each aggregation is collected under its stemmed form (in bold).

Parameters

	sampled years				
	(No. articles in millions [M])				
10500	1951	1955	1959		
19308	(1.2M)	(1.4M)	(1.3M)		
1060	1961	1965	1969		
19008	(0.9M)	(0.9M)	(0.8M)		
1070	1971	1975	1979		
19705	(0.8M)	(0.7M)	(0.7M)		
<u>1980s</u>	1981	1985	1989		
	(0.7M)	(0.7M)	(0.8M)		
<u>1990s</u>	1991	1995			
	(0.7M)	(0.3M)			

Table 2: KB Newspaper Collection sampled years (taken in their entirety where publicly available), and corresponding number of articles rounded to the nearest 0.1M.

- The entire POS-tagged noun set from the spaCy-parsed dataset for each year, represents the *noun pool* from which to extract a corpus of modifier–head compound word pairs;
- The charged-words (including plural forms and variants) of Table 1 are used as seed heads:

Steps

- *Modifier extraction:* modifiers are harvested via trie-based character matching of the seed heads against the *noun pool*. Terminating hyphens are stripped from the modifiers. The output (modifiers) are filtered;
- *Head extraction:* heads are then harvested via trie-based matching of the previously harvested modifiers from the *entity pool.* Once again, hyphens are stripped and the output (heads) are filtered;
- *Final head-modifier extraction:* Repeating the *Modifier Extraction* step, a set of filtered set of head-modifier pairs is returned.

The filtering at each harvesting stage aims to improve the quality of the harvested heads and modifiers, by reducing the incidence of extracting false cases. Filtering consists of removing all heads or modifiers less than 3 characters in length or absent from the SoNaR-corpus ⁴(ignoring case).

3.4 Building a corpus of noun-adjective pairs

Separately, for each of the years listed in Table 2, the corresponding spaCy dependency-parsed



Figure 1: Pattern A1 denoting the targeted adjectivenoun relationship. '0' points to the root.



Figure 2: Pattern V1 denoting a targeted verb, auxiliary verb, agent, patient and preposition relationship. '0' points to the root. Negation is optionally matched. Pattern matching results in both verb, corresponding patient noun, corresponding agent noun. For example, Nu zullen de kleurlingen in de Senaat door één blanke senator worden vertegenwoordigd: yields vertegenwoordingen (verb), *senator* (agent noun) and *kleurlingen* (patient noun).

dataset is matched against the pattern tree shown in Figure 1. This pattern represents the simplest, most direct pattern for noun–adjective pair associations in the interest of high-accuracy results.

Noun and corresponding adjective pairs are returned. For the adjective, the lemma form is returned. For example, for the sentence fragment "Een op de vier vrouwelijke migranten werkt als ...", yields the noun-adjective (lemma) pair, *migrantenvrouwelijk (migrants-female)*.

3.5 Building a corpus of noun-verb pairs

Separately, for each of the years in Table 2, the corresponding spaCy dependency-parsed dataset is subject to pattern matching against the pattern trees shown in Figures 2,3,4,5. The patterns are nested in their complexity, and hence patterns are grouped within tiers as shown in Figure 6. Each node in the dependency parse is compared against each pattern, capturing noun-verb pairs according to the highest-ranked matching pattern only.

⁴https://taalmaterialen.ivdnt.org/ download/tstc-sonar-corpus/



Figure 3: Pattern V2 denoting a targeted verb, auxiliary verb, agent, patient relationship. '0' points to the root. Negation is optionally matched. Resulting in verb-patient noun and verb-agent noun pairs. For example, de negers waren verdedigd door uit het Zuiden afkomstige blanke advocaten: yields *verdedigen* (verb), *advocaten* (agent) and textitnegers (patient).



Figure 4: Pattern V3 denoting a targeted verb, auxiliary verb, patient relationship. '0' points to the root. Negation is optionally matched. Resulting in verb– patient noun pairs. For example, terwijl jaarlijks meer dan 150.000 immigranten worden toegelaten: yields *toelaten* (verb) and *immigranten* (patient noun).

3.6 Collective noun-context feature keyness scoring

The *keyness* scoring metric adopted in this paper, is the Log Likelihood Ratio (LLR) (Dunning, 1993). The resulting score is not based on normal approximations, and hence is applicable to low-frequency events commonly occurring in language and known generally as the Zipfian tail. The method can be thought of converting a frequency table, in our case of noun–context feature co-occurrences, to an equivalent table of scores reflective of the degree of association between the nouns and the context features. I.e., in our case, a high score reflects a context feature being particular important to the characterisation of noun.

Effectively, we considered each noun and con-



Figure 5: Pattern V4 denoting a targeted verb, agent, patient relationship. '0' points to the root. Negation is optionally matched. Resulting in verb–patient noun and verb–agent noun pairs. E.g., waar de Berbers de Arabieren in aantal overtreffen: yields, *Berbers* (agent noun) and *overtreffen* (verb).

count(context, noun)	count(context, noun')
count(context', noun)	count(context', noun')

Table 3: Contingency table, forming the basis of the conversion of raw frequency table values of noun– context feature co-occurrence to LLR scores reflecting how key a context is to a noun.

text feature pair (cell) in the frequency table in turn, forming a contingency table as per table 3 for each.

The contingency table thus represents the binomial outcomes of the context occurring or not occurring with respect to two sub-corpora. The lefthand column of table 3 represents all instances for the context feature type and year, which is cooccurrent with the noun in question. The righthand column of table 3, represents all instances for the context feature type and year, which is not co-occurrent with the noun in question.

To calculate LLR, two separate generative processes are considered for each sub-corpus. Firstly, that the two sub-corpora share a common binomial probability with respect to the occurrence of the context. Secondly, that the two corpora have different, distinct binomial probabilities with respect to the occurrence of the context in question. Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) estimates of the binomial probabilities for both assumed generative processes are calculated.

The LLR value is then calculated via Equation 1, where Binom(x,y) denotes the binomial probability of the outcomes observed in sub-corpus x, assuming the parameters of the generative process, y, as previously described. A larger LLR value implies a greater co-location of the collective noun and context in question.



Figure 6: Pattern matching hierarchy: At each node in the spaCy dependency parse patterns are checked, moving through each tier until a pattern match is found and collecting all matches within that tier only.

$$-2.log(\frac{Binom(1,1) \times Binom(2,1)}{Binom(1,2) \times Binom(2,2)})$$
(1)

4 Evaluation and Results

The substantive output of the methodology of Section 3 are the tables of LLR associativity scores for each noun–context feature pair. These LLR scores are the basis for the evaluation methods in this section.

4.1 Pattern-matching accuracy

First, some evaluation of the accuracy of the nounadjective and noun-verb pattern matching methodology is warranted. There are three main potential sources for error in the extracting pairs of adjectives or verbs and corresponding nouns as described in Section 3.4 and Section 3.5: OCR errors, dependency parse errors and pattern matching errors. OCR errors do not present a significant concern to this study, beyond their influence on dependency parsing performance. I.e., if a misrepresented word or artifact which otherwise looks like an adjective in terms of syntax, and is dependency parse tagged and pattern matched as such, then we simply end up with an extra nonsense context word.

Whether the pattern matching fails to correctly extract true noun–context word instances presents a greater concern. This was evaluated manually by sampling the noun–context word extracts via pattern matching of a random sample of 200 articles from the 1991 OCR set. The results are given in Table 4, and demonstrate a reasonably strong base accuracy with estimates ranging from 88% with the V4 pattern to 97% with the A1 pattern, support-

Pattern	Accuracy point estimate	Accuracy 95% Confidence Interval (Wilson)			
Adjective Patterns					
A1	97% (125)	92 - 99 %			
Verb Patterns					
V1	95% (66)	87 - 98 %			
V2	91% (125)	85 - 95 %			
V3	94% (125)	89 - 97 %			
V4	88% (125)	81 - 93 %			

Table 4: The results of manual evaluation of accuracy of the extracted noun–adj, and noun–verb pairs, due to combined dependency parse and pattern-matching errors. Results are rounded to 2 significant figures. The number of sample extracts for each pattern type are given in the brackets.

ing further conclusions derived from the noun and adjective or verb pair co-occurrence statistics.

4.2 Identifying high-association contexts for known-charged collective nouns

Our first research question, *do context features exist, which for some noun–context feature associativity score threshold, are highly predictive of the noun?* can be considered as a fundamental test of the base hypothesis that the methodology and dataset are sufficient to identify relevant and interesting high-association terms. It is fundamental that we can identify high-association contexts for known-charged collective nouns. We cannot draw effective parallels between terms with respect to their context features if they do not have sufficiently strong profiles.

For this research question, we adopt a high LLR threshold: For each year and for each collective noun in Table 1, we extract only those context features for which the collective noun is in the top 2 of LLR scores. For a selected number of knowncharged collective nouns, the outcomes are given in Table 5. It should be reiterated here that the table is not a complete window into the all context features with a high degree association, merely those with an extremely high degree of association according to the LLR threshold. Clearly relevant, strong outcomes can be observed from this. I.e., in the case of the charged-noun, "migrant", we see contextual features such as aspirante (aspirational), tweedegeneratie (second-generation), niet-geintegreerd (unintegrated). In the case of "baboe" (the general name given to nannies from Surinam), we see *zorgvol* (caring). In the more powerfully charged cases such as "neger", we see a wealth of strong

		1		
known-charged nouns	modifiers associated	adjectives associated	for which the noun is the agent	verbs associated for which the noun is the patient
afkomst	bedoeïnen: 1991; bloedgroep: 1959; dansers: 1979; hugenoten: 1989; huurkamer: 1971		aanvuren: 1969; held: 1985; molesteren: 1995; verzekeren: 1995	eemt: 1959; opsieren: 1971; raden: 1959; schreeuwen: 1991; traumatiseren: 1985; verloochenen: 1995, 1951, 1955, 1959, 1959, 1961, 1961, 1965, 1969, 1969, 1975, 1975, 1979, 1979, 1981, 1985, 1985, 1989, 1989, 1991, 1991, 1995; verraden: 1955, 1959, 1985, 1989, 1991, 1995; zullen: 1971
allochtoon		laag-opgeleid: 1991; werkloos: 1989;	ongemerkt: 1991	hulpbehoeven: 1989: instromen: 1989
haboe		werkwillig: 1991	inhalen: 1951	
immigrant	commonwealth: 1971	socioalics. 1991; 20; 20; 20; 20; 20; 20; 20; 20; 20; 20	afpakken: 1989; binnensmokkelen: 1971; broeden: 1959; doodsteken: 1955; inpikken: 1989; klagen: 1951; meedragen: 1955; omsluiten: 1981; overspoelen: 1981; terugbetalen: 1985; wegpikken: 1959; zjn: 1961	aankomen: 1975; afbeulen: 1985; classificeren: 1979; huisvesten: 1991; stijven: 1985; toelaten: 1951; verkijken: 1959
indiaan	amazone: 1969; apache: 1991; halfbloed: 1981; hopi: 1961, 1985; innu: 1989; miskito: 1985, 1989; navajo: 1991; noorda: 1959; oerwoud: 1981; platvoet: 1955, 1965; sioux: 1991; yanomami: 1991	amazon: 1989; benedenlands: 1965; bonairiaans: 1989; een-ogig: 1965; eenogig: 1965; eht: 1961; grondloz: 1979; ploeteren: 1991; rillen: 1959	aftroggelen: 1995; kapen: 1989; kauwen: 1991	achterstellen: 1989; afhakken: 1951; afslachten: 1969; hakken: 1965; verontwaardigen: 1989
islamiet		dox: 1979; fundamentalisch: 1989; fundamentalistisch: 1981, 1985, 1989; imam: 1991; listisch: 1991; niet-chinees: 1989; radicaal: 1995; rechtgelovig: 1989; rechtzinnig: 1979; sjietisch: 1979; sjifitsch: 1979; sunnitisch: 1989; ugandees: 1989; weerspanning: 1959	begraven: 1985; ijgen: 1989; vasten: 1979	vluchtelingenkamp: 1985
kaffer	zoeloe: 1961, 1959, 1951	nagemaakte: 1961; roodgeverfd: 1961; tomm: 1951		
kleurling	élite: 1975	biaziliaans: 1959; en'ander: 1955; fransi: 1955; inder: 1985; kaaplands: 1955; kaaps: 1951, 1955, 1969; kroesharig: 1959; opdringerig: 1981; tussen-d: 1981	geincasseerd: 1955; herkrijgen: 1961; overlopen: 1991	afbeelden: 1961; idealiseren: 1979; integreren: 1975; selecteren: 1979; tusaen: 1951; verwarren: 1955; volmaken: 1955
koeli	raat: 1975; riksha: 1961; riksja: 1959, 1969	doodarm: 1965; halfnaakt: 1965; rijkgekled: 1955	fouilleren: 1951; splijten: 1951; voorttrekken: 1961	
migrant	aspirante: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1989; heimwee: 1971; illen: 1981; irh: 1965; Irn: 1995; niete: 1951, 1955; plattelandse: 1955; proefe: 1959; spyt: 1961; tweede-generatie: 1995	afro-caribisch: 1995; erkloz: 1991; haitiaans: 1979; marokkaans: 1989, 1991; niet-geïntegreerd: 1991; ondef: 1959; onvolwaardig: 1991; rokkaans: 1989; turks: 1989		
mohammedaan	sjia: 1965	anti-eommunistisch: 1965; fans: 1955; inpopulair: 1971; kameroens: 1979; orthodox-radical: 1955; pro-frans: 1959, 1961; sjiitisch: 1975	bestrijden: 1995	
neger	bakongo: 1959; bantoe: 1955; benton: 1959; bos: 1955, 1975, 1989; congo: 1955; goudkust: 1955; grun: 1955, 1959, 1961, 1965, 1969, 1971, 1975, 1979, 1981, 1985, 1989, 1991; irun: 1951; mississippi: 1955; overleden: 1959; panko: 1951; soedan: 1961, 1965; soweto: 1979; Watts: 1965	abject: 1951; afrikaans: 1955; afro-amerikaans: 1995; amerikaane: 1959; armlastig: 1965; bevoogden: 1965; blootvoetig: 1955; diepbruin: 1965; eerbaar: 1995; golv: 1961; gracieus: 1985; ifrikaans: 1959; kiesgerechtigd: 1965; langbenig: 1955; lynchen: 1959; militant: 1971; miloz: 1965; negenenvijftig: 1981; noord-amerikaans: 1955; onschendbaar: 1961; oproerig: 1971; overigen: 1961; rfd: 1971; seigneurial: 1961; senegalees: 1951; sluip: 1955; stokoud: 1985; tiaans: 1979; west-afrikaans: 1955; wetsgetrouw: 1961; zelfbewust: 1969; zuidthodesisch: 1965;	aandrukken: 1969; bijeenrapen: 1965; ebben: 1951; hf: 1961; inj: 1969; inladen: 1975; openscheuren: 1969; plunderen: 1969; straffen: 1965; toebedelen: 1955; t ransponeren: 1955; uitzingen: 1965	aftuigen: 1965; besprenkelen: 1979; canoniseren: 1961; contra: 1959; doodschieten: 1965, 1981; executeren: 1951; gelijkberechtigd: 1965; inschepen: 1955; inschrijven: 1965; kamperen: 1951; lynchen: 1951, 1959; roven: 1979; slaven: 1951; terechtstellen: 1959; uiranniseren: 1961; toerekenen: 1959; verafschuwen: 1969; verdrukken: 1965; vermengen: 1961; verschillen: 1955; voortrekken: 1969; weren: 1955

Table 5: Selected known-charged nouns of table 1, with together with (all) context features for which the nouncontext LLR associativity score is in the top 2 for that context feature.

	known-charged nouns associated with the modifier				
Modifiers	according to the criteria of 4.3				
	indiaan: 1965				
"nomaden"	stam: 1965 1951 1955 1959 1961 1969 1971				
lionauch	1975, 1979, 1981, 1985, 1989, 1991, 1995				
	bediende: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1961, 1965, 1969, 1971,				
magazıjn	1975, 1979, 1981, 1985, 1989, 1991, 1995				
11. 1 II	stam: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1961, 1965, 1969, 1971,				
"indianen"	1975, 1979, 1981, 1985, 1989, 1991, 1995				
	slaaf: 1955, 1971, 1979, 1981, 1975, 1985, 1989,				
"neger"	1991, 1995				
	stam: 1955, 1971, 1979, 1981, 1951				
boom	stam: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1961, 1965, 1969, 1971,				
	1975, 1979, 1981, 1985, 1989, 1991, 1995				
pape	ras: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1961, 1965, 1969, 1971,				
	1973, 1979, 1981, 1983, 1989, 1991				
grun	1075 1070 1081 1085 1080 1001				
	bediende: 1955_1959_1961_1965_1969_1971				
pomp	1975, 1979, 1981, 1985, 1989, 1991, 1995				
	slaaf: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1965, 1969, 1971,				
joego	1975, 1979, 1981, 1985, 1989, 1991				
	bediende: 1961				
hantoo	neger: 1959, 1961, 1955				
bantoe	ras: 1959, 1951				
	stam: 1959, 1961, 1951, 1955, 1971, 1975				
7000	ras: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1961, 1965, 1969,				
	1975, 1981, 1985, 1989, 1991, 1995				
	creool: 1989, 1955, 1959, 1965, 1969, 1975,				
bosland	1979, 1991, 1995				
	Indiaan: 1989				
	niger: 1989, 1955				
ether	1985, 1989, 1995				
	trans: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1961, 1965, 1969, 1971,				
mons	1975, 1979				
hatta	ras: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1965, 1969, 1971, 1975,				
natte	1981, 1985				
achte	ras: 1959, 1965, 1969, 1971, 1975, 1979, 1981,				
uenie	1985, 1991				
berber	stam: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1961, 1965, 1969, 1971,				
	1985, 1989				
	kaner: 1901, 1951				
zoeloe	stam: 1961 1979 1981 1985				
	stamh. 1901, 1979, 1901, 1905 stamhoofd: 1961, 1989				
	bediende: 1959, 1961, 1965, 1971, 1975, 1979, 1981.				
loket	1985, 1995				
bacterie	stam: 1955, 1975, 1979, 1981, 1985, 1989, 1991, 1995				
dart	moor: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1961, 1965, 1979, 1989, 1991				
voortrekkers	stam: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1961, 1965, 1971, 1985				
bosneger	stam: 1989, 1959, 1975, 1979, 1991, 1995				
bosneger	stamhoofd: 1989				
papoea	stam: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1965, 1971, 1981, 1989				
bos	neger: 1951, 1955, 1969, 1975, 1979, 1989				
bedoeïenen	stam: 1959, 1961, 1971, 1985, 1991, 1995				
ex-e	inigram: 1935, 1901, 1905, 1985, 1989, 1991				
amazone	nunaan: 1901, 1909, 1975, 1979, 1991 stam: 1965				
bel	indo: 1979 1981 1985 1989 1991 1995				
kantoor	bediende: 1951 1955 1959 1961 1965 1969				

Table 6: known-charged nouns (as compound word heads), and the common modifier they are associated with according to the criteria in 4.3. This table only lists instances of 6 or more associated noun and year instances. Modifiers that are themselves known-charged words are marked as such; italicized strings are decomposition errors.

context features, such as: tribal names, *lynchen* (to lynch), *militant* (militant), *kiesgerechtigd* (being eligible to vote), *executeren* (to execute) and *plunderen* (to plunder).

4.3 Identifying context features for multiple known-charged collective nouns

Our second research question, *are there context* features which for some noun–context feature associativity threshold, recall multiple known charged nouns with a reasonable degree of precision with respect to our known charged noun set? is concerned with whether the methodology is able to find common, meaningful associations that hold across known-charged words. To consider contextual feature overlap between known-charged collective nouns, we must adopt a less severe criterion allowing for overlap. For each context feature type (e.g., modifiers), for each year and for each context feature, the corresponding collective nouns are traversed, according to their descending LLR score, and every noun above a LLR threshold is acccepted. This results in a precision of 0.2, taking the Table 1 known-charged nouns as true positives.

Sample outcomes of this approach are given in Tables 6, 7, 8 and 9, corresponding to modifiers, verbs for which the noun is the patient, verbs for which the nouns are the agent and adjectives. Each table represents a selection of context features from a larger set, listing for those context features with the most year-context feature instances associated. The tables are otherwise in no way curated. Examination of the tables again shows some powerful associations between known-charged words over time frames. For example: in Table 7, "gekleurd" (coloured), "immigrant" (immigrant) and "zigeuner" (gypsy) peoples being subject to deporteren (to deport); in Table 8, "immigrant" (immigrant) peoples in 1975 and 1995 are associated with action of overstromen (to flood); and in Table 9: "indiaan" (indian) and near continuously over a large time window, "stam" (tribe) associated with "primitief" (primitive).

Table 6 shows that some of the modifiers that are discovered are known-charged words themselves. Table 6 also includes a number of modifiers that do not refer to a strongly related word, but are the result of an incorrect morphological decomposition; e.g. the charged word "*ras*" was mistakenly detected in words ending in the stem *as* (axis) or *gras* (grass), producing the incorrect assumed modifiers *zeeg* and *achte*. Either a lexical filter or a better morphological decomposition would allow filtering out these cases.

4.4 Discovering charged nouns from their common associations with known-charged nouns

Our final research question is "Can the context features of known charged nouns, help identify other charged instances?". Considering each year, and

Verbs	known-charged nouns associated with the verbs			
for which the	according to the criteria of 4.3			
noun is the patient	(and the years they are associated)			
	afkomst: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1961, 1965, 1969,			
NOTverloochenen	1975, 1979, 1981, 1985, 1989, 1991, 1995			
	afkomst: 1969, 1989, 1995, 1951, 1959, 1961,			
	1975, 1979, 1985, 1991			
verioocnenen	oorsprong: 1969, 1995			
	roots: 1989			
	afkomst: 1955, 1965, 1975, 1981, 1985, 1989,			
verraden	1991, 1995			
lynchen	neger: 1951, 1959, 1975, 1995			
alarian	bediende: 1985			
Siaveli	neger: 1951, 1971, 1975			
via antralilian	islamiet: 1969, 1955			
voortrekken	neger: 1969, 1975			
terechtstellen	neger: 1951, 1959, 1965			
doodschieten	neger: 1959, 1965, 1971			
fokken	ras: 1959, 1961, 1975			
	inboorling: 1979			
ronselen	indiaan: 1979			
	koeli: 1951			
	bosneger: 1989			
achterstellen	indiaan: 1989			
	zigeuner: 1989			
	indiaan: 1989			
uitroeien	stam: 1989			
	zigeuner: 1959			
	kleurling: 1955			
NOTverwarren	primitief: 1975			
	ras: 1959			
	gekleurd: 1969			
deporteren	immigrant: 1985			
	zigeuner: 1979			
	immigrant: 1955			
legaliseren	piraat: 1981			
	zigeuner: 1981			

Table 7: known-charged nouns, associated verbs and the years of association according to the criteria defined in 4.3, where the noun is the patient to the verb. The *NOT* prefix denotes negation of the verb. This table lists only those instances of 3 or more associated noun and year instances.

each context feature separately, and setting an LLR threshold with respect to the context feature as described in section 4.3, all corresponding nouns are extracted. Where a noun is coincident with a known-charged noun of Table 1, this pairwise association is recorded, together with the context feature and year responsible for the association.

The leftmost column of Table 10 provides clues for answering our third sub-question: whether we can automatically discover new candidate terms for our charged word list. The column in the table exhibits a small outtake of a list of 6,310 unique words that frequently occur in the same morphosyntactic role as our charged words, along with their specific linguistic contexts. A manual inventory of this word list reveals a candidate set of about 10 new charged terms, including "joden" (jews), "indianen" (indians), "moslims") (muslims), and "slaviër" (slav). Other charged terms occurring in this list refer to nazism and radical movements such as "SS" and "RAF", and include formerly used terms for immigrant workers, such as "gastarbeider" (literally guest worker, immigrant worker). It takes manual inspection and expertise to extract

Verbs for which the noun is the agent	known-charged nouns associated with the verbs according to the criteria of 4.3 (and the years they are associated)			
enteren	piraat: 1955, 1959, 1989			
	bediende: 1989			
doodsteken	immigrant: 1995			
	wildeman: 1989			
NOTIovan	blanke: 1971, 1991			
NOTieven	piraat: 1969			
	bediende: 1985			
neerzetten	inboorling: 1951			
	zigeuner: 1979			
inbegriipen	homo: 1961			
moegrijpen	koppensneller: 1961			
infectoron	neger: 1961			
milecteren	ras: 1991			
ongemerkt	allochtoon: 1991			
oligemerki	neger: 1971			
serveren	bediende: 1955, 1959			
uitgooien	neger: 1991			
ungooleii	piraat: 1961			
uitmoorden	blanke: 1969			
unnoorden	indiaan: 1995			
NOTvergeten	immigrant: 1955			
Ronvergeten	zigeuner: 1961			
herkrijgen	kleurling: 1961			
nerkrijgen	slaaf: 1979			
uitzingen	neger: 1959, 1965			
aanbidden	blanke: 1959			
uunbidden	slaaf: 1969			
verkrachten	piraat: 1989			
, end de la companya	wildeman: 1981			
stichten	immigrant: 1989			
	stam: 1989			
boren	piraat: 1979			
	stam: 1965			
zeulen	dwerg: 1955			
	inboorling: 1959			
kidnappen	indiaan: 1985			
rr	stam: 1985			
NOTdrinken	indiaan: 1985			
	mohammedaan: 1961			
binnensmokkelen	immigrant: 1971, 1981			
bejegenen barbaar: 1961				
, , ,	kleurling: 19/1			
overstromen	immigrant: 1975, 1995			

Table 8: Known-charged nouns, associated verbs, and the years of association according to the criteria defined in 4.3, where the noun is the agent to the verb. The *NOT* prefix denotes negation of the verb. This table lists only those instances of 2 or more associated noun and year instances.

these term from this larger list of terms, of which the majority consists of general, uncharged, highfrequency words for family relations, demographic groups, locations, government, occupations, culture, religion, tradition, and arts — all to be expected, given that these are all hypernyms of our charged terms and occur in the same linguistic and semantic contexts.

5 Discussion and Conclusion

The paper posed three research questions which we can paraphrase as: do simple metrics of word associativity yield distinctive context profiles; can these context profiles be used to draw parallels between known-charged nouns; and finally, can we identify candidate charged nouns. Somewhat inherent to the complexity of the notion of a term being *charged* is that there exists no definitive gold standard dataset from which we are able to evaluate

Adjectives	known-charged nouns associated with the adjectives according to 4.3 criteria			
	(and the years they are associated)			
	afkomst: 1969, 1979, 1989, 1955, 1975, 1985, 1991, 1961			
	halfbloed: 1959			
indiaans	medicijnman: 1979, 1989, 1991, 1995			
	ras: 1979			
	scap. 1969			
	stan: 1969 stan: 1969 1979 1959 1989 1955 1975 1985 1971			
	stamhoofd: 1969, 1959			
	afkomst: 1959			
	barbaar: 1959			
	oorsprong: 1959, 1969			
germaans	ras: 1959, 1969, 1951, 1961, 1965, 1975, 1979, 1985, 1989			
	stam: 1959, 1969, 1951, 1961, 1965, 1975, 1979, 1985,			
	1989, 1955, 1971, 1991, 1995			
	afkomst: 1951, 1955			
arisch	ras: 1959, 1965, 1969, 1975, 1979, 1981, 1985, 1989,			
	1991, 1995			
	stam: 1951			
	AIKOIIISI, 1905, 1909, 1951, 1955, 1959, 1901, 1975, 1979, 1025			
hindostaans	immigrant: 1965_1969			
	migrant: 1989			
	indiaan: 1979			
primitief	stam: 1979, 1951, 1959, 1965, 1969, 1971, 1975, 1981,			
	1985, 1989, 1995			
magiatant	ras: 1985, 1989, 1955, 1959, 1961, 1965, 1971, 1991			
resistent	stam: 1985, 1989			
	afkomst: 1981, 1955, 1959, 1969, 1971, 1979, 1985, 1989,			
nederig	1991			
	slaaf: 1981			
	afkomst: 1985, 1989, 1991, 1961, 1971			
russisch-joods	immigrant: 1985, 1989, 1991, 1979			
	oorsprong: 1985			
polair	1981 1985			
minderwaardig	ras: 1961 1965 1969 1975 1979 1981 1985 1991 1995			
- minuer munung	afkomst: 1971, 1991, 1955, 1979, 1985			
indo-europees	oorsprong: 1971			
	stam: 1991, 1961			
and to the de	afkomst: 1991, 1951, 1979, 1981, 1985, 1989			
pools-joods	immigrant: 1991, 1959			
	afkomst: 1989, 1991, 1979, 1985, 1995			
niet-nederlands	immigrant: 1991			
	oorsprong: 1989			
armeens	atkomst: 1965, 1969, 1975, 1981, 1985, 1995			
anhtaon is sh	immigrant: 1965			
subtropisch	oursprong: 1959, 1961, 1965, 1969, 1971, 1975, 1981			
goudenjk	ouispiolig: 1959, 1905, 1909, 1971, 1981, 1989, 1991			
duits-joods	immigrant: 1955, 1965, 1971			
	afkomst: 1991, 1995			
noordafrikaans	immigrant: 1991, 1995, 1985, 1989			
	migrant: 1991			
	afkomst: 1971, 1981			
oriäntaale	immigrant: 1955, 1971			
onemaars	oorsprong: 1975			
	ras: 1955			
illegaal	immigrant: 1955, 1971, 1979, 1985, 1989, 1995			
	afkomst: 1989			
negroïde	ras: 1971, 1989, 1975, 1991			
	stam: 19/1			
kaltisah	oorsprong: 1955, 1959, 1965, 1969			
Keitisch	145. 1751 stam: 1951			
	afkomet: 1989-1991-1979			
NOTnederlands	oorsprong. 1989 1991 1961			
L	ooroprong. 1707, 1771, 1701			

Table 9: Known-charged nouns, associated adjectives and the years of association according to the criteria defined in 4.3. This table lists only those instances of 6 or more associated noun and year instances.

the methodology output on a purely numerical basis. Charged term detection, and an understanding of the manifest attributes that make terms charged, remains an open problem (and perhaps always will be). Consequently, any evaluation of methods used to answer the research question must inevitably rely on a degree of outside-of-data, human interpretation. On the basis of the observed associations and the links we can recognize, we contend that the evaluation results are sufficiently strong to be able to answer all of the research questions in the affirmative. Additionally, the results in regards to supporting the methodology are supported by the fact that ultimately the basis of methods is simple, time-tested, and entirely open to inspection (being based on co-occurrence counts).

The underlying context in which the research questions were posed, was the application of digital humanities to help humanities scholars in exploring and charged language. The utility being the ability of condense many millions of narrative descriptions into a much smaller number of salient associations for human consideration. In this regard, the evaluation results tables in this document (and the complete versions, with english translations, available on the Github repository), can be viewed as reference set of associations. However, the results correspond to the specific (and arguably quite restrictive) LLR associativity score thresholds adopted for the purpose of method evaluation. It is envisaged that the methodology could be used on a more adhoc basis by humanities scholars in exploring context features and overlaps: where the outputs could be used as a both a reference with a probabilistic basis, but also as a pointer to consider axes of contentiousness at a high, human-expert level. For example, in the Words Matter publication in relation to "*stam*" it is noted that (translation): "The term tribe is often associated with a so-called not complex society with a simple political structure. although this fact in itself is not disputed, the term has the connotation of primitive". We see this precise association in our results: in Table 9, the adjective and known-charged term "primitief" is shown to be associated with "stam" in the newspaper articles consistently through the 1950s through the 1990s. In the case of "mohammedaan", the Words Matter document details objections to the term on the basis of religious objects: but we also see context associations such as orthodox-radical (Table 5) which may or not provide further avenue for which contentiousness its contentiousness can be considered. In the case of "neger", the Words Matter document notes the associations of the word with the sub-Saharan African peoples, but more problematically with racial stereotyping. Again, we see this as an output from the methodology in the table 5 profile of the term: bakongo, bantoe, congo, goudkust, soedan; blootvoet, lynchen, militant. Furthermore, the results of Table 5 allow us

noun	known_charged noun	verbs associated	verbs associated	adjactives associated	modifiers associated
noun	Known-charged noun	are patients	are agents	aujectives associated	mounters associated
				indiaans: 1969, 1979, 1989, 1955,	
				1975, 1985, 1991 hindostaans: 1965	
bevolking	afkomst			creools: 1959	
beronding	untonist			hindoestaans: 1961	
				papoeaas: 1961	
				albanees: 1981, 1989	
bevolking	bediende	opschrikken: 1971		niet-blanke: 1971	bantoe: 1961 heger: 1969
bevolking	blanke			kiesgerechtigd: 1985	ingen 1909
bevolking	creool				bosland: 1989, 1975
bevolking	halfbloed			indiaans: 1959	
				hindostaans: 1965	
bevolking	immigrant			niet-blanke: 1971, 1965, 1981	
Ŭ				nietblank: 1965, 1985 straatarm: 1981	
bevolking	indiaan	uitroeien: 1989		stuatani. 1901	bosland: 1989
bevolking	inlander	ophitsen: 1959			
bevolking	kleurling			kiesgerechtigd: 1985	
bevolking	koppensneller			maleis: 1951	
bevolking	medicijnman			indiaans: 1979, 1989, 1991, 1995	
bevolking	migrant			nietblank: 1971	1050-1061
bevolking	neger	ophitsen: 1959		autochthon: 1961 kiesgerechtigd: 1065	bantoe: 1959, 1961
	-	-		indiaans: 1979	bostalid: 1989
bevolking	ras			negroïde: 1971	bantoe: 1959
bevolking	scalp			indiaans: 1969	
bevolking	slaaf			indiaans: 1969	neger: 1955, 1971, 1979, 1975, 1985
				indiaane: 1969, 1979, 1959, 1989, 1955	bantoe: 1959, 1961, 1975
		uitroeien: 1989		1975, 1985, 1971	neger: 1955, 1971, 1979
bevolking	stam	geevacueerd: 1965		berbers: 1955	bosneger: 1989, 1991, 1995
		uitmoorden: 1985		negroïde: 1971	papoea: 1951, 1955, 1959, 1965, 1989
				inheems: 1965, 1981	eskimo: 1985
harry Halana				indiaans: 1969, 1959	h
bevoiking	stamnoord			berbers: 1955	bosneger: 1989
beweging	blanke				mau-mau: 1959
beweging	inboorling			oproerig: 1959	
beweging	indiaan			opstandig: 1989	
beweging	migrant			russisch-talig: 1980	
beweging	inigrant			oproerig: 1959	
beweging	neger			opstandig: 1971	
beweging	oorsprong			vincentiaans: 1971	
heweging	stam			opstandig: 1971, 1959	zulu: 1991
beweging				oproerig: 1955	zoeloe: 1985
beweging	stammooru			oriëntaals: 1071_1081	Zulu: 1991
joden	afkomst			hongaars: 1969	
joden	blanke			-	nlet: 1961
joden	gekleurd	deporteren: 1969			
joden	immigrant	deporteren: 1985		oriëntaals: 1971 ethiopisch: 1985–1991	
ioden	islamiet			orthodox: 1981	
jøden	neger	lynchen: 1975			
joden	oorsprong			oriëntaals: 1975	
joden	stam	uitmoorden: 1985			
joden	zigeuner	deporteren: 1979		staatloos: 1961	
kwestie	afkomst			c'al: 1991	
kwestie	blanke			rhodesisch: 1981	analaat 1061
kwestie	karrer				zoeloe: 1901
kwestie	neger				soedan: 1951
kwestie	stam				zoeloe: 1961
kwestie	stamhoofd				zoeloe: 1961
communisten	barbaar			bloeddorstig: 1965	
communisten	dwerg			bloeddorstig: 1965	
communisten	indiaan		uitmoorden: 1995		
communisten	Islamiet			dox: 19/9	

Table 10: Pairs of known-charged and other nouns as related by verbs, adjectives and modifiers, according to the associativity criteria defined in 4.4. This table represents only a demonstrative sample.

to extend the characterisation with detailed actions this collective noun term has been subjected to: *doodschieten* (shoot dead), *terechtstellen* (execute), *uitmoorden* (massacre) and *verdrukken* (oppress).

There is scope to further elaborate on, and strengthen the resulting context-feature profiles captured over a corpus. First and foremost, further work into the pattern matching routines such to expand the number of adjectives and verbs captured, whilst maintaining a high degree of accuracy. This is especially true of some of the most obvious and basic noun and verbs for which the noun is agent patterns, which we excluded from this study for yielding notably lower accuracy than other the patterns included in the study. However, there are other contexts that may be interesting and indicative of being charged: for instance context features which capture more information of the environs as part of the narrative account of nouns (and known-charged nouns).

Lastly, whilst newspapers represent one particular narrative account type of people groups, other discourse types (such as literature) may yield rival or complementary accounts useful to humanities scholars.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by NWO in the 'Culturally Aware AI' project.

CRediT Author Statement:

R. Brate: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, writing - original draft. M. van Erp: funding acquisition, project administration, supervision, writing - review & editing. A. van den Bosch: funding acquisition, supervision, writing - review & editing.⁵

References

- David Bamman, Brendan O'Connor, and Noah A. Smith. 2013. Learning latent personas of film characters. In Proceedings of the 51st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 352–361, Sofia, Bulgaria. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Gioele Barabucci, Francesca Tomasi, and Fabio Vitali. 2020. Supporting complexity and conjectures in cultural heritage descriptions. In *Proceedings of the International Conference Collect and Connect: Archives and Collections in a Digital Age*, volume Vol-2810 of *1613-0073*, pages 104–115, Leiden, the Netherlands.
- Emily M Bender, Timnit Gebru, Angelina McMillan-Major, and Shmargaret Shmitchell. 2021. On the dangers of stochastic parrots: Can language models be too big? In *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM conference on fairness, accountability, and transparency*, pages 610–623.
- Abeba Birhane, Pratyusha Kalluri, Dallas Card, William Agnew, Ravit Dotan, and Michelle Bao. 2022. The values encoded in machine learning research. In 2022 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, pages 173–184.
- Ryan Brate, Andrei Nesterov, Valentin Vogelmann, Jacco van Ossenbruggen, Laura Hollink, and Marieke van Erp. 2021. Capturing Contentiousness: Constructing the Contentious Terms in Context Corpus. In Proceedings of the 11th on Knowledge Capture Conference, K-CAP '21, pages 17–24, Virtual Event, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

- Ted Dunning. 1993. Accurate methods for the statistics of surprise and coincidence. *Comput. Linguist.*, 19(1):61–74.
- Matthew Honnibal and Ines Montani. 2017. spaCy 2: Natural language understanding with Bloom embeddings, convolutional neural networks and incremental parsing. To appear.
- Hannah Kirk, Abeba Birhane, Bertie Vidgen, and Leon Derczynski. 2022. Handling and presenting harmful text in NLP research. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2022*, pages 497–510, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Mrinalini Luthra, Konstantin Todorov, Charles Jeurgens, and Giovanni Colavizza. 2023. Unsilencing colonial archives via automated entity recognition. *Journal of Documentation*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print).
- Wayne Modest and Robin Lelijveld. 2018. Words matter: an unfinished guide to word choices in the cultural sector. Technical report, The National Museum for World Cultures (Tropenmuseum, Afrikamuseum, Museum Volkenkunde, Wereldmuseum).
- Shakir Mohamed, Marie-Therese Png, and William Isaac. 2020. Decolonial AI: Decolonial Theory as Sociotechnical Foresight in Artificial Intelligence. *Philosophy & Technology*, 33(4):659–684.
- Temi Odumosu. 2020. The Crying Child: On Colonial Archives, Digitization, and Ethics of Care in the Cultural Commons. *Current Anthropology*, 61(S22):S289–S302.
- Maarten Sap, Saadia Gabriel, Lianhui Qin, Dan Jurafsky, Noah A. Smith, and Yejin Choi. 2020. Social bias frames: Reasoning about social and power implications of language. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 5477–5490, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Timo Schick, Sahana Udupa, and Hinrich Schütze. 2021. Self-diagnosis and self-debiasing: A proposal for reducing corpus-based bias in NLP. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 9:1408– 1424.
- Anna Schmidt and Michael Wiegand. 2017. A survey on hate speech detection using natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Social Media*, pages 1–10, Valencia, Spain. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Melvin Wevers. 2019. Using word embeddings to examine gender bias in Dutch newspapers, 1950-1990. In Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Computational Approaches to Historical Language Change, pages 92–97, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.

⁵https://credit.niso.org