RWKV-CLIP: A Robust Vision-Language Representation Learner

Tiancheng Gu[♥]; Kaicheng Yang[▲]; Xiang An[▲], Ziyong Feng[▲] Dongnan Liu♥ , Weidong Cai♥† , Jiankang Deng❉†

♥University of Sydney ♠DeepGlint ❉ Imperial College

tigu8498@uni.sydney.edu.au, kaichengyang@deepglint.com

Abstract

Contrastive Language-Image Pre-training (CLIP) has significantly improved performance in various vision-language tasks by expanding the dataset with image-text pairs obtained from the web. This paper further explores CLIP from the perspectives of data and model architecture. To mitigate the impact of the noise data and enhance the quality of large-scale image-text data crawled from the internet, we introduce a diverse description generation framework that can leverage Large Language Models (LLMs) to combine and refine information from web-based image-text pairs, synthetic captions, and detection tags. Additionally, we propose RWKV-CLIP, the first RWKV-driven vision-language representation learning model that combines the effective parallel training of transformers with the efficient inference of RNNs. Extensive experiments across different model scales and pre-training datasets demonstrate that RWKV-CLIP is a robust vision-language representation learner and it achieves state-of-the-art performance across multiple downstream tasks, including linear probing, zero-shot classification, and zero-shot image-text retrieval. To facilitate future research, the code and pre-trained models are released at [https:](https://github.com/deepglint/RWKV-CLIP) [//github.com/deepglint/RWKV-CLIP](https://github.com/deepglint/RWKV-CLIP).

1 Introduction

The proliferation of mobile networks and social platforms has greatly accelerated the large-scale production of image-text pairs [\(Yang et al.,](#page-10-0) [2020;](#page-10-0) [Yu et al.,](#page-10-1) [2020\)](#page-10-1). This unprecedented abundance of data has established the foundation for visionlanguage pre-training. Contrastive Language-Image Pre-training (CLIP) employs two distinct unimodal encoders for images and text, utilizing a contrastive loss, a highly effective mechanism for representation learning. Having been pre-trained

Figure 1: The proposed RWKV-CLIP combines the effective parallel training of transformers with the efficient inference of RNNs, achieving better efficiency and accuracy than the baseline methods (e.g., CLIP and ALIP).

on extensive image-text pairs collected from the internet, CLIP demonstrates strong transferability and has been widely applied across various domains [\(Zhou et al.,](#page-10-2) [2023;](#page-10-2) [Yao et al.,](#page-10-3) [2023\)](#page-10-3).

In recent years, many large-scale image-text datasets collected from the internet have been released. LAION400M [\(Schuhmann et al.,](#page-9-0) [2021\)](#page-9-0) is created for research purposes and it contains 400 million image-text pairs curated using the CLIP model. Building on this, LAION5B [\(Schuhmann](#page-9-1) [et al.,](#page-9-1) [2022\)](#page-9-1), which consists of 5.85 billion CLIPfiltered image-text pairs, successfully replicates and fine-tunes basic models such as CLIP. However, using the CLIP model to filter web-based image-text pairs still retains a considerable presence of noisy data. To improve data quality, Data-Comp [\(Gadre et al.,](#page-8-0) [2024\)](#page-8-0) employs various strategies such as basic filtering, CLIP score filtering, and text&image-based filtering. However, inherent characteristics of internet data, such as abstract

Equal contribution.

[†]Corresponding author.

text representations and semantic discrepancies between text and images, remain significant obstacles.

In recent years, the Transformer [\(Vaswani et al.,](#page-10-4) [2017\)](#page-10-4) model has been extensively applied in largescale representation learning, yielding significant performance improvements across multiple downstream tasks [\(Acosta et al.,](#page-8-1) [2022;](#page-8-1) [Kirillov et al.,](#page-9-2) [2023;](#page-9-2) [Wang et al.,](#page-10-5) [2023b\)](#page-10-5), including image classification [\(Dosovitskiy et al.,](#page-8-2) [2020;](#page-8-2) [Wang et al.,](#page-10-6) [2023a\)](#page-10-6), text generation [\(Brown et al.,](#page-8-3) [2020\)](#page-8-3), and speech recognition [\(Radford et al.,](#page-9-3) [2023\)](#page-9-3). Despite these achievements, the quadratic computational complexity inherent in Transformer limits its capacity to effectively process high-resolution images and long sequences, posing a substantial challenge to its broader applicability across varied domains.

In this paper, we design a framework for generating diverse descriptions. Following ALIP [\(Yang](#page-10-7) [et al.,](#page-10-7) [2023\)](#page-10-7), we first use the OFA [\(Wang et al.,](#page-10-8) [2022\)](#page-10-8) model to generate synthetic descriptions consistent with image content. However, constrained by the training data, OFA can only partially identify coarse-grained object categories. Therefore, we introduce an open-set image tagging model RAM++ [\(Huang et al.,](#page-9-4) [2023\)](#page-9-4) to capture more detailed and precise semantic information from images. By leveraging LLMs, we synthesize and refine information from web-based texts, synthetic captions, and detection tags. Additionally, inspired by RWKV [\(Peng et al.,](#page-9-5) [2024\)](#page-9-5) and Vision-RWKV [\(Duan et al.,](#page-8-4) [2024\)](#page-8-4), we propose RWKV-CLIP, the first RWKV-driven vision-language representation learning model that combines the effective parallel training of Transformers with the efficient inference of RNNs. Extensive experiments across various model scales and pre-training datasets demonstrate that RWKV-CLIP is a robust and efficient vision-language representation learner. The main contributions of this paper are summarized as follows:

- We introduce a diverse description generation framework, which can leverage LLMs to synthesize and refine information from webbased texts, synthetic captions, and detection tags to produce more accurate and semantically enriched descriptions.
- We propose the RWKV-CLIP, the first RWKVdriven vision-language representation learning model, which combines the parallel training effectiveness of Transformers with the in-

ference efficiency of RNNs.

• We demonstrate the robustness and effectiveness of RWKV-CLIP as a vision-language representation learner through extensive experiments across various model scales and pretraining datasets.

2 Related Work

2.1 Vision-Language Representation Learning

As the milestone in vision-language representation learning, CLIP [\(Radford et al.,](#page-9-6) [2021\)](#page-9-6) has garnered unparalleled interest due to its remarkable zero-shot recognition capability and outstanding transfer performance. Subsequently, a significant amount of enhancement works [\(Yang et al.,](#page-10-9) [2024;](#page-10-9) [An et al.,](#page-8-5) [2024,](#page-8-5) [2023\)](#page-8-6) based on CLIP have been proposed. SLIP [\(Mu et al.,](#page-9-7) [2022\)](#page-9-7) combines self-supervised learning with CLIP pre-training to achieve significant performance improvements. De-CLIP [\(Li et al.,](#page-9-8) [2022b\)](#page-9-8) employs multi-view supervision across modalities and nearest-neighbor supervision from similar pairs to enhance representation learning efficiency. FILIP [\(Yao et al.,](#page-10-10) [2022\)](#page-10-10) refines contrastive loss to learn fine-grained representations for image patches and sentence words. UniCLIP [\(Lee et al.,](#page-9-9) [2022\)](#page-9-9) boosts data efficiency by integrating contrastive loss across multiple domains into a single universal space. HiCLIP [\(Geng](#page-8-7) [et al.,](#page-8-7) [2023\)](#page-8-7) enhances cross-modal alignment by incorporating hierarchy-aware attention into both visual and language branches of CLIP. ALIP [\(Yang](#page-10-7) [et al.,](#page-10-7) [2023\)](#page-10-7) introduces a gating mechanism to reduce the influence of noisy pairs using synthetic data. Different from the above methods, this paper conducts further exploration of both the data and model architecture, proposing a diverse description generation framework and introducing RWKV-CLIP, the first RWKV-driven vision-language representation model.

2.2 Text Agumentation

With the success of LLMs in Natural Language Processing (NLP), there is growing interest in leveraging LLMs to enhance text descriptions in largescale image-text pairs. LaCLIP [\(Fan et al.,](#page-8-8) [2023\)](#page-8-8) explores different strategies to generate rewrite examples and uses the in-context learning ability of LLMs to rewrite text within image-text datasets. However, the hallucination issue of LLMs and reliance on limited samples to guide the rewriting process can still introduce significant noise. To

Figure 2: The architecture of our proposed diverse description generation framework.

address this, CapsFusion [\(Yu et al.,](#page-10-11) [2024\)](#page-10-11) generates synthetic captions for each image and utilizes ChatGPT to merge raw texts and synthetic captions, creating a dataset with one million instructions for LLaMA fine-tuning. Despite this, caption generation models such as OFA [\(Wang et al.,](#page-10-8) [2022\)](#page-10-8) and BLIP [\(Li et al.,](#page-9-10) [2022a\)](#page-9-10) are limited by their training data and can only identify a restricted set of coarse-grained object categories. In this paper, we introduce the open-set image tagging model RAM++ [\(Huang et al.,](#page-9-4) [2023\)](#page-9-4) to assign semantic detection tags to each image. Beneficial from detection tags, more semantic information can be introduced from images, which in turn further constrains LLMs and mitigates hallucinations.

2.3 Receptance Weighted Key Value

RWKV [\(Peng et al.,](#page-9-11) [2023\)](#page-9-11) is first proposed in NLP, it addresses memory bottleneck and quadratic scaling in Transformers through efficient linear scaling while retaining expressive characteristics like parallelized training and robust scalability. Recently, Vision-RWKV [\(Duan et al.,](#page-8-4) [2024\)](#page-8-4) successfully transferred the RWKV from NLP to vision tasks, outperforming ViT in image classification with faster processing and reduced memory consumption for high-resolution inputs. PointR-WKV [\(He et al.,](#page-9-12) [2024\)](#page-9-12) demonstrates leading performance across various downstream tasks, surpassing Transformer- and Mamba-based counterparts in efficiency and computational complexity. Furthermore, Diffusion-RWKV [\(Fei et al.,](#page-8-9) [2024\)](#page-8-9) adapts RWKV for diffusion models in image generation

tasks, achieving competitive or superior performance compared to existing CNN or Transformerbased diffusion models. However, these methods have only validated RWKV in specific downstream tasks, and the potential of RWKVs to replace ViTs in vision-language representation learning remains unverified.

3 Method

In this section, we first introduce a diverse description generation framework that leverages the capabilities of large language models to integrate information from web-based texts, synthetic captions, and detection tags. Subsequently, we provide a detailed exposition of RWKV-CLIP.

3.1 Diverse Description Generation

The architecture of our proposed diverse description generation framework is illustrated in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) To mitigate the effects of mismatched image-text pairs, following ALIP [\(Yang et al.,](#page-10-7) [2023\)](#page-10-7), we first adopt the OFA_{base} model to generate a synthetic caption for each image. The synthetic captions exhibit a high degree of semantic alignment with the image, facilitating alignment across different modal feature spaces. However, constrained by the training data, OFA_{base} can recognize a limited number of object categories and tends to produce captions with a simplistic sentence structure. To capture finer-grained semantic information within images, we incorporate the open-set image tagging models RAM++ [\(Huang et al.,](#page-9-4) [2023\)](#page-9-4) to extract

Figure 3: The architecture of RWKV-CLIP, which consists of $M \times$ and $N \times$ RWKV-driven blocks followed by an average pooling layer.

object detection tags for each image.

To assess the viability of our approach, following CapsFusion [\(Yu et al.,](#page-10-11) [2024\)](#page-10-11), we initially leverage ChatGPT to combine information from raw texts, synthetic captions, and detection tags. However, the time and computational effort involved is prohibitive. Therefore, we construct an instruction dataset based on ChatGPT interactions and finetuned the open-source LLaMA3 with this dataset. After that, we leverage the fine-tuned LLaMA3 model for large-scale inference. Specifically, we select 70K image-text pairs from YFCC15M that have more than 10 detection tags. Then, we input the raw texts, synthetic captions, and detection tags of these data into ChatGPT to get instruction responses. The details of the instruction prompt are provided in the supplementary material.

After obtaining the instruction dataset, we utilize the LLaMA Factory [\(Zheng et al.,](#page-10-12) [2024\)](#page-10-12) to finetune the LLaMA3-8B and leverage vLLM [\(Kwon et al.,](#page-9-13) [2023\)](#page-9-13) to accelerate large-scale inference.

3.2 RWKV-CLIP

In this section, we propose RWKV-CLIP, a robust and efficient RWKV-driven vision-language representation learner. Inspired by CLIP [\(Rad](#page-9-6)[ford et al.,](#page-9-6) [2021\)](#page-9-6) and Vision-RWKV [\(Duan et al.,](#page-8-4) [2024\)](#page-8-4), RWKV-CLIP adopts a dual-tower architecture with a block-stacked encoder design like the Transformer [\(Vaswani et al.,](#page-10-4) [2017\)](#page-10-4), where each block consists of a spatial mixing and a channel

mixing module. The overview architecture of our proposed RWKV-CLIP is shown in Fig. [3.](#page-3-0)

Input Augmentation. Based on our proposed diverse description generation framework, we can obtain three types of text: raw text T_r , synthetic caption T_s , and generated description T_q . To improve the robustness of the model, we randomly select a text from $[T_r, T_s, T_q]$ as the augmentation for text inputs:

$$
aug(T) = Sample([T_r, T_s, T_g]).
$$
\n(1)

Meanwhile, the input image $I \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$ is transformed into HW/p^2 patches, where p is the patch size.

Spatial Mixing. The input text $\text{aug}(T)$ and image I are passed through the spatial mixing module, which acts as an attention mechanism and performs global attention computation of linear complexity. Specifically, the input data is shifted and entered into four parallel linear layers to obtain multi-head vectors G_x^s , R_x^s , K_x^s , V_x^s :

$$
\psi_x^s = \text{Lerp}_{\psi}(x) \cdot w_{\psi}^s, \quad \psi \in \{G, R, K, V\},\tag{2}
$$

where Lerp is the linear interpolation [\(Peng et al.,](#page-9-5) [2024\)](#page-9-5). In this paper, we adopt Q-Lerp and B-Lerp for image and text encoders respectively. The Q-Lerp can be formulated as:

Q-Lerp_{\Psi}(*I*) = *I* + (1 -
$$
\eta_{\Psi}
$$
) · *I*^{*},
\n*I*^{*} = Concat(*I*₁, *I*₂, *I*₃, *I*₄). (3)

The B-Lerp can be presented as:

B-Lerp<sub>$$
\Psi
$$</sub>(T) = T + (1 - $\eta \Psi$) · T^{*},
T^{*} = Concat(T₁, T₂), (4)

where $\Psi \in \{G, R, K, V, w\}$, η_{Ψ} denotes learnable vectors, I^* is the quad-directional shift vector in the image, *i.e.*, $I_1 = x[h-1, w, 0 : C/4], I_2 =$ $x[h + 1, w, C/4 : C/2], I_3 = x[h, w - 1, C/2 :$ $3C/4$, $I_4 = x[h, w + 1, 3C/4 : C]$, T^* is the bidirectional shift in the text *i.e.*, $T_1 = [w - 1, 0:$ $C/2$, $T_2 = [w + 1, C/2 : C]$, where h, w, C present the number of height, width, and channel. These shift functions enhance feature interaction at the channel level, enabling a focus on neighboring tokens. Specifically, the bi-directional shift ensures forward and backward interaction of text tokens without increasing additional FLOPs. To avoid a fixed learned vector, a new time-varying decay w_x is calculated as follows:

$$
\phi(x) = \lambda + \tanh(x \cdot M_i) \cdot M_j,
$$

\n
$$
\hat{w}_x^s = x + (1 - \phi(\text{Lerp}_w(x))) \cdot x^*,
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{w}_x^s = \phi(\hat{w}_x^s), w_x^s = \exp(-\exp(\tilde{w}_x^s)),
$$
\n(5)

where $x \in \{I, T\}$, λ is a learnable vector, M_i , M_j are learnable weight matrices. The function ϕ is used to obtain learned vectors by inexpensively augmenting inputs with additional offsets. \hat{w}_x^s and \tilde{w}_x^s are middle values of w_x^s during the calculation process. This process allows each channel of w_x to vary based on a mix of the current and prior tokens x^* .

Subsequently, w_x^s , R_x^s , K_x^s , V_x^s are used to compute the global attention result wkv_t via a linear complexity bidirectional attention mechanism. This result is then multiplied by $\sigma(G_x^s)$, functioning as a gate mechanism to control the output O_x^s :

$$
wkv_t = \text{Bi-WKV}_t(w_s^s, R_x^s, K_x^s, V_x^s),
$$

\n
$$
O_x^s = \text{Concat}(\sigma(G_x^s) \odot \text{LN}(wkv_t)) \cdot w_o^s,
$$
 (6)

where $\sigma(\cdot)$ denotes the SiLU function [\(Elfwing](#page-8-10) [et al.,](#page-8-10) [2018\)](#page-8-10), and ⊙ means element-wise multiplication, LN is the layer norm and the Bi-WKV [\(Duan](#page-8-4) [et al.,](#page-8-4) [2024;](#page-8-4) [Peng et al.,](#page-9-5) [2024\)](#page-9-5) can be formulated as:

$$
\begin{split} \text{Bi-WKV}_{t} &= R_{s,t} \cdot \text{diag}(u) \cdot K_{s,t}^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot V_{s,t} \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{diag}(\epsilon_{i,j}) \cdot K_{s,i}^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot V_{s,i} \\ &+ \sum_{i=t+1}^{T-1} \text{diag}(\epsilon_{i,j}) \cdot K_{s,i}^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot V_{s,i}), \end{split} \tag{7}
$$

where u is a per-channel learned boost and $\epsilon_{i,j}$ = $\odot_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j$ is a dynamic decay.

Channel Mixing. The spatial mixing module is followed by the channel-mixing module. Similarly, the R_x^c , K_x^c are obtained by Lerp:

$$
\psi_x^c = \text{Lerp}_{\psi}(x) \cdot w_{\psi}^c, \quad \psi \in \{R, K\}.
$$
 (8)

After that, a linear projection and a gate mechanism are performed respectively and the final output O_x^c is formulated as:

$$
O_x^c = (\sigma(R_x^c) \odot \rho(K_x^c)) \cdot w_o^c, \tag{9}
$$

where ρ is the squaredReLU [\(Agarap,](#page-8-11) [2018\)](#page-8-11). After passing through the stack RWKV-based image and text encoders E_I and E_T , we can get the image embeddings $\hat{I} = E_I(I)$ and text embeddings $\hat{T} =$ $E_T(aug(T))$, the loss function L is defined as:

$$
L = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\log \frac{e^{\hat{I}_i^{\top} \hat{T}_i / \tau}}{\sum_j e^{\hat{I}_i^{\top} \hat{T}_j / \tau}} + \log \frac{e^{\hat{I}_i^{\top} \hat{T}_i / \tau}}{\sum_j e^{\hat{I}_j^{\top} \hat{T}_i / \tau}} \right].
$$
 (10)

4 Experiments

4.1 Experimental Settings

Pre-training Datasets. We train our model on the YFCC15M dataset, which is a subset of YFCC100M [\(Thomee et al.,](#page-10-13) [2016\)](#page-10-13) filtered by DeCLIP [\(Li et al.,](#page-9-8) [2022b\)](#page-9-8). To further verify the effectiveness and generalizability of RWKV-CLIP, following ALIP [\(Yang et al.,](#page-10-7) [2023\)](#page-10-7), we randomly select subsets of 10M and 30M from the LAION400M [\(Schuhmann et al.,](#page-9-0) [2021\)](#page-9-0). We then conduct a series of experiments with different model scales and pre-training datasets.

Implementation Details. Consistent with ALIP [\(Yang et al.,](#page-10-7) [2023\)](#page-10-7), we employ OFA_{base} to generate synthetic captions. The instruction dataset is constructed using ChatGPT-35-turbo, and we fine-tune LLaMA3-8B to enhance the generation of diverse descriptions. We employ AdamW [\(Loshchilov and Hutter,](#page-9-14) [2019\)](#page-9-14) as the optimizer, initialized with a learning rate of $1e-3$ and a weight decay of 0.2. The parameters β 1 and β_2 are set to 0.9 and 0.98, respectively. The input image size is 224×224 , and the input text sequence length is truncated or padded to 77. The temperature parameter τ is initialized to 0.07. We train RWKV-CLIP for 32 epochs with a batch size of 4096 on 8 NVIDIA A100(80G) GPUs. We meticulously regulate the parameters and FLOPs of RWKV-CLIP to ensure the fairness of the experimental comparison. Please refer to the supplementary material for more detailed parameters, FLOPs, and settings of RWKV-CLIP.

Method	Pre-train dataset	CIFAR	8 CIFAR1	Food ₁₀₁	Pets	ers Flow	SUN397	Cars		Caltech ₁₀₁	Aircraft	werage
CLIP-ViT-B/32(Radford et al., 2021)	YFCC15M	86.5	64.7	69.2	64.6	90.6	66.0	24.9	61.3	79.1	23.1	63.0
DeCLIP-ViT-B/32 (Li et al., 2022b)	YFCC15M	89.2	69.0	75.4	72.2	94.4		71.6 31.0	68.8	87.9	27.6	68.7
HiCLIP-ViT-B/32 (Geng et al., 2023)	YFCC15M	89.5	71.1	73.5	70.6	91.9	68.8	30.8	63.9	84.8	27.4	67.2
ALIP-ViT-B/32 (Yang et al., 2023)	YFCC15M	94.3	77.8	75.8	76.0	95.1	73.3	33.6 71.7		88.5	36.1	72.2
RWKV-CLIP-B/32	YFCC15M	95.3	81.8	76.4	77.1	92.4	73.1	37.7	73.2	90.6	43.5 74.1	

Table 1: Linear probe performance on 10 downstream datasets. RWKV-CLIP achieves an average performance improvement of 1.9%∼11.1%.

				Text retrieval						Image retrieval		
		Flickr30k			MSCOCO			Flickr30k			MSCOCO	
Method	R@1	R@5	R@10	R@1	R@5	R@10	R@1	R@5	R@10	R@1	R@5	R@10
CLIP-ViT-B/32(Radford et al., 2021)	34.9	63.9	75.9	20.8	43.9	55.7	23.4	47.2	58.9	13.0	31.7	42.7
SLIP-ViT-B/32 (Mu et al., 2022)	47.8	76.5	85.9	27.7	52.6	63.9	32.3	58.7	68.8	18.2	39.2	51.0
DeCLIP-ViT-B/32 (Li et al., 2022b)	51.4	80.2	88.9	28.3	53.2	64.5	34.3	60.3	70.7	18.4	39.6	51.4
UniCLIP-ViT-B/32 (Lee et al., 2022)	52.3	81.6	89.0	32.0	57.7	69.2	34.8	62.0	72.0	20.2	43.2	54.4
HiCLIP-ViT-B/32 (Geng et al., 2023)	۰		٠	34.2	60.3	70.9	۰	۰	۰	20.6	43.8	55.3
ALIP-ViT-B/32 (Yang et al., 2023)	70.5	91.9	95.7	46.8	72.4	81.8	48.9	75.1	82.9	29.3	54.4	65.4
RWKV-CLIP-B/32	76.0	94.7	97.6	50.3	76.2	85.2	57.6	82.3	88.7	34.0	60.9	71.7

Table 2: Zero-shot image-text retrieval performance on the test splits of Flickr30k and MSCOCO. RWKV-CLIP achieves a significant improvement on all metrics.

4.2 Experimental Results

Linear Probe. Building upon previous works [\(Yang et al.,](#page-10-7) [2023;](#page-10-7) [Li et al.,](#page-9-8) [2022b;](#page-9-8) [Geng et al.,](#page-8-7) [2023\)](#page-8-7), we use RWKV-CLIP as a feature extractor and train only a logistic regression classifier. Tab. [1](#page-5-0) details the linear probe performance across 10 downstream datasets, as referenced in ALIP [\(Yang et al.,](#page-10-7) [2023\)](#page-10-7). RWKV-CLIP achieves a significant performance improvement ranging from 1.9% \sim 11.1% over the baseline models, outperforming ALIP in 8 of the 10 datasets. The observed performance improvements are primarily due to two main factors: (1) Our proposed description generation framework effectively synthesizes and refines information from web-based texts, synthetic captions, and detection tags, producing more accurate and semanti- cally enriched descriptions. (2) RWKV-CLIP exhibits superior representation learning capabilities compared to ViT-based models.

Zero-shot Image-text Retrieval. In Tab. [2,](#page-5-1) we compare our method with state-of-the-art approaches in zero-shot image-text retrieval on Flickr30k and MSCOCO. RWKV-CLIP achieves new state-of-the-art results on all evaluation metrics. Specifically, RWKV-CLIP achieves 76.0%/57.6% I2T/T2I retrieval Recall@1 on Flickr30K, surpassing ALIP by 5.5%/8.7%. Similarly, significant improvements of 3.5%/4.7% in I2T/T2I retrieval Recall@1 are observed for RWKV-CLIP on MSCOCO. This exceptional image-text retrieval capability indicates that the representations learned by RWKV-CLIP are robust and exhibit enhanced cross-modal alignment.

Zero-shot Classification. We present the zeroshot classification performance across 11 datasets. To ensure fair comparisons, we use the same prompt templates and class names as established in ALIP [\(Yang et al.,](#page-10-7) [2023\)](#page-10-7) and SLIP [\(Mu et al.,](#page-9-7) [2022\)](#page-9-7). As shown in Tab. [3,](#page-6-0) RWKV-CLIP achieves an average performance improvement of 2.6% ∼ 14.4% over baseline models. Notably, our model outperforms ALIP in 10 out of the 11 datasets, with significant enhancements on instance discrimination datasets such as Food101, and ImageNet. This improvement is mainly due to the diverse descriptions generated by our framework, providing more fine-grained semantic information.

Zero-Shot Robustness Evaluation. In Tab. [4,](#page-6-1) we present a robustness evaluation comparing ALIP and RWKV-CLIP. Our results show that RWKV-CLIP consistently outperforms ALIP in terms of robustness across all datasets with an average improvement of 2.0%. These experimental results establish the RWKV-driven model as a robust representation learner.

Method	Pre-train dataset	IFAR	8 CIFAR	Food ₁₀₁	Pets	Flowers	SUN397	ars		5 altech ₁	Aircraft	ImageNet	verage
CLIP-ViT-B/32(Radford et al., 2021)	YFCC15M	63.7	33.2	34.6	20.1	50.1	35.7	2.6	15.5	59.9	1.2.	32.8	31.8
SLIP-ViT-B/32 (Mu et al., 2022)	YFCC15M	50.7	25.5	33.3	23.5	49.0	34.7	2.8	14.4	59.9	1.7	34.3	30.0
FILIP-ViT-B/32 (Yao et al., 2022)	YFCC15M	65.5	33.5	43.1	24.1	52.7	50.7	3.3	24.3	68.8	3.2	39.5	37.2
DeCLIP-ViT-B/32 (Li et al., 2022b)	YFCC15M	66.7	38.7	52.5	33.8	60.8	50.3	3.8	27.7	74.7	21	43.2	41.3
HiCLIP-ViT-B/32 (Geng et al., 2023)	YFCC15M	74.1	46.0	51.2	37.8	60.9	50.6	4.5	23.1	67.4	3.6	40.5	41.8
ALIP-ViT-B/32 (Yang et al., 2023)	YFCC15M	83.8	51.9	45.4	30.7	54.8	47.8	3.4	23.2	74.1	27	40.3	41.7
RWKV-CLIP-B/32	YFCC15M	79.8	55.1	50.6	37.6	57.1	54.0	4.1	24.6	77.1	4.0	44.3	44.4

Table 3: Zero-shot classification performance on 11 downstream datasets. RWKV-CLIP achieves an average performance improvement of 2.6%∼12.6%.

Table 4: Zero-shot robustness comparison of ALIP and RWKV-CLIP pretrained on YFCC15M.

4.3 Ablation Study

Effectiveness of Model and Data Scaling. To evaluate the effectiveness of RWKV-CLIP on model and data scaling, we conduct experiments on randomly selected subsets of 10M and 30M from LAION400M. For a more comprehensive comparison, we report the linear probe performance on 26 downstream datasets. As shown in Fig. [5,](#page-7-0) RWKV-CLIP significantly improves performance across different model scales and pre-training datasets. These results demonstrate the robustness and extensibility of RWKV-CLIP. Detailed experimental results can be found in the supplementary material. Comparision Analysis with CapsFusion. To further demonstrate the performance differences between our proposed diverse description generation framework and CapsFusion, we used CapsFusion-LLaMA to rewrite the YFCC15M dataset based on raw texts and synthetic captions. We then trained RWKV-CLIP using texts generated by our framework and CapsFusion. As shown in Tab. [5,](#page-6-2) our framework achieves a 0.9% and 2.1% improvement in the average linear probe and zero-shot classification performance, respectively. This improvement is primarily due to the detection tags introducing more semantic information from images, which further constrains LLMs and reduces hallucinations (as shown in Fig. [4\)](#page-6-3).

Ablation on Different Types of Text. We conduct ablation experiments on different categories of text, the average linear probe results on 10 datasets and the average zero-shot classification accuracy on 11 datasets are shown in Tab. [6.](#page-6-4) Synthetic captions

Table 5: Performance comparison using text generated by our proposed diverse description generation framework vs. CapsFusion.

Figure 4: Comparison of our proposed diverse description generation framework vs. CapsFusion. Hallucinations are highlighted in red, and additional semantic information is highlighted in green.

Table 6: Ablation experiment results using different types of text. T_r : raw text. T_s : synthetic caption. T_a : generated diverse description using our proposed framework.

and generated diverse descriptions yielded superior linear probe performance compared to raw texts. This improvement is attributed to the high inci-

Figure 5: Linear probe performance comparison between RWKV-CLIP and ALIP on 26 downstream datasets. The comparisons include RWKV-CLIP-B/32 vs. ALIP-ViT-B/32 on LAION10M, RWKV-CLIP-B/16 vs. ALIP-ViT-B/16 on LAION10M, and RWKV-CLIP-B/32 vs. ALIP-ViT-B/32 on LAION30M, presented from left to right.

Figure 6: Statistical analysis of raw texts, synthetic captions, and generated diverse descriptions on the YFCC15M.

dence of mismatched image-text pairs in raw texts, which can adversely affect representation learning. As shown in Fig. [6,](#page-7-1) our analysis of cosine similarity (computed by CLIP-L14) and token counts across different text types reveals that synthetic captions and generated diverse descriptions have higher average similarity and token counts than raw texts. Furthermore, despite these advantages, raw texts achieve superior zero-shot classification results, mainly due to the constraints imposed by the prompt template.

4.4 Ablation on Model Architecture

In Tab. [7,](#page-7-2) base on text augmentation, we perform an ablation study combining RWKV and Transformer architectures. Compared with Transformer_I and Transformer_T, the integration of RWKV_I and Transformer_T achieves a 2.7% improvement on linear probe but the zero-shot classification performance declines by 10.8%. This reduction is primarily due to the poor compatibility between the RWKV and Transformer architectures. Conversely, the combination of RWKV_I and RWKV_T yields improvements of 3.2% and 2.7% in linear probe and zero-shot classification, respectively, indicating that RWKV outperforms Transformer in vision-language representation learning.

Analysis of Feature Embedding. To understand

	Image	Text	Linear Probe Zero-shot	
RWKV _I	$Transformer_I$	$RWKV_T$ Transformer _T	Avg	Avg
			70.9	41.7
			73.6	30.9
			71.0	41.1
			74.1	44.4

Table 7: Ablation on model architecture.

what makes RWKV-CLIP effective, we randomly select 250 image-text pairs from YFCC15M and visualize the modality gaps of ALIP and RWKV-CLIP. Specifically, each image and its corresponding text are encoded into embedding space and reduced to two dimensions using UMAP [\(McInnes](#page-9-15) [et al.,](#page-9-15) [2018\)](#page-9-15). As shown in Fig. [7,](#page-7-3) we found that the representations learned by RWKV-CLIP exhibit clearer discriminability within the same modality. Additionally, compared to ALIP, RWKV-CLIP demonstrates closer distances in the image-text modality space, indicating superior cross-modal alignment performance.

Figure 7: Visualization of modality gaps.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we further explore CLIP from the perspectives of data and model architecture. We introduce a diverse description generation framework that can leverage Large Language Models (LLMs) to combine and refine information from web-based image-text pairs, synthetic captions, and detection tags. Besides, we propose RWKV-CLIP, the first RWKV-driven vision-language representation learning model that combines the effective parallel training of transformers with the efficient inference of RNNs. Our method demonstrates superior performance across various model scales and pretraining datasets on different downstream tasks. We hope that our work provides insights into visionlanguage representation learning models.

6 Limitations

Our proposed framework for diverse description generation leverages the existing caption generation model and detection tags model, both of which can directly influence the quality of the final generated descriptions. Furthermore, due to limitations in computational resources, this study only executes experiments at tens of millions of scales of image-text pairs. Conducting experiments at a billion-scale necessitates substantial computational resources.

References

- Julián N Acosta, Guido J Falcone, Pranav Rajpurkar, and Eric J Topol. 2022. Multimodal biomedical ai. *Nature Medicine*, 28(9):1773–1784.
- Abien Fred Agarap. 2018. Deep learning using rectified linear units (relu). *arXiv:1803.08375*.
- Xiang An, Jiankang Deng, Kaicheng Yang, Jaiwei Li, Ziyong Feng, Jia Guo, Jing Yang, and Tongliang Liu. 2023. Unicom: Universal and compact representation learning for image retrieval. In *ICLR*.
- Xiang An, Kaicheng Yang, Xiangzi Dai, Ziyong Feng, and Jiankang Deng. 2024. Multi-label cluster discrimination for visual representation learning. In *ECCV*.
- Thomas Berg, Jiongxin Liu, Seung Woo Lee, Michelle L Alexander, David W Jacobs, and Peter N Belhumeur. 2014. Birdsnap: Large-scale fine-grained visual categorization of birds. In *CVPR*.
- Lukas Bossard, Matthieu Guillaumin, and Luc Van Gool. 2014. Food-101–mining discriminative components with random forests. In *ECCV*.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *NeurIPS*.
- Joao Carreira, Eric Noland, Chloe Hillier, and Andrew Zisserman. 2019. A short note on the kinetics-700 human action dataset. *arXiv:1907.06987*.
- Gong Cheng, Junwei Han, and Xiaoqiang Lu. 2017. Remote sensing image scene classification: Benchmark and state of the art. *Proceedings of the IEEE*.
- Mircea Cimpoi, Subhransu Maji, Iasonas Kokkinos, Sammy Mohamed, and Andrea Vedaldi. 2014. Describing textures in the wild. In *CVPR*.
- Adam Coates, Andrew Ng, and Honglak Lee. 2011. An analysis of single-layer networks in unsupervised feature learning. In *Proceedings of the fourteenth international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics*. JMLR.
- Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. 2009. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *CVPR*.
- Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. 2020. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *ICLR*.
- Yuchen Duan, Weiyun Wang, Zhe Chen, Xizhou Zhu, Lewei Lu, Tong Lu, Yu Qiao, Hongsheng Li, Jifeng Dai, and Wenhai Wang. 2024. Vision-rwkv: Efficient and scalable visual perception with rwkv-like architectures. *arXiv:2403.02308*.
- Stefan Elfwing, Eiji Uchibe, and Kenji Doya. 2018. Sigmoid-weighted linear units for neural network function approximation in reinforcement learning. *Neural networks*, 107:3–11.
- Mark Everingham. 2007. The pascal visual object classes challenge,(voc2007) results. *http://pascallin. ecs. soton. ac. uk/challenges/VOC/voc2007/index. html.*
- Lijie Fan, Dilip Krishnan, Phillip Isola, Dina Katabi, and Yonglong Tian. 2023. Improving clip training with language rewrites. In *NeurIPS*.
- Zhengcong Fei, Mingyuan Fan, Changqian Yu, Debang Li, and Junshi Huang. 2024. Diffusion-rwkv: Scaling rwkv-like architectures for diffusion models. *arXiv:2404.04478*.
- Li Fei-Fei, Rob Fergus, and Pietro Perona. 2004. Learning generative visual models from few training examples: An incremental bayesian approach tested on 101 object categories. In *CVPR*.
- Samir Yitzhak Gadre, Gabriel Ilharco, Alex Fang, Jonathan Hayase, Georgios Smyrnis, Thao Nguyen, Ryan Marten, Mitchell Wortsman, Dhruba Ghosh, Jieyu Zhang, et al. 2024. Datacomp: In search of the next generation of multimodal datasets. *NeurIPS*.
- Andreas Geiger, Philip Lenz, and Raquel Urtasun. 2012. Are we ready for autonomous driving? the kitti vision benchmark suite. In *CVPR*.
- Shijie Geng, Jianbo Yuan, Yu Tian, Yuxiao Chen, and Yongfeng Zhang. 2023. HiCLIP: Contrastive language-image pretraining with hierarchy-aware attention. In *ICLR*.
- Qingdong He, Jiangning Zhang, Jinlong Peng, Haoyang He, Yabiao Wang, and Chengjie Wang. 2024. Pointrwkv: Efficient rwkv-like model for hierarchical point cloud learning. *arXiv:2405.15214*.
- Patrick Helber, Benjamin Bischke, Andreas Dengel, and Damian Borth. 2019. Eurosat: A novel dataset and deep learning benchmark for land use and land cover classification. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*.
- Xinyu Huang, Yi-Jie Huang, Youcai Zhang, Weiwei Tian, Rui Feng, Yuejie Zhang, Yanchun Xie, Yaqian Li, and Lei Zhang. 2023. Open-set image tagging with multi-grained text supervision. *arXiv:2310.15200*.
- Justin Johnson, Bharath Hariharan, Laurens Van Der Maaten, Li Fei-Fei, C Lawrence Zitnick, and Ross Girshick. 2017. Clevr: A diagnostic dataset for compositional language and elementary visual reasoning. In *CVPR*.
- Douwe Kiela, Hamed Firooz, Aravind Mohan, Vedanuj Goswami, Amanpreet Singh, Pratik Ringshia, and Davide Testuggine. 2020. The hateful memes challenge: Detecting hate speech in multimodal memes. In *NeurIPS*.
- Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, et al. 2023. Segment anything. In *CVPR*, pages 4015–4026.
- Jonathan Krause, Michael Stark, Jia Deng, and Li Fei-Fei. 2013. 3d object representations for fine-grained categorization. In *ICCVW*.
- Alex Krizhevsky, Geoffrey Hinton, et al. 2009. Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images.
- Woosuk Kwon, Zhuohan Li, Siyuan Zhuang, Ying Sheng, Lianmin Zheng, Cody Hao Yu, Joseph E. Gonzalez, Hao Zhang, and Ion Stoica. 2023. Efficient memory management for large language model serving with pagedattention. In *ACM SIGOPS*.
- Yann LeCun, Léon Bottou, Yoshua Bengio, and Patrick Haffner. 1998. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE*.
- Janghyeon Lee, Jongsuk Kim, Hyounguk Shon, Bumsoo Kim, Seung Hwan Kim, Honglak Lee, and Junmo Kim. 2022. Uniclip: Unified framework for contrastive language-image pre-training. *NeurIPS*.
- Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Caiming Xiong, and Steven Hoi. 2022a. Blip: Bootstrapping language-image pretraining for unified vision-language understanding and generation. In *ICML*.
- Yangguang Li, Feng Liang, Lichen Zhao, Yufeng Cui, Wanli Ouyang, Jing Shao, Fengwei Yu, and Junjie Yan. 2022b. Supervision exists everywhere: A data efficient contrastive language-image pre-training paradigm. In *ICLR*.
- Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. 2019. Decoupled weight decay regularization. In *ICLR*.
- Subhransu Maji, Esa Rahtu, Juho Kannala, Matthew Blaschko, and Andrea Vedaldi. 2013. Fine-grained visual classification of aircraft. *arXiv:1306.5151*.
- Leland McInnes, John Healy, and James Melville. 2018. Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction. *arXiv:1802.03426*.
- Norman Mu, Alexander Kirillov, David Wagner, and Saining Xie. 2022. Slip: Self-supervision meets language-image pre-training. In *ECCV*.
- Maria-Elena Nilsback and Andrew Zisserman. 2008. Automated flower classification over a large number of classes. In *Sixth Indian Conference on Computer Vision, Graphics & Image Processing*.
- Omkar M Parkhi, Andrea Vedaldi, Andrew Zisserman, and CV Jawahar. 2012. Cats and dogs. In *ICCV*.
- Bo Peng, Eric Alcaide, Quentin Gregory Anthony, Alon Albalak, Samuel Arcadinho, Stella Biderman, Huanqi Cao, Xin Cheng, Michael Nguyen Chung, Leon Derczynski, Xingjian Du, Matteo Grella, Kranthi Kiran GV, Xuzheng He, Haowen Hou, Przemyslaw Kazienko, Jan Kocon, Jiaming Kong, Bartłomiej Koptyra, Hayden Lau, Jiaju Lin, Krishna Sri Ipsit Mantri, Ferdinand Mom, Atsushi Saito, Guangyu Song, Xiangru Tang, Johan S. Wind, Stanisław Woźniak, Zhenyuan Zhang, Qinghua Zhou, Jian Zhu, and Rui-Jie Zhu. 2023. RWKV: Reinventing RNNs for the transformer era. In *EMNLP*.
- Bo Peng, Daniel Goldstein, Quentin Anthony, Alon Albalak, Eric Alcaide, Stella Biderman, Eugene Cheah, Teddy Ferdinan, Haowen Hou, Przemysław Kazienko, et al. 2024. Eagle and finch: Rwkv with matrix-valued states and dynamic recurrence. *arXiv:2404.05892*.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. 2021. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *ICML*.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Tao Xu, Greg Brockman, Christine McLeavey, and Ilya Sutskever. 2023. Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision. In *ICML*.
- Christoph Schuhmann, Romain Beaumont, Richard Vencu, Cade Gordon, Ross Wightman, Mehdi Cherti, Theo Coombes, Aarush Katta, Clayton Mullis, Mitchell Wortsman, Patrick Schramowski, Srivatsa Kundurthy, Katherine Crowson, Ludwig Schmidt, Robert Kaczmarczyk, and Jenia Jitsev. 2022. Laion-5b: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. In *NeurIPS*.
- Christoph Schuhmann, Richard Vencu, Romain Beaumont, Robert Kaczmarczyk, Clayton Mullis, Aarush Katta, Theo Coombes, Jenia Jitsev, and

Aran Komatsuzaki. 2021. Laion-400m: Open dataset of clip-filtered 400 million image-text pairs. *arXiv:2111.02114*.

- Khurram Soomro, Amir Roshan Zamir, and Mubarak Shah. 2012. Ucf101: A dataset of 101 human actions classes from videos in the wild. *arXiv:1212.0402*.
- Johannes Stallkamp, Marc Schlipsing, Jan Salmen, and Christian Igel. 2012. Man vs. computer: Benchmarking machine learning algorithms for traffic sign recognition. *Neural networks*.
- Bart Thomee, David A Shamma, Gerald Friedland, Benjamin Elizalde, Karl Ni, Douglas Poland, Damian Borth, and Li-Jia Li. 2016. Yfcc100m: The new data in multimedia research. *Communications of the ACM*.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. *NeurIPS*.
- Bastiaan S Veeling, Jasper Linmans, Jim Winkens, Taco Cohen, and Max Welling. 2018. Rotation equivariant cnns for digital pathology. In *MICCAI*.
- Bowen Wang, Liangzhi Li, Yuta Nakashima, and Hajime Nagahara. 2023a. Learning bottleneck concepts in image classification. In *CVPR*, pages 10962– 10971.
- Peng Wang, An Yang, Rui Men, Junyang Lin, Shuai Bai, Zhikang Li, Jianxin Ma, Chang Zhou, Jingren Zhou, and Hongxia Yang. 2022. Ofa: Unifying architectures, tasks, and modalities through a simple sequence-to-sequence learning framework. In *ICML*.
- Wenhai Wang, Jifeng Dai, Zhe Chen, Zhenhang Huang, Zhiqi Li, Xizhou Zhu, Xiaowei Hu, Tong Lu, Lewei Lu, Hongsheng Li, et al. 2023b. Internimage: Exploring large-scale vision foundation models with deformable convolutions. In *CVPR*, pages 14408– 14419.
- Jianxiong Xiao, James Hays, Krista A Ehinger, Aude Oliva, and Antonio Torralba. 2010. Sun database: Large-scale scene recognition from abbey to zoo. In *ICCV*.
- Kaicheng Yang, Jiankang Deng, Xiang An, Jiawei Li, Ziyong Feng, Jia Guo, Jing Yang, and Tongliang Liu. 2023. Alip: Adaptive language-image pre-training with synthetic caption. In *ICCV*.
- Kaicheng Yang, Tiancheng Gu, Xiang An, Haiqiang Jiang, Xiangzi Dai, Ziyong Feng, Weidong Cai, and Jiankang Deng. 2024. Clip-cid: Efficient clip distillation via cluster-instance discrimination. *arXiv:2408.09441*.
- Kaicheng Yang, Hua Xu, and Kai Gao. 2020. Cm-bert: Cross-modal bert for text-audio sentiment analysis. In *ACM MM*.
- Lewei Yao, Jianhua Han, Xiaodan Liang, Dan Xu, Wei Zhang, Zhenguo Li, and Hang Xu. 2023. Detclipv2: Scalable open-vocabulary object detection pre-training via word-region alignment. In *CVPR*.
- Lewei Yao, Runhui Huang, Lu Hou, Guansong Lu, Minzhe Niu, Hang Xu, Xiaodan Liang, Zhenguo Li, Xin Jiang, and Chunjing Xu. 2022. FILIP: Finegrained interactive language-image pre-training. In *ICLR*.
- Qiying Yu, Quan Sun, Xiaosong Zhang, Yufeng Cui, Fan Zhang, Yue Cao, Xinlong Wang, and Jingjing Liu. 2024. Capsfusion: Rethinking image-text data at scale. In *CVPR*.
- Wenmeng Yu, Hua Xu, Fanyang Meng, Yilin Zhu, Yixiao Ma, Jiele Wu, Jiyun Zou, and Kaicheng Yang. 2020. Ch-sims: A chinese multimodal sentiment analysis dataset with fine-grained annotation of modality. In *ACL*, pages 3718–3727.
- Yaowei Zheng, Richong Zhang, Junhao Zhang, Yanhan Ye, Zheyan Luo, and Yongqiang Ma. 2024. Llamafactory: Unified efficient fine-tuning of 100+ language models. *arXiv:2403.13372*.
- Ziqin Zhou, Yinjie Lei, Bowen Zhang, Lingqiao Liu, and Yifan Liu. 2023. Zegclip: Towards adapting clip for zero-shot semantic segmentation. *CVPR*.

A Detail Experimental Settings

A.1 Model Architectures

We meticulously regulate the parameters and FLOPs of RWKV-CLIP to ensure the fairness of the experimental comparison. The detailed parameters and FLOPs of RWKV-CLIP-B/32 and RWKV-CLIP-B/16 are shown in Tab. [8.](#page-11-0) The detail settings of RWKV-CLIP-B/32 and RWKV-CLIP-B/16 are shown in Tab. [10.](#page-12-0)

Table 8: Parameters and FLOPs comparison between CLIP and RWKV-CLIP.

A.2 Detail Instruction Prompt

The prompt used to input ChatGPT is present in the following:

"Please merge the information from the given raw text and the synthetic caption with the help of the highly relevant detection tags. The raw caption offers detailed real-world information, yet it suffers from flaws in sentence structure and grammar. The synthetic caption exhibits impeccable sentence structure but often lacks in-depth real-world details and may contain false information. The highly relevant detection tags are provided to enrich the semantic information of the raw caption, while some are redundant and noisy. You are a great information integration and summary expert, you are also good at enriching semantic information. Ensure a well-structured sentence while retaining the detailed real-world information provided in the raw caption. Avoid simply concatenating the sentences and avoid adding external information to describe. Correctness and simplify sentences finally. Raw caption:<raw caption>, synthetic caption:<synthetic caption>, and highly relevant detection tags:<detection tags>".

A.3 Experimental Settings

We present the settings used in the training RWKV-CLIP in Tab. [9.](#page-11-1)

A.4 Prompts for Zero-shot Classification

In this work, we evaluate the zero-shot performance of RWKV-CLIP on 11 downstream datasets. All the prompts for the 11 downstream datasets are presented in Tab. [13.](#page-13-0)

Table 9: Hyperparameters used for RWKV-CLIP pretraining.

B Detail Linear Probe on LAION

B.1 Downstream Datasets

To comprehensively demonstrate the performance of RWKV-CLIP, we compared the linear probe results of RWKV-CLIP and ALIP across 26 datasets. These datasets include Food101 [\(Bossard et al.,](#page-8-12) [2014\)](#page-8-12), CIFAR10 [\(Krizhevsky et al.,](#page-9-16) [2009\)](#page-9-16), CI-FAR100 [\(Krizhevsky et al.,](#page-9-16) [2009\)](#page-9-16), Birdsnap [\(Berg](#page-8-13) [et al.,](#page-8-13) [2014\)](#page-8-13), SUN397 [\(Xiao et al.,](#page-10-14) [2010\)](#page-10-14), Stanford Cars [\(Krause et al.,](#page-9-17) [2013\)](#page-9-17), FGVC Aircraft [\(Maji et al.,](#page-9-18) [2013\)](#page-9-18), VOC2007 [\(Everingham,](#page-8-14) [2007\)](#page-8-14), DTD [\(Cimpoi et al.,](#page-8-15) [2014\)](#page-8-15), Pets [\(Parkhi](#page-9-19) [et al.,](#page-9-19) [2012\)](#page-9-19), Caltech101 [\(Fei-Fei et al.,](#page-8-16) [2004\)](#page-8-16), Flowers102 [\(Nilsback and Zisserman,](#page-9-20) [2008\)](#page-9-20), MNIST [\(LeCun et al.,](#page-9-21) [1998\)](#page-9-21), SLT10 [\(Coates](#page-8-17) [et al.,](#page-8-17) [2011\)](#page-8-17), EuroSAT [\(Helber et al.,](#page-9-22) [2019\)](#page-9-22), RE-SISC45 [\(Cheng et al.,](#page-8-18) [2017\)](#page-8-18), GTSRB [\(Stallkamp](#page-10-15) [et al.,](#page-10-15) [2012\)](#page-10-15), KITTI [\(Geiger et al.,](#page-8-19) [2012\)](#page-8-19), Country211 [\(Radford et al.,](#page-9-6) [2021\)](#page-9-6), PCAM [\(Veeling](#page-10-16) [et al.,](#page-10-16) [2018\)](#page-10-16), UCF101 [\(Soomro et al.,](#page-10-17) [2012\)](#page-10-17), Kinetics700 [\(Carreira et al.,](#page-8-20) [2019\)](#page-8-20), CLEVR [\(Johnson](#page-9-23) [et al.,](#page-9-23) [2017\)](#page-9-23), Hateful Memes [\(Kiela et al.,](#page-9-24) [2020\)](#page-9-24), SST2 [\(Radford et al.,](#page-9-6) [2021\)](#page-9-6), ImageNet [\(Deng et al.,](#page-8-21) [2009\)](#page-8-21). Details on each dataset and the corresponding evaluation metrics are provided in Tab. [12.](#page-12-1)

B.2 Detail Linear Probe Results

Following ALIP, we conduct experiments on randomly selected subsets of 10M and 30M from the LAION400M dataset. For a comprehensive comparison, we report the linear probe performance on 26 downstream datasets. The complete experimental results are shown in Tab[.11.](#page-12-2) RWKV-CLIP-B/32 outperforms ALIP-ViT-B/32 2.6% and 1.4% when training on LAION10M and LAION30M, respectively. Additionally, RWKV-CLIP-B/16 also sur-

	Embedding	Input		Image Encoder				Text Encoder		
Model	dimension	resolution	lavers	hidden rate	heads	Init	layers	hidden rate	heads	Init
RWKV-CLIP-B/32	640	224								
RWKV-CLIP-B/16	640	224	12							

Table 10: The detail architecture parameters for our proposed RWKV-CLIP.

Method	Pre-train data		Ħ	품	∞	\sim		.≒		⊢		Ã		ŠЕ		Euro!	RESIS	SRB 틌	KITTI			\simeq	÷ J			\sim SST.	ns.	
ALIP-ViT-B/32 RWKV-CLIP-B/32	LAION10M LAION10M 72.7	71.5	92.2 94.7	76.1 81.4	36.3 42.3	67.3 68.3	70.1 70.3	41.8 47.9	85.3 86.5 73.6	71.3	74.3	86.9	90.7	98.0	94.6	95.4	84.3 76.6 90.0 89.4 99.0 94.6 97.0 85.6 87.0 74.9 13.8 85.1	84.	70.0	12.9	83.4	75.9 80.8	46.4 49.3	51.0	54.8	56.5	59.6 60.6 55.4 58.3 63.7 73.0	70.4
ALIP-ViT-B/16 RWKV-CLIP-B/16	LAION10M LAION10M	-77.2 78.9	93.3 95.1	77.0	-45.1 81.8 50.3 72.0	69.4	77.3 76.8	48.6 50.3	87.7 89.4	74.5 75.4	79.0 79.7	-88.1 91.9 91.7	93.0	98.3 99.0	96.3 96.4	-96.3 96.9	86.4 87.8	83.7 87.4	72.2 75.7	14.2. 15.2	85.2 85.5	-80.1 83.9 53.0	50.1	55.4 61.8 55.9	- 55.7	57.3 60.0	64.8 68.4 75.4	73.3
ALIP-ViT-B/32 RWKV-CLIP-B/32	LAION30M LAION30M	76.6 76.6	94.0 95.6	79.3 82.8	44.2 46.0	70.6 71.0	77.7 77.9	48.4 50.0	87.6 88.2	74.4 74.5	80.4 78.9	90.0 91.6 92.1	93.8	98.3 99.0	96.3 96.5	96.0 97.1	86.7 86.9	-84.7 87.6	72.3 78.9	15.0 15.2	85.0 85.6	81.0 83.4	-50.6 51.8	55.6 -61.6	- 56.1 58.9	58.9	59.8 65.0 73.8 67.2	75.2

Table 11: Top-1 accuracy(%) of linear probe on 26 image classification datasets.

Table 12: List of linear probe datasets with the data distribution and evaluation metrics.

passes ALIP-ViT-B/16 by 2.1% on average across the 26 datasets. These experimental results indicate that RWKV-CLIP demonstrates both robustness and extensibility.

C More Visualize and Analysis

C.1 Class Activation Map

As shown in Fig. [8,](#page-12-3) we visualize the class activation maps of ALIP and RWKV-CLIP on different classes from ImageNet. RWKV-CLIP performs superior in aligning the image patches and textual tokens. For example, RWKV-CLIP captures corresponding text semantic entities in images more accurately.

Figure 8: Class activation maps for ALIP and RWKV-CLIP on different classes from ImageNet.

C.2 Cross Modal Alignment Analysis

To evaluate the performance of the cross-modal alignment of RWKV-CLIP, we random select 50 samples from YFCC15M and visualize the crossmodal cosine similarity matrix in Fig. [9.](#page-12-4) We observe that the diagonal of the RWKV-CLIP matrix is significantly clearer compared to ALIP, indicating that the representations learned by RWKV-CLIP exhibit greater distinctiveness and improved cross-modal alignment capability.

Figure 9: Visualization of modality gaps.

C.3 Case Study

In Fig. [10,](#page-13-1) we visualize additional generated text using CapsFusion and our proposed framework. The introduction of detection tags enhances semantic information from images, thereby constraining LLMs and significantly reducing hallucinations.

Image:			
RAW Text:	John cross.	Bear melodic.	Chena trip tiny chair.
Syn Cap:	A man standing next to a large piece of wood.	A drawing of a dog on the side of a building.	A woman sitting in a chair reading a book.
Det Tag:	Man, chain saw, shirt, tree, cut	Sticker, doodle, road, pole, building	Book, sit, chair, hat, read
CapsFusion:	John Cross is a man standing next to a large piece of wood.	On the side of a building, there is a detailed drawing of a bear playing a melodic instrument.	A woman is sitting in a tiny chair, engrossed in a book she is reading.
	A man named John Cross is Ours: standing next to a large piece of wood, wearing a shirt, and holding a chain saw.	Melodic bear doodle is stuck on the side of a building near a road.	A woman wearing a hat is sitting in a tiny chair, reading a book during her chena trip.

Figure 10: Comparison of generated text using our proposed diverse description generation framework vs. CapsFusion. Hallucinations are highlighted in red, and additional semantic information is highlighted in green.

Table 13: Full list of prompts to evaluate the performance of zero-shot classification on 11 visual recognition datasets.