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#### Abstract

We introduce Eesthetic, a comprehensive Estonian noun and verb lexicon sourced from the Ekilex database. It documents 5475 nouns inflecting for 28 paradigm cells and 5076 verbs inflecting for 51 cells, and comprises a total of 452885 inflected forms. Our openly accessible machine-readable dataset adheres to the Paralex standard. It comprises CSV tables linked by formal relationships. Metadata in JSON format, following the Frictionless standard, provides detailed descriptions of the tables and dataset. The lexicon offers extensive linguistic annotations, including orthographic forms, automatically transcribed phonemic transcriptions, non-canonical morphological phenomena such as overabundance and defectiveness, rich mapping of the paradigm cells and feature-values to other notation schemes, a decomposition of phonemes in distinctive features, and annotation of inflection classes. It is suited for both monolingual and comparative research, enabling qualitative and quantitative analysis. This paper outlines the creation process, rationale, and resulting structure, along with our set of rules for automatic grapheme to phoneme (g2p) conversion.
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## 1. Introduction

We present Eesthetic ${ }^{1}$, a large open inflected lexicon of Estonian ${ }^{2}$ nouns and verbs derived from Ekilex (Kallas et al., 2022). It documents 5475 nouns inflecting for 28 paradigm cells and 5076 verbs inflecting for 51 cells, and comprises a total of 452885 inflected forms given in orthographic and phonemic (automatically transcribed) notation. The dataset is structured and formatted as a set of CSV files following the emerging Paralex standard (Beniamine et al., 2023). It is suited for both qualitative and quantitative investigations into the inflectional properties of the Estonian language.

Over the past decade, studies of inflectional morphology have increasingly turned towards computational methods in order to precisely measure typological variables such as predictability, complexity, inflection class, and analogical structure (Stump and Finkel, 2013; Ackerman and Malouf, 2013; Bonami and Beniamine, 2016; Sims and Parker, 2016; Naranjo and Bonami, 2021; Pellegrini, 2020; Copot and Bonami, in press, among others). Progress in this field is still held back by the limited availability of data documenting full inflectional systems. In particular, it remains impossible to accurately assess distributional properties of these measurements

[^0]across the world's languages, because the existing datasets (e.g. for Latin Pellegrini and Passarotti, 2018; Oto-Manguean languages Feist and Palancar, 2015) are overwhelmingly restricted to a non-representative sample in which certain languages or language families are overrepresented (e.g. Russian Beniamine and Brown, 2019), and in particular Romance (e.g. for French: Bonami et al., 2014, Italian: Pellegrini, 2020; Portuguese: Perdigão et al., 2021; Spanish: Herce, 2023). This inflected lexicon of Estonian, a Uralic language, diversifies the set of available resources.

Machine-readable lexicons of inflected forms are also invaluable for data-driven studies of individual systems. The rich, sizeable inflectional system of Estonian shows complexity in many dimensions, on the one hand mixing fusional and agglutinative elements (Laakso, 2021), and on the other hand including numerous instances where the system deviates from canonical expectations of inflection. These include multiple inflection classes and extensive stem alternations (Viks, 1992; Blevins, 2007, 2008), a three grade syllable quantity (and length) system involved in inflectional exponence (Ehala, 2003; Viht and Habicht, 2019), and pervasive 'overabundance' (parallel forms in cells; Thornton, 2011; Aigro and Vihman, in press) . Such a dataset is needed in studies aiming to quantitatively describe and explain these phenomena.

Moreover, inflectional systems are known for being the site of complex analogical change, a


Figure 1: Relational schema of the dataset. Foreign key relations are indicated with a plain line, and vocabulary relations by a dashed line. Primary keys are indicated by a darker background and a key symbol. The list of columns of the sounds file is truncated.
phenomenon which confounds the results of the traditional comparative method of historical linguistics (Hock, 2021, chapter 6). Thus, large inflected datasets provide opportunities to investigate the analogical structure and evolution of inflectional systems. Finally, this lexicon can constitute a useful resource for any NLP applications which contend with Estonian morphology.

## In summary, our contributions are:

- A large lexicon of Estonian inflectional morphology, covering both Nouns and Verbs, and following the Paralex standard.
- Rich linguistic annotation meant to maximize reusability and interoperability;
- Generated phonological forms, provided along with orthographic ones;
- A set of linguistically motivated rules for the phonemic transcription of Estonian.


## 2. Related work and applications

This work adds to the set of large lexicons of inflected forms available for both computational and qualitative linguistic analysis (see Introduction). To the best of our knowledge, no such lexicons previously existed for Estonian nouns and verbs, even though Estonian benefits from a very rich data ecosystem. The Ekilex dictionary system (Kallas et al., 2022) combines and integrates numerous lexical databases and dictionaries (Langemets, 2020; Eesti Keele Instituut, 2019, 2014, 2023, among others), serving them through a dedicated API and online interface. Its morphological component (Eesti Keele Instituut, 2023), accessed through the REST API, served as the basis for this work (see § 3). For frequencies, we relied on the Estonian National Corpus 2021 (Kallas and Koppel, 2022), the largest available text collection in Estonian. We used the Vabamorf tools (Filosoft, 2015) for morphological generation and analysis.

The only somewhat similar dataset for Estonian exists as part of the set of Unimorph lexicons (Kirov et al., 2016, 2018; McCarthy et al., 2020; Batsuren et al., 2022). Although these are widely used for NLP applications, they are not suitable for use in linguistic investigation without further workintensive processing and annotation (Malouf et al., 2019). One of the issues is that these datasets are often automatically extracted from Wiktionary, which has consequences on both data quality and homogeneity. In addition, they only provide triplets of cell, lexeme and orthographic form, leaving out crucial phonological information. Finally, they do not annotate non-canonical phenomena such as overabundance and defectiveness in reliable ways. The current work therefore constitutes a much richer resource with more detailed annotation (see Figure 1), in addition to being two orders of magnitude larger (10551 vs 886 lexemes in the Unimorph Estonian lexicon).

## 3. Building the lexicon

We built the lexicon in four steps: (1) we selected the most frequent 5000 nouns and verbs (§3.1); (2) we extracted the corresponding lexemes and their paradigms from the Ekilex API (§3.2); (3) we enriched their orthographic representations using the Vabamorf software (§3.3); and (4) we generated phonemic transcriptions for all forms (§3.4).

### 3.1. Frequency measurement

We counted nominal and verbal lemma frequency from the National Estonian Corpus 2021 (Kallas and Koppel, 2022) ${ }^{3}$. These are used in order to select 5000 lemmas in each category, as described in the next section. and selected the most frequent 5000 entries for each part of speech.

[^1]
### 3.2. Paradigm extraction

We queried the Ekilex API endpoints /api/word/search/ (to obtain word identifiers .[].wordId) and word/details/ in order to select the most frequent lemmas in each category. We excluded any words explicitly marked as foreign, prefixes, suffixes, and very short words (often letters). We obtain a list of 5000 lemmas for each category. Due to homonyms, this is more than 5000 distinct lexemes (distinguished by separate word IDs).

We then queried paradigm/details/ in order to obtain the inflected forms of each lexeme. We filtered out uninflected words. For each word ID, the paradigm details endpoint returns a list of distinct paradigms with unique paradigm IDs (.[].id). We created a lexeme for each combination of a word ID and a paradigm IDs (i.e. flexemes in the sense of Fradin and Kerleroux 2003; Thornton 2018; Pellegrini 2023). This is particularly relevant to words belonging to multiple inflection classes, where each distinct paradigm leads to a separate flexeme. Each paradigm presents a list of forms (.[].forms[]) which constitute the basis for our dataset (see § 4). Of particular interest are the orthographic form (.[].forms[].value) and the annotated orthographic form (.[].forms[].displayForm). The latter includes diacritic markers indicating phenomena which are not predictable from the sole orthographic form, such as the quantity system which distinguishes three syllable quantities (see § 3.4 for details). A grave accent (<`〉) is placed before the vowels of syllables in quantity 3 (exs 1, 4). An acute accent (<'>) marks unpredictable stressed syllables (ex 1). Both of these diacritics are in parentheses if their realization can be omitted. An opening square bracket marks the boundary between the stem and inflectional ending (ex 2). A plus sign indicates word-internal boundaries in compounds (ex 3), while a subscript plus sign does so specifically in loan words. A straight apostrophe marks the palatalization of the previous consonant (ex 4). (1) <'oman`ik> ['omani'ik:] 'owner'4
(2) <sõna[sse> [srnas:e] 'word' ILL.sG
(3) <h`oo+`aeg> [h'o::'ge:k] 'season'
(4) <k'ot't> [k'oti::] 'bag'

### 3.3. Vabamorf annotations

The annotated orthographic form is very useful for phonemic transcription as it provides informa-

[^2]tion missing from plain orthography. However, some of these marks are occasionally missing in Ekilex forms. In order to fill in missing information, we used the Vabamorf etsyn software. It takes part of speech, orthographic lemma, desired paradigm cell and plain orthographic form as input, outputting a list of annotated forms, marked in a notation which we converted to the Ekilex system described above. If one of these forms matched the plain orthographic form from Ekilex, we merged them. For most symbols, we simply added marking from both sources. For phonological quantity, we selected the form with the richest annotation. If the two sources disagreed on quantity 3 , we selected the Ekilex version. A few examples are given in Table 1.

### 3.4. Phonological transcription



Figure 2: IPA vowels used in the lexicon.
The most work-intensive step was the elaboration and refinement of rules for (annotated) orthography to phonemic transcription.

Estonian phonology is characterized by a system involving three syllable "quantity" levels: short (quantity 1 ), long (quantity 2 ) and extra-long (quantity 3) (hereafter Q1, Q2 and Q3 Asu et al., 2016). The three levels can be expressed on most consonants (listed in Table 2) and all vowels (Figure 2). The system comprises a large number of diphthongs (Table 3), which by default count as long, and can all be Q3. In most cases, we write long characters with one length marker (eg. [a:], [p:]) and extra-long with two (eg. [a::], [p::]). However, diphthongs and cluster initial consonants in Q3 are marked only with a single length marker (eg. [qu:]) as they are by default in quantity 2 . The exact nature of the quantity system, and in particular, the details of its realization (involving pitch patterns and length ratios) and relevant prosodic units (feet, syllables or mora, see Prillop, 2013, 2020) are still debated (Asu et al., 2016, p 131). The quantity system combines with a stress system: most native words are stressed on the first syllable (with Q3 syllables always receiving primary stress), but borrowed words may retain their original stress patterns while inflectional morphology may alter primary stress. The distribution of secondary stress

| lemma | gloss | cell | Vabamorf | Ekilex | merged |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| klattima | ＇resolve＇ | ind．prs．impers | klat＇itakse | klati［takse | klat＇i［takse |
| implanteerima | ＇implant＇ | ind．prs．2pl | implant＇eerite | implanteeri［te | implant＇eeri［te |
| ekshumeerima | ＇exhume＇ | ind．pst．ipfv．1pl | ｀ekshum｀eerisime | eks＋hum｀eeri［sime | ｀ekshum｀eeris［ime |

Table 1：Examples of discrepancies and merged orthographic forms between Ekilex and Vabamorf．

|  | bilabial | labio－dental | alveolar | post－alv． | palatalized | palatal | lab．－velar | velar | glottal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stop | p p：p： |  | t t： t ： |  | $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}}$ ： $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}}$ ： |  |  | k k：k： |  |
| nasal | m m：m：： |  | n n：n：： |  | $n^{j} n^{j}: n^{j}:$ ： |  |  | ワ 引： |  |
| trill |  |  | r r ： |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| fricative |  | ff：f：：$v$ v：v： | S S：S：： z | $\iint: \int: Z$ | $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{j}}$ ： $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{j}}: \mathbf{:}$ |  |  |  | h h： |
| approximant |  |  |  |  |  | j | w |  |  |
| lateral |  |  | $1 \mathrm{I}: \mathrm{l}:$ ： |  | $\mathrm{j} \mathrm{j}:$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 2：IPA consonants used in the lexicon．

|  | e | i | u | 0 | a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i | ie |  | iu | io | ia |
| y |  | yi |  |  | yo |
| u | ue | $\underline{\text { ui }}$ |  | uo | ua |
| e |  | ei | eu | eo | ea |
| $\varnothing$ | øе | $ø \mathrm{i}$ |  |  | $ø \square$ |
| 8 | re | $\underline{\text { ri }}$ | 8u | 80 |  |
| 0 | oe | oi | ou |  | od |
| æ | æе | æi | æu | æ๐ |  |
| a | ae | ai | au | ao |  |

Table 3：IPA diphthongs used in the lexicon．
is more varied and complex．For practical reasons， our transcription notes primary stress only（using the IPA symbol［＇］）．Finally，Estonian presents both lexical and phonologically conditioned palatal－ ization of some consonants：we took great care to identify and annotate these where relevant．

We rely on the Epitran（Mortensen et al．，2018） software to perform the transcription from orthog－ raphy to IPA．We devised our own set of transcrip－ tion rules．Epitran proceeds in three steps，which are applied independently on input forms：（1）a set of rules（§3．4．1）；（2）a set of non－contextual map－ pings from orthography to IPA（§ 3．4．2）and（3）a second set of rules（§ 3．4．3）．Epitran rules are ordered and written in custom syntax resembling traditional phonological rules，employing both vari－ ables and regular expressions．We grouped them by numbered blocks for readability purposes．In addition，we implemented some pre－and post－ processing to handle steps which require informa－ tion beyond single forms（§ 3．4．4）．

## 3．4．1．Initial rules

The first four rules define variables for vowels， consonants，diacritic markers and voiced sounds． We then remove parentheses，and transcribe＜š＞，
＜ž＞${ }^{5}$ and intervocalic＜sh＞as［J］，postvocalic＜ck＞ as［kk］，and＜ n$\rangle$ before $\langle\mathrm{k}\rangle$ or $\langle\mathrm{g}\rangle$ as［ n$]$ ．

The next set of rules address Q3．It is often not predictible from the orthography，which only marks it on stop consonants．For example，it is not marked in the written forms in ex 5 ．Thankfully，the annotation Ekilex provides for orthographic forms unequivocally indicates which part of a word is lengthened under Q3，if any．However，empiri－ cally this information is less straightforward than it seems，as it it notably＂difficult to define which seg－ ments in a Q3 syllable have increased duration＂ （Prillop，2020，p．155）．
（5）aasa
＇loop’
a．Q2：GEN．sG＜aasa＞［a：sa］
b．Q3：PART．sG＜aasa＞［＇a：：sa］
Rule blocks 9 to 11 focus on making the notation less ambiguous．Rule block 9 adds Q3 to mono－ syllabic words（Asu and Teras，2009）．For exam－ ple＜aeg＞＇time＇is rewritten as＜＇aeg＞．Rule block 10 rewrites double orthographic consonants＜pp＞， ＜tt＞and＜kk＞as Q3（ex 6）and rule block 11 does so for＜ff＞and［J］（ex 7，see Pajusalu，2009）．
（6）
a．õppur $\rightarrow$ õp：：ur
b．k｀ot＇t $\rightarrow$ k＇ot＇：：
＇learner＇
c．pikkus $\rightarrow$ pik：：us
＇bag＇ ＇length＇
（7）

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { a. tuf } \int \operatorname{tu}:: & \text { 'shower' } \\
\text { b. proff } \rightarrow \text { prof:: } & \text { 'pro' }
\end{array}
$$

Rule block 12 uses certain geminates to mark Q2．This is done for the characters＜p＞，〈t＞，〈k＞， $\left\langle\int\right\rangle$ ，and＜ f$\rangle$ in voiced environements，as well as

[^3]the double consonants 〈ss＞，＜mm＞，＜nn＞，＜rr＞， ＜vv＞and＜ll＞（exs 8，see Asu and Teras，2009）．
（8）a．lepe $\rightarrow$ lep：e
b．mõte $\rightarrow$ mõt：e
c．info $\rightarrow$ inf：o
d．｀aadr｀es＇s $\rightarrow$｀aadr｀es＇：
e．tunnus $\rightarrow$ tun：us
＇agreement＇ ＇thought＇
＇info＇
＇address＇ ＇attribute＇

Rule 13 addresses palatalization，converting the straight apostrophy $\langle$＇＞in Ekilex annotation to［j］． Rule block 14 palatalizes 〈s＞，＜n＞，〈l＞，and＜t＞ before＜i＞or＜j＞（ex 9）．In clusters，only the first consonant palatalizes．There are a few exception to this，see § 3．4．4．

Rule block 15 changes the notation of long vow－ els from doubling＜aa＞to the length symbol＜a：＞ （ex 10）．Rule 16 （ex 10）diphthongizes syllable fi－ nal long＜ü＞to［üi］when the next syllable starts with 〈a〉，＜e＞or 〈u＞（Asu and Teras，2009）．

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { (9) } & \text { masin } \rightarrow \text { masiin } \\
\text { (10) } m^{`} \text { üüa } \rightarrow m^{`} \text { ü:a } \rightarrow \mathrm{m}^{`} \text { üia } & \text { 'machine' } \\
\text { 'sell' }
\end{array}
$$

Finally，rule blocks 17 and 18 handle glides． Rule 17 adds a＜j＞after a long＜i＞and before an－ other vowel（ex 11，see Asu and Teras，2009））． Rule 18 does the same for＜u＞，adding＜w＞be－ fore another vowel（ex 12）．

I｀aius $\rightarrow$ I｀aijus
＇width＇

$$
\text { I`u:a } \rightarrow \text { I`u:wa 'create’ }
$$

## 3．4．2．Mapping

This step transcribes the vowels 〈a〉，〈ä〉，〈õ〉，〈ö〉，〈ü＞as respectively［a］，［æ］，［と］，［ø］，［y］；the stress mark＜＇＞as the standard IPA［＇］；the letter $\langle c\rangle$ as［k］and the consonants 〈b〉，〈d〉，〈g＞as the unvoiced［p］，［t］，［k］．Hereafter，the notation is phonemic，although a number of phenomena re－ main to be explicitly marked，and diacritic markers persist for segmentation and quantity in the input to the post－mapping rules．

## 3．4．3．Post－mapping rules

The first four post－mapping rules define variables for vowels，consonants，diacritic markers，and diphthongs．Rule 5 rewrites［jj］to［ij］．Rules 6 to 14 add ligatures to diphthongs，ensuring that the two vowels do not follow a vowel and do not overlap a sequence already marked as a diphthong．The set of these diphthongs is given in Table 3.

Rule blocks 15 to 22 determine which segments are lengthened in Q3 forms．They target conso－ nants，vowels and diphthongs（in that order），being organized from the most specific to most general． Rule block 15 lengthens［s］when they occur be－ tween［l］，［m］，［ n ］，or［r］and be rs］and a voiceless
consonant（ex 13）．Rule block 16 lengthens［p］，［k］， $[\mathrm{t}],[]]$ and［f］after a vowel and［l］，［m］，［n］，［r］and ［ y ］as in ex 14．Rule 17 lengthens the voiced con－ sonants［l］，［m］，［n］，［r］and［n］，before［p］，［t］，［k］，［j］ and［s］as in ex 15 （Saagpakk，1982）．Rule block 18 lengthens voiceless consonants at the start of a cluster（ex 16）．Rule block 19 lengthens the conso－ nants［k］，［p］，［t］，［f］，［］and［s］after a long vowel or a diphthong（ex 17）．Finally，rule block 20 lengthens the first of any two consonant cluster in intervocalic position，as in ex 18 （Prillop，2013）．Rule block 21 lengthens diphthongs in a Q3 syllable if any，other－ wise long vowels（ex 19）．Rule 22 targets the first consonant after the vowel，when no other sound was lengthened（ex 20）．
n｀orskan $\rightarrow$ n＇ors：kan
＇to snore＇
I｀amp：$\rightarrow$ I＇amp：：
｀alpum $\rightarrow$＇al：pum
a．I＇ipp $\rightarrow$ I＇ip：：
b．j＇uht $\rightarrow$ j＇uh：t
jo：k：$\rightarrow$ j＇o：k：：
k｀orv $\rightarrow$ k＇or：v
a．I｀qul $\rightarrow$ I＇qu：
b．h｀a：v $\rightarrow$ h｀a：iv
a．p｀an：$\rightarrow$ p＇an：：
b．s｀ur：a $\rightarrow$ s＇ur：：a
c．kol｀umn $\rightarrow$ kol＇um：n
＇lamp＇
＇album＇

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 'banner' }  \tag{16}\\
& \text { 'leader' } \\
& \text { 'drink' }  \tag{17}\\
& \text { 'basket' }  \tag{18}\\
& \text { 'song' }  \tag{19}\\
& \text { 'wound' } \\
& \text { 'pan' }  \tag{20}\\
& \text { 'die' } \\
& \text { 'column' }
\end{align*}
$$

The last rule blocks handle typographic correc－ tions．Rule block 23 ensures that palatalization is written before length．Rule 24 ensures there is at most one stress mark per syllable．Rule 25 changes all segmentation symbols to＂+ ＂．

## 3．4．4．Adjustments

Epitran rules operate solely on the lightly anno－ tated orthographic forms from Ekilex，with no ex－ ternal information．However，this is not fully suf－ ficient for determining actual phonological forms． Thus，we refined the final transcription in the ways described below．

We identified a number of exceptions to regular palatalization（pre－mapping rule 14）．First，palatal－ ization is blocked in a number of loans（m＇uster， m‘ustri，＇pattern＇）．We annotated potential cases （ $\mathrm{n}=144$ ）of these exceptions by hand．Second， we automatically extracted lexemes where stems were combined with derivational suffixes contain－ ing 〈i＞（＜－mine＞，＜－vik＞，＜－nik＞，＜－mik＞）which never trigger palatalization（k＇uulmine，＇listening＇）． To block rule pre－mapping 14 from matching，we temporarily insert a dummy character（§）．

In some lexemes，the stem－final consonant palatalizes throughout the paradigm，even though
only some surface forms present the conditioning context in $\langle-\mathrm{i}\rangle$ ( m'ün't, mün'di 'coin'). We identify and palatalize them automatically.
We also make six ad-hoc manual changes to lexemes where palatalization is blocked in only some places (such as filmifestival, where the <l> does not palatalize) or where the Ekilex data presented typographic mistakes.

### 3.5. Evaluation

A development set of 102 forms with both annotated orthography and expected phonemic transcription was extracted from Asu and Teras (2009); Prillop (2013); Pajusalu (2009) to help refine and elaborate these rules. These examples cover basic minimal pairs, palatalization and its exceptions, quantity alternations, and regular phonological processes. They helped outline instances where rules produced an output different from the phonemic transcriptions collected from literature, enabling us to refine the rules to accommodate exceptions and various phonological nuances.

We further performed manual evaluation of targeted samples, checking a total of 1840 transcribed forms. These checks were particularly centered on the difficult handling of loan words. They included:

- 1176 forms annotated as "loan words" in Ekilex, and where the rules generated a palatalized /s/, /n/, /l/, /t/, or /d/
- 26 words which end in <-der> or <-ter>, and which were not marked as loans
- 7 lexemes with a nominative ending in <n 〉 and the stem vowel <i>, which were not marked as loans
- 613 forms of words which were not marked as loans, had a semantic code which let us suspect it might be a proper noun, had palatalization within the stem, and wasn't already included in the lists above
- 18 lexemes where Quantity 3 was marked as optional

When identifying any mistakes, we retained the examples and added them to our development set to prevent regressions. This added 42 development entries, bringing the total size of the development set to 144 word pairs.

## 4. Structure

The dataset is structured as a set of CSV files, accompanied by rich metadata and linked both by foreign key relations (in the fashion of relational
databases) and vocabulary relations (where entries from one table are made up of concatenations of indexes from another table). It follows the Paralex conventions (Beniamine et al., 2023). Figure 1 presents the tables and their relations.

### 4.1. Forms

The most important table is the one which documents all inflected forms of the selected verbal and nominal lexemes. Inflected forms are the intersection of a specific paradigm cell and a lexeme.

A given cell and lexeme combination might lead to multiple alternate forms (overabundance). This leads to a separate row for each overabundant form. The columns for this table are:

- form_id: The primary key of this table, an identifier for the row. This is also a direct reference to the Ekilex form identifier, given at the paradigm details endpoint in .forms [].id.
- lexeme: A lexeme identifier. This is a reference to the identifier column of the lexemes table.
- cell: A cell identifier. This is a reference to the identifier column of the cells table.
- phon_form: The form, automatically transcribed to phonemic notation, with phonemes separated by spaces. Each phoneme is documented as a separate row of the sounds table.
- analysed_phon_form: The phonemic form, with additional segmentation marking (in the form of the ' + ' sign).
- orth_form: The orthographic form, as given in .forms[]. value.
- analysed_orth_form: The annotated orthographic form, with both segmentation, stress, palatalization, and quantity markers. This is the combination of information from Ekilex and Vabamorf, as described in § 3.3.
- overabundance_tag: Marks types of overabundance. Currently only used for nouns. We distinguish series of forms using specific morphological strategies: partitives in <sid>, <id> or involving a vocalic alternation; plurals in 〈i>, <d/te> or involving a vocalic alternation; genitives in either <e> or <d/te>; illatives in <sse> as opposed to the variant often called short illative or aditive. We also label plural forms of long vowel monosyllables (such as maa, 'earth') and related compounds (isamaa, 'homeland'), where Q3 is optional (Viht and Habicht, 2019, 161).
- defectiveness_tag: Marks rows for defective forms. In these rows, the orthographic and phonemic sequences are replaced with the code "\#DEF\#", following a convention established by Bonami et al. (2014) and continued in Pellegrini and Passarotti (2018).
(a) The forms table

| form_id | lexeme | cell | phon_form | analysed_phon_form | orth_form | analysed_orth_form | overabundance_tag | defectiveness_tag | epistemic_tag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11948044 | baar_159498_1277891 | abl.pl | p'a::ritelt: | p'a: $\mathrm{ri}+\mathrm{telt}$ | baaridelt | b`aarildelt & de_te_plural & & \\ \hline 12557674 & režissöör_227240_1294244 & iness.pl & r'ef: is: ø: res & \(\mathrm{r}^{\prime} \mathrm{ef}\) : is: \(\varnothing\) : re l s & režissööres & r'ežissööre[s & voc_rad_plural & & \\ \hline 13489581 & mõjuvõim_205225_1319180 & elat.pl & \#DEF\# & \#DEF\# & \#DEF\# & \#DEF\# & & defective & \\ \hline 14671136 & olevik_210928_1350835 & ad.pl & 'olev'ik:: utel & 'olev'ik:: \(u+\) tel & olevikkudel & olev` ikku[del | de_te_plural |  |  |
| 14794532 | abiraha_154768_1354149 | part.pl | \#DEF\# | \#DEF\# | \#DEF\# | \#DEF\# |  | defective |  |
| 14833016 | memoriaal_201731_1355188 | trans.pl | memori'a:leks | memoriatle+ks | memoriaaleks | memori'aale[ks | voc_rad_plural |  |  |
| 15532377 | omaksed_211102_1373989 | ill.sg | omakses: | omakse+s: | omaksesse | omakse[sse | sse_illative |  | questionable |
| 16057494 | suruma_237732_1384516 | ind.prs.2sg | surut | suru+t | surud | suru[d |  |  |  |
| 16215704 | riietuma_227516_1387666 | cond.pst.impers | ri:jet:utuks | ri:jet:u+tuks | riietutuks | riietu[tuks |  |  |  |
| 16247323 | tõkestama_249008_1388323 | ind.prs.impers.neg | tyk: estat:a | trk: esta+t: a | tõkestata | tõkesta[ta |  |  |  |
| 16862551 | arutamine_264439_1412666 | iness.pl | arut:amisis | arut:amisios | arutamisis | arutamisi[s | voc_rad_plural |  |  |
| 16864723 | hankimine_265204_1412723 | ad.pl | h'ayk:: imisil | h'ank: imis'i+l | hankimisil | h`ankimisi[1 | voc_rad_plural |  |  |
| 17804539 | koroonavaktsiin_1260437_1444804 | abl.pl | koro:navakts'i:nelt: | koro:na+vakts'i:ne+lt: | koroonavaktsiinelt | koroona+vakts'iine[lt | voc_rad_plural |  |  |
| 20044497 | kriitika_186466_1507768 | trans.pl | kri:tilikgiks | kri: thika+iks | kriitikaiks | kriitika[iks | voc_i_plural |  | questionable |

[^4]Table 4: Excerpts from the forms, lexemes and cells tables

- epistemic_tag: Serves to mark forms which were noted as "questionable" or were marked with a star in the source.

All tag columns contain references to indexes of the tags table. In Paralex, this is considered a vocabulary relation, as it is possible to concatenate several tags on the same row, although it is not the case in this particular dataset.

### 4.2. Lexemes

As noted above (§ 3.2), we generated a separate lexeme for each distinct paradigm, so that words which can inflect through either of several inflection classes lead to separate lexemes. The lexemes table presents one row for each of these lexemes. Rows are uniquely identified by their primary key (lexeme_id), which is the concatenation of the citation form (lemma), the word identifier (WordId) and the paradigm identifier from Ekilex (paradigmId), separated by underscores. The identifier is not meant to be parsed, and each piece of information is provided through its own separate column. The table also presents a column indicating the part of speech ("noun" or "verb", POS), using the LexInfo (McCrae et al., 2020) vocabulary, and the inflection class identifier (inflection_class), extracted from the paradigm details at . [ ] .inflectionType. Since homonymy leads to multiple Word ID for the same lemma, Ekilex provides a homonym numbering (. homonymNr in the word search response) which we report in the homonymNr column.

### 4.3. Cells and Feature-values

The cells table presents one row for each nominal or verbal paradigm cell. Its primary key and identifier is given in cell_id. It is made of a concatenation of feature-values, each of which is documented in the feature-values table, and separated by dots, following the Leipzig glossing rules. Mappings to the Ekilex and Vabamorf conventions are provided in the columns ekilex_cell and vabamorf_cell respectively. The POS column reports the part of speech each cell is relevant for, and a comment column is also provided.
Estonian finite verbs inflect for tense (present and past) and mood (indicative, conditional, imperative and quotative). The indicative present comprises negative polarity forms. All but the imperative and negative forms inflect for subject personnumber. There are also a number of non-finite forms with complete or partial case paradigms (participle, supine, gerund). All finite and non-finite paradigms except for the supine and gerund also have impersonal forms. Estonian nouns inflect
for all combinations of two numbers and fourteen cases.

The feature-values table presents a single row for each value used in composition in the cells. Its identifier column is the value_id. Since this is an abbreviation (as per the Leipzig Glossing rules), the value_label column provides the full value name; while the feature column provides the name of the relevant feature. The table also presents POS and comment columns, as well as the value labels in the two other schemes: ekilex_value_label and vabamorf_value_label.

### 4.4. Sounds

The sounds table lists all phonemes used in phonological forms (sound_id). The other columns represent distinctive features, which are useful in order to define the properties of phonemes, as well as a similarity space between them. This file is a requirement for some linguistically motivated computational tools such as Qumin (Bonami and Beniamine, 2016; Beniamine, 2018), which calculate morphological analogies, taking into account phonological constraints and similarity. To elaborate this file, we started from a general distinctive features spreadsheet (Hayes, 2012), and adapted it to Estonian phonology. In particular, we coded more features as unvalued for segments they did not pertain to, added a palatalization feature for consonants, added a mechanism to code diphthongs, ${ }^{6}$ added an extra-length level, and made separate high, low, front, and back features specific to vowels or consonants.

### 4.5. Tags

The tags table serves simply to list the codes (tag_id) used in tag columns (tag_column_name), and comment them (comment) in a human understandable way to clarify their meaning.

### 4.6. Metadata

The dataset is accompanied by a data sheet which was adapted for Paralex lexicons, and a JSON metadata file following the frictionless specification (Fowler et al., 2018). The medata is generated with the help of the dedicated Paralex package. It enables thorough verification of the data, including conformity of the CSV files and of the relational schema, enforcing vocabulary restrictions, etc.

[^5]
## 5. Comparison to Unimorph

| Category |  | count | percentage |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| concordant | identical | 12732 | $84.88 \%$ |
|  | E. overabundant | 1792 | $11.94 \%$ |
|  | total | $\mathbf{1 4 5 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 8 3 \%}$ |
|  | E. defective | 28 | $0.18 \%$ |
|  | E. error | 1 | $0.006 \%$ |
|  | U. error | 436 | $2.9 \%$ |
|  | variants | 10 | $0.06 \%$ |
|  | total | $\mathbf{4 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 6} \%$ |

Table 5: Concordance of orthographic forms between Unimorph (U.) \& Eesthetic (E.)

The unimorph dataset for Estonian ${ }^{7}$, extracted from wiktionary, inflects 211 verbal lexemes ( 5076 in Eesthetic) and 675 nominal lexemes ( 5475 in Eesthetic). The resources have respectively 211 and 287 lexemes in common.
The two lexicons describe slightly different paradigm structures. In nouns, the Unimorph dataset includes an accusative case. Because it is entirely syncretic with the geneitive, we do not consider it a separate case (Viks, 1992; Blevins, 2008). In verbs, Unimorph includes 49 compound cells which use an auxiliary, such as the pluperfect (ex 21), whereas we only include synthetic forms. Moreover, the Unimorph dataset distinguishes two syncretic cells for singular and plural in the imperative present third person, whereas we count a single cell. Conversely, a few cells present in Eesthetic are absent from the Unimorph dataset: the infinitive, genitive and the nominal inflection of the supine; the synthetic forms of the past conditional (for which Unimorph lists only the compound forms); and the past quotative.
(21) oli lugenud
aux read.pTCP.PST.PERS
He had read (Ind.pluprf.3sG)
For this common subset, we compared the orthographic forms across resources automatically, then did manual error analysis. Results are given in Table 5. Of 14999 evaluated cell/lexeme combinations, $96.83 \%$ are congruent, although in $11.94 \%$ of these, the Eesthetic lexicon provides extra overabundant forms. In $3.16 \%$ of cases, the resources disagree, with most cases $(2.9 \%)$ being errors in Unimorph (including both incorrect forms and wiktionary parsing errors). In one case, Eesthetic had an incorrect form ( $0.006 \%$ ). In a minority of cases, either Unimorph inflects a form which we annotate as defective ( $0.18 \%$ ), or both resources present a variant acceptable form ( $0.06 \%$ ).
We conclude that Eesthetic is vastly more reliable than the wiktionary-extracted Unimorph data

[^6]for Estonian, in addition to being much larger and more richly annotated.

## 6. Conclusion and future work

We described the design and construction of a large inflectional lexicon for Estonian nouns and Verbs, providing rich annotation of complex morphological phenomena, and listing forms in both orthographic and phonemic notation. It is released under the CC BY-SA 4.0 license and can be accessed on Zenodo (10.5281/zenodo.8383522).

The dataset follows the Paralex standard (Beniamine et al., 2023), and is accompanied by Frictionless metadata (Fowler et al., 2018). Compliance with these standards makes it adhere not only to the FAIR principles (Wilkinson et al., 2016), but also to the DeAR principles (Beniamine et al., 2023): it contributes to the Decentralized standardization of resources in computational morphology; data quality is ensured by extensive Automated validation, performed as continuous pipelines; finally it is presented with a user-friendly web interface as a static Paralex website, which is generated by a continuous pipeline, and thus Revised as soon as the data is updated. This is in stark contrast with current practices in linguistics, where database websites are often created by contractors at the term of the project, locking in a specific version of the data, with little possibilities of updating it without further external contracting. The move towards revisable pipelines is crucial for the long term management of linguistic data.
Given the size of the lexicon, manual transcriptions were out of the question. Our automated transcriptions were devised in a transparent and linguistically motivated way, guided by a carefully crafted development set, and went through several rounds of manual verification.
Although we took great care to identify edge cases, we expect inaccuracies to occasionally occur with borrowings which are not explicitly identified in Ekilex data, as well as with occasional exceptions to systematic palatalization.

We currently do not report the lexeme frequencies calculated in corpus (§ 3.1). Indeed, homonymy makes it difficult to assign frequencies to a specific lexeme (one lemma found in corpus might correspond to more than one lexeme in the dataset). Although it is complex to find reasonable practical solutions to this, future versions of the dataset could benefit from indicating frequencies for lexemes, cells or inflected forms.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Archived on Zenodo under the DOI https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo. 8383522
    ${ }^{2}$ ISO 639-3 code ekk ; Glottocode esto1258;

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ The Web sections were excluded as they are generally noisier, leading to poorer lemmatisation.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ When not specified, nominal examples are given in the nominative singular, and verbal examples in the infinitive.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Although officially［3］is not considered part of the Estonian phonemic inventory（Asu et al．，2016，p 65）， the orthographic＜ž＞may occasionally be pronounced as a voiced［3］rather than a voiceless［］］．However，this is a peripheral phenomenon and was therefore left out of the rules．

[^4]:    (b) The lexemes tables

    | lexeme_id | lemma | WordId | paradigmld | POS | inflection_class | homonymNr |
    | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
    | diskonteerima_161559_1412380 | diskonteerima | 161559 | 1412380 | verb | 28 | 1 |
    | ebakindlus_162090_1342240 | ebakindlus | 162090 | 1342240 | noun | 11 | 1 |
    | jõulud_174997_1374721 | jõulud | 174997 | 1374721 | noun | 22 e | 1 |
    | kast_178705_1440436 | kast | 178705 | 1440436 | noun | 22 e | 2 |
    | meeleheide_201276_1430716 | meeleheide | 201276 | 1430716 | noun | 06 | 1 |
    | sünkroniseerima_240031_1483960 | sünkroniseerima | 240031 | 1483960 | verb | 28 | 1 |
    | tagasiside_241170_1313171 | tagasiside | 241170 | 1313171 | noun | 16 | 1 |

[^5]:    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{We}$ introduce an explicit feature coding whether the sound is a diphthong, with the first phoneme coded the same way as monophthong vowels and its second phoneme with a separate set of features reserved for diphthongs

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ https://github.com/unimorph/est

