

# Explicit Learning and the LLM in Machine Translation

Malik Marmonier   Rachel Bawden   Benoît Sagot

Inria, Paris, France

{firstname.lastname}@inria.fr

## Abstract

This study explores an LLM’s ability to learn new languages using explanations found in a grammar book—a process we term “explicit learning.” To rigorously assess this ability, we design controlled translation experiments between English and constructed languages generated—through specific cryptographic means—from Latin or French. Contrary to previous studies, our results demonstrate that LLMs do possess a measurable capacity for explicit learning. This ability, however, diminishes as the complexity of the linguistic phenomena to be learned increases. Supervised fine-tuning on *ad hoc* chains of thought significantly enhances LLM performance but struggles to generalize to typologically novel or more complex linguistic features. These findings point to the need for more diverse training sets and alternative fine-tuning strategies to further improve explicit learning by LLMs, benefiting low-resource languages typically described in grammar books but lacking extensive corpora.

## 1 Introduction

The question as to whether large language models (LLMs) can learn to translate a “new” language using grammar books has recently been of interest to a growing number of researchers (Tanzer et al., 2024; Hus and Anastasopoulos, 2024; Aycock et al., 2025, *inter alia*), but has not yet received—to the best of our knowledge—a definitive answer. Two main challenges explain this delay:

**Opaque prior knowledge of LLMs.** Trained on web-scale datasets rendered opaque by their very size, LLMs are likely to have implicitly learned—though to a degree virtually unknown to the researcher—a wide array of languages, hindering credit assignment: when grammatical explanations are added to the prompt of an LLM tasked with performing a translation task, should correct translations be attributed to these explanations or

to the model’s prior, latent knowledge of the “new” language, whether direct or indirect?<sup>1</sup>

**Incidental bitexts in grammar books.** Grammar books invariably include translated examples that are likely to be processed as few-shot bitexts by LLMs. When prompted with the text of a grammar book, are such models able to learn aspects of a new language by relying on the metalinguistic explanations provided—an operation we choose to call “explicit learning,” borrowing a term from second language acquisition studies (Ellis et al., 2009; Rebuschat, 2015)? Or do they incidentally learn said aspects from a parallel corpus (formed by the translated examples)—the default approach to neural model training and therefore a trivial task?

Our first contribution addresses the former challenge. We devise cryptographic techniques, robust to the innate cryptanalytic abilities of current LLMs (Cao et al., 2023; Yuan et al., 2024), which also preserve the regularity of grammatical paradigms. We use these techniques to generate constructed languages (henceforth ‘conlangs’) through encryption of Latin or French segments of text, thus creating languages truly new to LLMs for subsequent experiments.

The second of our contributions tackles the challenge of incidental bitexts within grammar books. We manually craft authentic-style grammar books and dictionaries for our conlangs, preserving their typical translated examples for ecological validity. By carefully keeping track of these incidental bitexts, we design a rigorous, contrastive test set to distinguish learning from such parallel data versus from grammatical explanations. Furthermore, we develop extensive chain-of-thought (CoT) training and validation sets to investigate the possibility of enhancing explicit learning through fine-tuning.

<sup>1</sup>We note that even extremely low-resource languages absent from the Web are likely to share transparent surface features with high-resource counterparts, due to either contact with vehicular languages or shared phylogeny.




Figure 1: We evaluate an LLM’s ability to learn a “new” language using a grammar book—a process we term “explicit learning.” We obtain such new languages through special encipherment of French or Latin segments (enclosed in ‘@’ characters for selective encryption) in our English prompts. We study the impact of manually adapted grammar book excerpts on translation performance by varying the information content of our prompts: (W) dictionary entries offered by default; (G) grammar book excerpts, alternating with (IB) incidental bitexts, i.e., the parallel corpus formed by the translated examples occurring in the grammar book excerpts. We further study the impact of chain-of-thought (CoT) reasoning on LLM performance. Decipherment of LLM output facilitates qualitative analysis, and we rely on match accuracy for quantitative evaluation.

Our third and main contribution lies in our results. To the best of our knowledge, we provide the first clear evidence that LLMs possess a measurable capacity for explicit learning, though this ability diminishes as the complexity of the linguistic phenomena at hand increases. We show that supervised fine-tuning on chains of thought (CoT) significantly enhances this capacity, but that such a fine-tuning regimen fails to generalize to typologically novel or to more complex linguistic phenomena. These findings point to the need to incorporate a broader

range of languages into training datasets such as ours and explore alternative fine-tuning strategies to foster universal explicit learning in LLMs.

We publicly release our code and datasets under MIT and CC-BY-SA 4.0 licenses, respectively.<sup>2</sup>

## 2 Related Work

BIG-bench (Srivastava et al., 2023) comprises a little-known ciphered conlang translation task.<sup>3</sup> It consists of Rosetta Stone problems, either directly borrowed from puzzle sets of the International Linguistic Olympiads<sup>4</sup> and the North American Computational Linguistics Olympiad,<sup>5</sup> or inspired by them. Encipherment of these test sets was linguistically informed, rather than algorithmic, and was performed manually by the authors (personal correspondence). Bozhanov and Derzhanski (2013) describe the *modus operandi* for crafting such Rosetta Stone problems, and prior—cipherless—machine translation (MT) experiments involving such datasets were conducted by Şahin et al. (2020). Both the latter study and the BIG-bench task exclusively rely on implicit learning, evaluating or training models on bitexts illustrative of the linguistic phenomena of interest, but involving no metalinguistic explanations of them. The challenging character of these tasks, as compared with run-of-the-mill MT which objectively relies on the same training modality, results from the extreme data scarcity and lack of redundancy they enforce, while being theoretically self-contained and solvable. Wei et al. (2021) offer a compelling analysis of such frequency effects on the implicit assimilation of abstract grammar rules by a language model.

While not directly concerned with explicit learning, Coleman et al. (2024) and Guo et al. (2024) offer interesting illustrations of the related use of an LLM’s metalinguistic abilities in a cascaded MT pipeline, and Thrush et al. (2024) present a novel LLM benchmark based on the processing of a specific subset of metalinguistic discourses: those of the self-referential kind.

A growing number of studies could be construed as dealing with explicit learning in low-

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/mmarmonier/SWELLS>

<sup>3</sup>Conlang Translation Problems: [https://github.com/google/BIG-bench/tree/main/bigbench/benchmark\\_tasks/conlang\\_translation](https://github.com/google/BIG-bench/tree/main/bigbench/benchmark_tasks/conlang_translation). Accessed: 2024-10-10.

<sup>4</sup>International Linguistic Olympiads: <https://ioling.org/index.html>. Accessed: 2024-10-10.

<sup>5</sup>North American Computational Linguistics Olympiad: <https://naclo.org/>. Accessed: 2024-10-10.

resource MT. [Tanzer et al. \(2024\)](#) introduced the seminal MTOB (Machine Translation from One Book) LLM benchmark, which involves translating 100 English–Kalamang ([Visser, 2022](#)) bitexts using word list entries, grammar book excerpts, bitexts or combinations thereof in a RAG setting,<sup>6</sup> with [Hus and Anastasopoulos \(2024\)](#) extending this benchmark to 15 additional languages. Several studies have also touched on this subject tangentially: [Zhang et al. \(2024a\)](#) conducted a small side experiment on the explicit learning of constituent order rules in Zhuang–Chinese MT, finding that the task poses “significant challenges” to LLMs; [Zhang et al. \(2024b\)](#) used GPT-4 to summarize grammar books in order to meet context-window constraints, and tentatively suggested that these documents improve word order correctness in LLM-based MT; and [Sánchez et al. \(2024\)](#) reported results from a small-scale side experiment providing grammar books to an LLM tasked with solving Rosetta Stone problems, yielding mixed results.

Work by [Aycock et al. \(2025\)](#) is the most relevant to our own. These authors sought to “disentangl[e] the parallel/non-parallel signal [in the MTOB grammar book],” and performed numerous experiments to that effect, most notably “ablating” all occurrences of English–Kalamang bitexts in the grammar book, thus compiling a small parallel corpus with the extracted data, and crafting an example-free version of that document, although this could possibly confuse LLMs. The authors’ conclusion that “[grammatical] explanations [have] no significant advantage over parallel data” left open interesting questions regarding the extent to which LLMs can process metalinguistic explanations in translation and whether this ability can be enhanced or is effectively set in stone.

### 3 Materials and Techniques

This section describes the methods and resources we devised to assess explicit learning in LLMs—that is these models’ ability to learn aspects of a new language on the basis of grammatical explanations rather than through implicit exposure to the linguistic phenomena—addressing the challenge of their opaque prior knowledge by means of cryptographic conlangs, and that of the inciden-

<sup>6</sup>Retrieval-Augmented Generation; this aspect of the benchmark appears to have been abandoned in its most recent implementations, which provide the full text of the grammar book and dictionary to long-context LLMs ([Gemini Team, 2024](#)).

tal bitexts in grammar books through the manual crafting of an *ad hoc* test set.

Our core approach evaluates the LLM’s ability to translate segments of increasing complexity—though never longer than a single sentence (see Table 1)—between English and artificial languages, in both directions (eng $\leftrightarrow$ art<sup>7</sup>), using dictionary entries embedded into prompts and contrastively augmented with either grammar book excerpts or the incidental bitexts extracted from those excerpts. Section 3.1 presents these linguistic resources, including the grammar books, dictionaries, and structured datasets. Section 3.2 then explains the cryptographic cipher schemes used to encrypt all conlang segments in these datasets, ensuring their novelty to the LLM, as well as enabling renewal of these resources through re-encryption for data augmentation or test-leakage-control purposes.

#### 3.1 Resources

The following paragraphs describe the grammar books, dictionaries, and datasets we developed, all crafted as cleartext<sup>8</sup> templates where ‘@’ characters delimit text segments intended for selective encipherment, thus forming the basis for specific conlang resources (see also Figures 1 and 2).

**Grammar books.** We adapted content from English Wikipedia pages on French and Latin grammar (see Appendix B) to create three distinct grammar book templates: one each for French, Latin, and “reversed-French.” While the French/Latin templates received minimal changes, the reversed-French grammar required substantial rewriting (e.g., suffixes becoming prefixes, antecedents becoming head nouns, word order being reversed) due to the specific cipher ultimately used to encrypt this template (Section 3.2).

**Dictionaries.** We created reversible French–English (158 nouns, 76 adjectives, 6 verbs) and Latin–English (19 nouns, 9 adjectives, 10 verbs) dictionary templates. Lemmas were selected based on linguistic phenomena described in our grammar books that we deemed interesting and assigned to three categories: (A) Lemmas appearing in the grammar book within bitexts illustrative of a phenomenon of interest, allowing the LLM to rely on direct copying for translation; (B) Lemmas appearing only in metalinguistic explanations within the

<sup>7</sup>We use the ISO 639-2 code ‘art’ to refer to our conlangs.

<sup>8</sup>In cryptography, the term cleartext (or plaintext) refers to text in its normal, unencrypted, human-readable form, before it has been converted into a secret code (ciphertext).

grammar book, pointing to rules governing the targeted phenomenon without direct illustration of the expected form; and (C) The majority of lemmas absent from the grammar book entirely, requiring the LLM to infer the applicable rule for generating or analyzing the targeted phenomenon in translation. Detailed counts per category are in Appendix D.

**Test set.** Using entries from our dictionaries and excerpts from our grammar books embedded into prompts, we created a test set evaluating 14 linguistic phenomena of interest identified during dictionary construction (Table 1), with 100 different base instances per phenomenon (1,400 in total).<sup>9</sup> As previously outlined, each test instance was further made to vary according to prompt content ( $W$  for dictionary entries only,  $W+G$  for dictionary entries and relevant grammar book excerpts,  $W+IB$  for dictionary entries and incidental bitexts taken from the grammar book), translation direction ( $eng \rightarrow art$  and  $art \rightarrow eng$ ) and CoT use (Appendix E.8), resulting in 24 contrastive versions of the test set (33,600 instances in total).

**Training and validation sets.** We also crafted  $\sim 358,000$  CoT demonstration templates (Appendix E) for French-derived phenomena (Latin phenomena were excluded to test generalization), plus equivalent non-CoT instances. To prevent test set leakage, we produced hundreds of thousands of paraphrased grammar book excerpts which we used in training prompts in lieu of their test set equivalents (Appendix C).

Encipherment of the resulting datasets can significantly expand the diversity and quantity of these resources, as the key space of the cipher schemes we will now describe ranges into the hundreds of novemdecillions.

### 3.2 Cipher schemes

Following Couturat and Leau (1903), conlangs are generally classified into two types: *a priori* conlangs, which bear no relation to existing natural languages, such as John Wilkins’s Real Character (Wilkins, 1668); and *a posteriori* conlangs, such as Esperanto, closely modeled on existing languages from the point of view of their grammar and lexical inventory. In order to maximize the ecological validity of our experiments, we chose to generate

<sup>9</sup>Of these 1,400 base instances, 400 pertain to the 4 Latin-derived phenomena listed in Table 1 (100 each). The remaining 1,000 instances cover the 10 French-derived phenomena; for each of these, the 100 instances are evenly split between French and reversed-French (50 for each).

radically *a posteriori* conlangs through the masking of French or Latin segments by cryptographic means.

Identifying a suitable ciphering scheme proved nontrivial. Modern advanced ciphers possess the undesirable—for our purpose—property of diffusion (Shannon, 1945), destructive of the morphological paradigms and semantic regularities in ciphered texts, leaving only older, simpler ciphers as viable options. LLMs, however, exhibit a remarkable ability to see through these simpler schemes. Simple substitution ciphers, such as the Caesar cipher, which replace each cleartext character with a single stand-in symbol, have been shown to be transparent to these advanced models (Yuan et al., 2024), and experiments by Cao et al. (2023) incidentally indicate that the same likely applies to transposition ciphers, which preserve cleartext symbols but shuffle their positions.

We devised two cryptographic schemes that meet our task’s constraints, preserving grammatical and lexical paradigms, while being opaque to the LLM tested.

**First scheme.** Our first scheme fundamentally relies on a substitution of the Polybius-square type, which in our implementation uses 2D-grid coordinates to select vowel-consonant bigrams in a cipher alphabet as substitutes for cleartext characters. Since Polybius-square encipherment doubles the length of the original text strings, dramatically increasing token counts, we chose to apply it only partially, i.e., on a subset of the cleartext alphabet (in proportions varying between 20% and 75% for each of the thousands of conlangs we generated), with the remaining characters enciphered through simple substitutions of the Caesar-cipher type. The resulting composite cipher can be formalized in the following way: let the character list of the natural language chosen to be the matrix of the *a posteriori* conlang be defined as:

$$\Sigma = \{a, b, c, \dots, z\}.$$

Define two sets  $C$  and  $V$  of consonantal and vocalic characters, respectively, to be used in the enciphered conlangs:

$$C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}, \quad V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\},$$

with subsets  $C_k$  and  $V_l$  randomly sampled as:

$$C_k \sim \binom{C}{k}, \quad V_l \sim \binom{V}{l}.$$

ID	Linguistic Phenomena of Interest and Combinations Thereof	Bitext Examples
1.	Plural of French common nouns	@les coraux@ ↔ the corals
2m.	Plural and position of French masculine common nouns and adjectives	@les nouveaux jeux@ ↔ the new games
2f.	Plural and position of French feminine common nouns and adjectives	@les jolies maisons@ ↔ the pretty houses
3.	French verbal morphology	@nous choisirions@ ↔ we would choose
4.	French verbal morphology; plural and position of French common nouns	@elle admirait les coraux@ ↔ she was admiring the corals
5m.	French verbal morphology; plural and position of French masculine common nouns and adjectives	@j'ai choisi les voyous généreux@ ↔ I chose the generous thugs
5f.	French verbal morphology; plural and position of French feminine common nouns and adjectives	@il enfouira les fourmis rouges@ ↔ he will bury the red ants
6.	Plural of French common nouns and complex past participle agreement rule	@les croix que nous avons choisies@ ↔ the crosses that we chose
7m.	Plural of French masculine common nouns and adjectives, and complex past participle agreement rule	@les pneus gris que nous avons choisis@ ↔ the gray tires that we chose
7f.	Plural of French feminine common nouns and adjectives, and complex past participle agreement rule	@les croix cassées que nous avons enfouies@ ↔ the broken crosses that we buried
8a.	Latin verbal morphology, nominative and accusative singular and plural of the Latin noun	@equus piratam timet@ ↔ the horse fears the pirate
8g.	Latin verbal morphology, nominative and genitive singular and plural of the Latin noun	@nauta miserebitur piratarum@ ↔ the sailor will pity the pirates
9a.	Latin verbal morphology, nominative and accusative singular and plural of the Latin noun and adjective	@mali piratae sacrum effigiem timebunt@ ↔ the bad pirates will fear the sacred statue
9g.	Latin verbal morphology, nominative and genitive singular and plural of the Latin noun and adjective	@novi agricolae obliviouscentur parvi bovis@ ↔ the new farmers will forget the small ox

Table 1: Linguistic phenomena targeted in our datasets, numbered in increasing order of complexity according to our own *a priori* judgment, with example bitexts.

The set of code points  $G$  is then defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} G = & \{(i, j) \mid i \in C_k, j \in V_l\} \\ & \cup \{i \mid i \in C \setminus C_k\} \\ & \cup \{j \mid j \in V \setminus V_l\}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $C \setminus C_k$  representing the set of consonants not selected to form digrams at the previous step, and  $V \setminus V_l$  the equivalent set of vocalic characters. Finally, let substitutions be randomly assigned by the application  $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow G$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a) &= (c_{10}, v_1), \\ \phi(b) &= (c_{16}), \\ &\vdots \\ \phi(z) &= (c_5, v_1), \end{aligned}$$

with monograms and digrams in set  $G$  selected as code points with differing probabilities, themselves randomly defined:

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} \text{digram,} & \text{with probability } p, \\ \text{monogram,} & \text{with probability } 1 - p, \end{cases}$$

where  $p \sim \text{Uniform}(\{2/5, 1/2, 2/3, 3/4\})$ .

**Second scheme.** The second cipher we devised simply consists in the composite first scheme just described, combined with an additional transposition that reverses the order of the cleartext symbols:

$$s'[i] = s[t - i + 1] \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq t,$$

with  $s$  a sentence, and  $t$  the number of characters in  $s$ .

Figure 2 gives an illustration of these schemes, and Appendix A provides additional examples of their concrete aspect.

These ciphering schemes were applied to the cleartext templates (detailed in Section 3.1) to generate our conlang resources: the first scheme (substitutions only) was used for French-derived and Latin-derived resources, while the second scheme (substitutions and transposition) was applied to the reversed-French resource templates. While each template instance in the training and validation sets was enciphered with a new, randomly generated key (i.e., a different state of  $\phi$ ) in order to maximize data diversity, for the test set, each of the 1,400 base instances utilized its own distinct encipherment key (creating 1,400 unique conlangs in their surface forms), but this specific key was kept consistent across all 24 experimental variations of that instance to ensure fair comparison across test conditions.

## 4 Experimental Setup

We created a small curriculum of seven courses, corresponding to the “French” partitions detailed in Table 1 (ignoring noun gender distinctions). GPT-4o-mini-2024-07-18 was fine-tuned on this curriculum for one epoch per “course,” using a single instance per batch and a learning rate multiplier of 1.8.<sup>10</sup> The resulting checkpoint was further fine-tuned for four epochs on a final training set com-

<sup>10</sup>These last two hyperparameters were set autonomously by the API.




Figure 2: The two cipher schemes used to generate conlangs. The First Scheme relies on character substitutions, while the Second Scheme adds a transposition (character order reversal), requiring prior manual adaptation of grammar book excerpts (purple arrow).

prising varied instances (see Table 2 for instance and token counts). Throughout these training sets, the `art`→`eng` direction was underrepresented at a 1:10 ratio relative to `eng`→`art`, as it was deemed less challenging *a priori*; the same ratio applied to examples of spontaneous translation as opposed to CoT demonstrations; French-derived and reversed-French-derived conlangs were equally represented. Latin-derived conlangs were excluded from the training data to assess the model’s ability to generalize explicit learning to typologically novel linguistic phenomena.

Our baseline was GPT-4o-mini.<sup>11</sup> Test set inferences were conducted in December 2024 via OpenAI’s batch API with a temperature of 0.05 (see Appendix F) and `max_tokens` values ranging from 100 to 1300, depending on the configuration and instance type evaluated.

<sup>11</sup><https://openai.com/index/gpt-4o-mini-advancing-cost-efficient-intelligence/>, accessed: 2025-02-14

SFT Partitions	Instance Counts	Token Counts
Course 1	276	454,454
Course 2	1,292	3,856,069
Course 3	754	4,626,879
Course 4	370	2,612,112
Course 5	286	2,567,109
Course 6	326	2,777,347
Course 7	376	3,111,776
Final	1,408	10,551,709

Table 2: Instance and token counts in our training sets.

## 5 Results

We used accuracy as our primary metric, expressed as percentages. An exact match between the LLM’s lowercase candidate translation<sup>12</sup> and the reference translation was scored as 100%; deviations were scored as 0%. In an effort to soften the brittleness of accuracy scoring, we awarded 50% to translations with incorrect adjective placement as their sole error in partitions involving French-derived adjectives.<sup>13</sup> For Latin-derived conlangs (partitions 8- and 9-), accuracy was computed using bag-of-words matching, accommodating Latin’s relatively free word order.

In the tables below, each cell gives the mean accuracy over 100 distinct test instances (each a unique bitext with a unique conlang encipherment). For French-derived phenomena (partitions 1-7f), results are a 50/50 composite of standard and “reversed” French instances (see Appendix H for a breakdown of baseline scores). Critically, the 100 base instances for any partition remain consistent across the experimental conditions (W, W+IB, W+G, and CoT/no-CoT) presented in columns, allowing for direct comparison.

### 5.1 ENG→ART

This translation direction is the most challenging for the LLM *a priori*, as it requires generating a segment in the conlang—a language unknown, learned only in context within the provided prompt.

<sup>12</sup>All candidate translations were lower-cased and stripped of full stops.

<sup>13</sup>A special reference, `ad_order_error_reference`, was created during test set generation to facilitate this scoring. French adjectives follow specific placement rules based on the conceptual category to which they belong: some adjectives typically precede the noun (e.g., adjectives describing size, age, or beauty), while others typically follow it (e.g., adjectives specifying color, nationality, or shape). Incorrect adjective placement refers here specifically to the reversal of this established ordering, and not to random misplacement which we would still score 0%.

### 5.1.1 Baseline

The scores in Table 3—supported by extensive qualitative analysis of LLM output—show that the base model can perform explicit learning in context. This ability, however, declines sharply as the linguistic phenomena involved increase in number and complexity. This observation is evident in the score difference between the 2m and 2f partitions: since French dictionary entries list adjectives in the masculine singular form, converting them to feminine singular prior to generating the expected plural results in a 90% drop in accuracy compared to the masculine adjective partition, with grammar excerpts of equal length.

BASELINE — ENG→ART						
Test Set Partition	W (no CoT)	W (CoT)	W+IB (no CoT)	W+IB (CoT)	W+G (no CoT)	W+G (CoT)
1.	0.0	0.0	11.0	15.0	41.0	66.0
2m.	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.5	10.5	31.0
2f.	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.5	3.0
3.	0.0	0.0	9.0	6.0	26.0	20.0
4.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0
5m.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
5f.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7m.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7f.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8a.	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0
8g.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
9a.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9g.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Average	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.8	5.9	9.5

Table 3: Baseline accuracy for eng→art.

Effect sizes based on Cohen’s  $d$  (Cohen, 1988) indicate that, although generally weak for all but the simplest test set partitions, explicit learning remains evident in aggregate. This is reflected in medium effect sizes of 0.46 and 0.35 for the CoT  $W+G$  treatment compared to the  $W$  and  $W+IB$  treatments, respectively (see Appendix G.1). A small effect size (0.14) for CoT over ‘no CoT’ in the  $W+G$  configuration further suggests that “reflection” contributes positively to model performance.

### 5.1.2 Fine-tuned checkpoint

The accuracy scores in Table 4 show that fine-tuning dramatically enhances the LLM’s explicit learning capability, with very large effect sizes (Sawilowsky, 2009) of up to 1.84 and 1.75 for  $W+G$  over  $W$  and  $W+IB$ , respectively (see Appendix G.2). These results also highlight the amplified role of CoTs in the performance of the fine-tuned checkpoint, with an effect size of 0.58 for CoT  $W+G$  over its CoT-free counterpart. These improvements,

however, did not extend to the Latin-derived conlangs excluded from the fine-tuning dataset, for which the fine-tuned checkpoint performs on par with the baseline.

Test Set Partition	FINETUNED — ENG→ART					
	W (no CoT)	W (CoT)	W+IB (no CoT)	W+IB (CoT)	W+G (no CoT)	W+G (CoT)
1.	0.0	0.0	14.0	7.0	93.0	98.0
2m.	0.0	0.0	2.5	5.0	70.5	95.0
2f.	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	61.0	91.0
3.	0.0	0.0	5.0	3.0	67.0	76.0
4.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.0	91.0
5m.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.0	86.0
5f.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.0	80.0
6.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.0	90.0
7m.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.0	90.0
7f.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.5	80.0
8a.	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0
8g.	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
9a.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9g.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Average	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.4	34.9	62.9

Table 4: Fine-tuned checkpoint accuracy for eng→art.

## 5.2 ART→ENG

This translation direction is easier, *a priori*, for the LLM, as the generated text is in a language well-known to it: English. One would expect dictionary entries ( $W$ ) and incidental bitexts ( $W+IB$ ) to provide sufficient information for the model to guess the expected translations to varying degrees.

### 5.2.1 Baseline

We were surprised to find that the  $W+G$  treatment achieved significantly higher accuracy scores and effect sizes than the  $W+IB$  treatment in this translation direction (see Table 5 and Appendix G.3). This further confirms our conclusion that the LLM does indeed leverage metalinguistic discourses in the grammar book, although, as was already the case in the opposite translation direction, this capability is quickly overwhelmed as linguistic complexity increases.

We note that in this translation direction, the benefits of CoT for the  $W+G$  treatment appear negligible (effect size: 0.03).

### 5.2.2 Fine-tuned checkpoint

Despite the underrepresentation of this translation direction in the fine-tuning data, accuracy scores for linguistic phenomena seen during fine-tuning improved dramatically (see Table 6). While fine-tuning also enhanced the model’s ability to guess English translations from dictionary entries and

BASELINE — ART → ENG						
Test Set Partition	W (no CoT)	W (CoT)	W+IB (no CoT)	W+IB (CoT)	W+G (no CoT)	W+G (CoT)
1.	0.0	1.0	40.0	68.0	97.0	100.0
2m	0.0	3.0	21.0	59.0	86.0	96.0
2f	0.0	3.0	48.0	72.0	86.0	94.0
3.	0.0	0.0	36.0	23.0	34.0	33.0
4.	0.0	0.0	5.0	11.0	13.0	27.0
5m.	0.0	0.0	2.0	7.0	15.0	24.0
5f.	0.0	0.0	5.0	12.0	12.0	16.0
6	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	26.0	4.0
7m.	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	14.0	4.0
7f.	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	12.0	5.0
8a	5.0	8.0	7.0	18.0	6.0	16.0
8g	12.0	8.0	14.0	18.0	15.0	9.0
9a	2.0	7.0	3.0	17.0	8.0	16.0
9g	6.0	4.0	14.0	10.0	13.0	10.0
Average	1.8	2.4	14.4	22.8	31.2	32.4

Table 5: Baseline accuracy for art→eng.

incidental bitexts, the  $W+G$  treatment remained surprisingly superior, notably for partitions involving complex verb forms (i.e., 3 and above).

FINETUNED — ART → ENG						
Test Set Partition	W (no CoT)	W (CoT)	W+IB (no CoT)	W+IB (CoT)	W+G (no CoT)	W+G (CoT)
1.	81.0	16.0	100.0	85.0	100.0	100.0
2m	50.0	21.0	99.0	97.0	100.0	100.0
2f	60.0	36.0	100.0	97.0	100.0	100.0
3.	0.0	2.0	39.0	18.0	81.0	84.0
4.	1.0	4.0	36.0	17.0	75.0	63.0
5m.	3.0	11.0	27.0	16.0	73.0	71.0
5f.	1.0	6.0	21.0	15.0	63.0	64.0
6	8.0	0.0	57.0	9.0	99.0	93.0
7m.	22.0	0.0	54.0	6.0	98.0	88.0
7f.	8.0	0.0	61.0	9.0	97.0	91.0
8a	1.0	1.0	1.0	7.0	5.0	4.0
8g	1.0	2.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	1.0
9a	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9g	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Average	16.9	7.1	42.8	27.1	63.6	61.4

Table 6: Fine-tuned checkpoint accuracy for art→eng.

The measured effect sizes for  $W+G$  remain substantial as a consequence (see Appendix G.4), ranging from 1.09 to 1.47 over  $W$  and from 0.43 to 0.79 over  $W+IB$ . We note a negative, though negligible, impact of CoT on the  $W+G$  treatment (effect size: -0.05), consistent with baseline trends.

Lastly, comparing the accuracy scores of the baseline and fine-tuned checkpoint (see Tables 5 and 6) reveals a significant degradation in performance on the Latin-derived conlangs unseen during fine-tuning, with average scores for partitions 8 and 9 dropping from 10.25% to 1.33% after fine-tuning.

## 6 Discussion

A cursory examination of a sample prompt from one of the more challenging partitions of our dataset (see Appendices E.3 and E.5) should convince the reader of the immense complexity of the task at hand. Varied cipher keys and grammar book paraphrases (Appendix C) ensured distinct conlangs and novel documents for the fine-tuned model at test time. Given the high sensitivity of LLMs to even minor prompt formatting changes (Voronov et al., 2024; Sclar et al., 2024, *inter alia*), the fine-tuned checkpoint’s performance demonstrates a remarkable improvement in its explicit learning capabilities under these challenging conditions.

This improvement, however, did not extend to novel linguistic phenomena in the typologically Latin conlangs. Table 7 shows accuracy scores for various checkpoints on the eng→art,  $W+G$  with CoT version of our test set compared to the baseline LLM. Checkpoints fine-tuned on each “course” of our curriculum, in a cumulative fashion, do not suggest that fine-tuning on simpler linguistic features leads to substantial gains on unseen, more complex phenomena. Interestingly, scores from an additional checkpoint, fine-tuned from scratch on instances representing the phenomena in partitions 5 and 7 exclusively, suggest that explicit learning generalizes more effectively in the opposite direction—from complex to simpler sets of features.

A surprising pattern in our results is that the best-performing checkpoints for unseen Latin-derived phenomena were trained on the least data (see Appendix G.6). This may stem from suboptimal fine-tuning hyperparameters set by OpenAI’s API or, more likely, from the inherent limitations of supervised fine-tuning in fostering universal explicit learning in LLMs. Addressing these issues could involve exploring DPO (Rafailov et al., 2023) or GRPO (Shao et al., 2024) fine-tuning and incorporating *a posteriori* conlangs that mask a wider range of linguistic types—promising directions we leave for future research.

## 7 Conclusion

This study demonstrates that LLMs do possess a capacity for explicit learning, though this ability diminishes as the complexity and variety of the linguistic phenomena at hand increase. We showed that supervised fine-tuning on demonstrations of chains of thought can significantly enhance

VARIOUS CHECKPOINTS — W + G — ENG → ART										
Test Set Partition	Baseline	Fine-tuned on Course 1	FT on Courses Up to 2	FT on Courses Up to 3	FT on Courses Up to 4	FT on Courses Up to 5	FT on Courses Up to 6	FT on Courses Up to 7	FT on 5 & 7 Only	Full FT
1.	66.0	83.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	96.0	98.0	97.0	92.0	98.0
2m	31.0	31.5	92.0	76.0	83.0	92.0	94.0	89.0	83.0	95.0
2f	3.0	7.5	67.0	69.0	45.0	80.0	68.0	86.0	76.0	91.0
3.	20.0	27.0	13.0	64.0	65.0	62.0	71.0	71.0	35.0	76.0
4.	8.0	7.0	7.0	26.0	70.0	70.0	73.0	75.0	56.0	91.0
5m.	2.0	1.5	2.5	9.0	38.0	57.0	61.0	73.0	45.0	86.0
5f.	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	11.0	47.5	39.0	59.0	43.0	80.0
6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.0	77.0	34.0	90.0	
7m.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	82.0	37.0	90.0	
7f.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.5	67.0	37.0	80.0	
8a	3.0	16.0	8.0	6.0	4.0	12.0	10.0	10.0	19.0	3.0
8g	0.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9a	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9g	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Avg.</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>20.8</b>	<b>25.1</b>	<b>29.6</b>	<b>36.9</b>	<b>41.3</b>	<b>56.1</b>	<b>39.9</b>	<b>62.9</b>

Table 7: Accuracy scores for various checkpoints in the eng→art direction, for the *W+G* treatment with CoT.

this ability for similar or simpler phenomena but generalizes poorly to typologically novel or more complex linguistic features. These findings highlight the need for more diverse training sets and the exploration of alternative fine-tuning methods to further study and improve the explicit learning capabilities of LLMs.

## Limitations

As is common in related research, our work involved a single LLM. This choice was guided by considerations of the financial and environmental cost of our experiments, which required handling long-context inputs. GPT-4o-mini was selected because it offered a sufficiently large context window<sup>14</sup> and could be fine-tuned (a rare option in 2024). Its accessibility via an API arguably makes replication easier for researchers without access to large GPU clusters. However, as a closed model, its parameter count and fine-tuning specifics (e.g., whether it employs LoRA and which modules are targeted) remain undisclosed. Our positive results and internally consistent experiments remain valid as an existence proof in spite of this observation, and we share our datasets and code to allow others to perform experiments with a broader range of artifacts.

Translation directions were unequally represented in our training set due to the high cost of fine-tuning on long contexts and our decision to prioritize the more challenging eng→art direction in our experiments. Consequently, our observations

on the differing roles of CoT across translation directions may partly reflect this imbalance rather than signal an essential difference in the explicit learning performed by the LLM as a function of translation direction.

While we verified that random variations in ciphers had a negligible impact on accuracy scores at our chosen temperature, one drawback of our method is that the resulting conlangs tend to be oversegmented by tokenizers. This does not compromise the ecological validity of our experiments, as low-resource languages are themselves likely to be oversegmented, and the orthographies of many attested languages (e.g., Polish, Georgian, Tashelhit) feature complex graphemic consonant clusters and polyphthongs similar to those occasionally occurring in our conlangs. However, this oversegmentation could very significantly increase training and inference costs on larger LLMs.

One purpose of the *W+IB* configuration in our test set was to ensure that LLMs would not guess the ciphers used, particularly after fine-tuning. We are satisfied that this is not the case. We also verified that more advanced models, such as GPT-4o, GPT-01-preview, and Gemini 1.5 Pro, similarly fail to decipher our conlangs, as tested via their Web UI with instances containing C-category vocabulary items. While we are confident that explicit learning of the metalinguistic discourses provided in the grammar book excerpts remains the path of least resistance for LLMs under the high variability of our ciphers, we cannot guarantee that future LLMs or LRM (Valmeekam et al., 2024) will not acquire this capability, particularly through fine-tuning. To address this, scaling our technique

<sup>14</sup>A constraint likewise invoked by Aycock et al. (2025) and Hus and Anastasopoulos (2024) to explain their choice of Gemini-1.5-Flash-001 and GPT-4-turbo, respectively.

to these models might require employing a larger number of conlang matrices during fine-tuning, with less-resourced languages documented in open science grammar books<sup>15</sup> representing particularly valuable candidates.

Finally and most importantly, our experimental setup simulates perfect information retrieval (IR) from the dictionary, by design.<sup>16</sup> While this approach avoids introducing IR-related confounding variables into our experiments, it is admittedly a science-fictional scenario in real-world applications. We emphasize that the goal of our experiments was to study explicit learning in LLMs, with MT chosen as the ideal context for this purpose. However, our findings should not be interpreted to suggest that MT, as a task, is easily achievable through explicit learning based solely on grammar books and real-world dictionary entries.

## Ethics Statement

We acknowledge the environmental cost associated with long-context inputs and fine-tuning in LLMs. To mitigate this, we limited the scope of our experiments and prioritized efficient use of resources.

Since OpenAI policies potentially restrict the publication of inference examples suitable for qualitative analysis, we relied on contrastive experimental settings and exact match/accuracy scores to ensure our results remain interpretable and meaningful, even in the absence of qualitative illustrations.

Our use of cryptographic conlangs to simulate low-resource contexts might offer an ethical advantage by avoiding direct reliance on oppressed and endangered groups for abstract experimental purposes in low-resource natural language processing (NLP). This approach minimizes the risk of exploitative practices and allows for scalable, repeatable experiments without imposing on real-world communities at proof-of-concept stages. We stress, however, that our work complements—and in no way replaces—the invaluable contributions of researchers working directly with less-resourced languages.

Lastly, languages classified as low-resource by MT researchers are the primary concern of field-

work linguists (Thieberger, 2011), and grammar books and lexicons, sometimes published as open science resources (cf. Footnote 15) are therefore readily available for languages otherwise deprived of materials suitable for the development of critically needed NLP applications (Bhuiyan, 2023; Deck, 2023; Young, 2015; Kornai, 2013; Ostler, 2014). We hope that this study will encourage further development of methodologies and resources that improve NLP models’ ability to utilize such grammar books and lexicographical documents to the benefit of less-resourced languages and linguistic diversity.

## Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the French *Agence Nationale de la Recherche* (ANR) under the project TraLaLaM (“ANR-23-IAS1-0006”). The last two authors’ participation was also partly funded through their chairs in the PRAIRIE institute, now PRAIRIE-PSAI, funded by the French national agency ANR, respectively as part of the “Investissements d’avenir” programme under the reference ANR-19-P3IA-0001 and as part of the “France 2030” strategy under the reference ANR-23-IACL-0008.

We thank Marine Carpuat for her insightful remarks at an intermediate stage of this work, and the anonymous reviewers for their time and effort in reviewing this manuscript.

Additionally, we acknowledge the use of a large language model for coding support during the development of this project. We are also grateful to the CLEPS infrastructure at Inria Paris for providing computing resources and support during the exploratory phase of this project.

## References

Seth Ayccock, David Stap, Di Wu, Christof Monz, and Khalil Sima'an. 2025. [Can LLMs Really Learn to Translate a Low-Resource Language from One Grammar Book?](#) In *The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*.

Johana Bhuiyan. 2023. [Lost in AI translation: growing reliance on language apps jeopardizes some asylum applications](#). The Guardian.

Bozhidar Bozhanov and Ivan Derzhanski. 2013. [Rosetta Stone Linguistic Problems](#). In *Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Teaching NLP and CL*, pages 1–8, Sofia, Bulgaria. Association for Computational Linguistics.

<sup>15</sup>Cf. LangSci Press Catalog: <https://langsci-press.org/catalogSearch>, accessed: 2024-10-10.

<sup>16</sup>The bitexts to be translated were devised based on the dictionary and grammar book, and the lemmas chosen to ensure unambiguous translations, permitting our use of accuracy as the evaluation metric.

Qi Cao, Takeshi Kojima, Yutaka Matsuo, and Yusuke Iwasawa. 2023. [Unnatural Error Correction: GPT-4 Can Almost Perfectly Handle Unnatural Scrambled Text](#). In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 8898–8913, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Jacob Cohen. 1988. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*, 2nd edition. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers, Hillsdale, NJ.

Jared Coleman, Bhaskar Krishnamachari, Ruben Rosales, and Khalil Iskarous. 2024. [LLM-Assisted Rule Based Machine Translation for Low/No-Resource Languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 4th Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Indigenous Languages of the Americas (AmericasNLP 2024)*, pages 67–87, Mexico City, Mexico. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Louis Couturat and Léopold Leau. 1903. [Histoire de la Langue Universelle](#). Librairie Hachette et Cie, Paris.

Andrew Deck. 2023. [AI translation is jeopardizing Afghan asylum claims](#). Rest of World.

Rod Ellis, Shawn Loewen, Catherine Elder, Hayo Reinders, Rosemary Erlam, and Jenefer Philp. 2009. *Implicit and Explicit Knowledge in Second Language Learning, Testing and Teaching*. Multilingual Matters.

Gemini Team. 2024. [Gemini 1.5: Unlocking multimodal understanding across millions of tokens of context](#). Technical report. ArXiv:2403.05530.

Ping Guo, Yubing Ren, Yue Hu, Yunpeng Li, Jiarui Zhang, Xingsheng Zhang, and Heyan Huang. 2024. [Teaching Large Language Models to Translate on Low-resource Languages with Textbook Prompting](#). In *Proceedings of the 2024 Joint International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC-COLING 2024)*, pages 15685–15697, Torino, Italia. ELRA and ICCL.

Jonathan Hus and Antonios Anastasopoulos. 2024. [Back to School: Translation Using Grammar Books](#). In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 20207–20219, Miami, Florida, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Julie Kallini, Isabel Papadimitriou, Richard Futrell, Kyle Mahowald, and Christopher Potts. 2024. [Mission: Impossible Language Models](#). In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 14691–14714, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.

András Kornai. 2013. [Digital Language Death](#). *PLOS ONE*, 8(10):1–11.

Nicholas Ostler. 2014. Introduction: Endangered languages in the New Multilingual Order per genus et differentiam. In Mari C. Editor Jones, editor, *Endangered Languages and New Technologies*, pages 1–14. Cambridge University Press.

Rafael Rafailov, Archit Sharma, Eric Mitchell, Stefano Ermon, Christopher D. Manning, and Chelsea Finn. 2023. Direct preference optimization: your language model is secretly a reward model. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, NeurIPS '23, Red Hook, NY, USA. Curran Associates Inc.

Patrick Rebuschat, editor. 2015. *Implicit and Explicit Learning of Languages*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Gözde Gül Şahin, Yova Kementchedjhieva, Phillip Rust, and Iryna Gurevych. 2020. [Puzzling Machines: A Challenge on Learning From Small Data](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 1241–1254, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Steven S. Sawilowsky. 2009. New effect size rules of thumb. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, 8(2):597–599.

Melanie Sclar, Yejin Choi, Yulia Tsvetkov, and Alane Suhr. 2024. [Quantifying Language Models' Sensitivity to Spurious Features in Prompt Design or: How I Learned to start worrying about prompt formatting](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2310.11324.

Claude E. Shannon. 1945. [A Mathematical Theory of Cryptography](#). Technical Report MM-45-110-92, Bell Labs.

Zhihong Shao, Peiyi Wang, Qihao Zhu, Runxin Xu, Junxiao Song, Xiao Bi, Haowei Zhang, Mingchuan Zhang, Y. K. Li, Y. Wu, and Daya Guo. 2024. [DeepSeekMath: Pushing the Limits of Mathematical Reasoning in Open Language Models](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2402.03300.

Aarohi Srivastava, Abhinav Rastogi, Abhishek Rao, Abu Awal Md Shoeb, Abubakar Abid, Adam Fisch, Adam R. Brown, Adam Santoro, Aditya Gupta, Adrià Garriga-Alonso, Agnieszka Kluska, Aitor Lewkowycz, Akshat Agarwal, Alethea Power, Alex Ray, Alex Warstadt, Alexander W. Kocurek, Ali Safaya, Ali Tazarv, Alice Xiang, Alicia Parrish, Allen Nie, Aman Hussain, Amanda Askell, Amanda Dsouza, Ambrose Slone, Ameet Rahane, Anantharaman S. Iyer, Anders Johan Andreassen, Andrea Madotto, Andrea Santilli, Andreas Stuhlmüller, Andrew M. Dai, Andrew La, Andrew Kyle Lampinen, Andy Zou, Angela Jiang, Angelica Chen, Anh Vuong, Animesh Gupta, Anna Gottardi, Antonio Norelli, Anu Venkatesh, Arash Gholamidavoodi, Arfa Tabassum, Arul Menezes, Arun Kirubarajan, Asher Mollokandov, Ashish Sabharwal, Austin Herrick, Avia Efrat, Aykut Erdem, Ayla Karakaş, B. Ryan Roberts, Bao Sheng Loe, Barret Zoph,

Bartłomiej Bojanowski, Batuhan Özyurt, Behnam Hedayatnia, Behnam Neyshabur, Benjamin Inden, Benno Stein, Berk Ekmekci, Bill Yuchen Lin, Blake Howald, Bryan Orinon, Cameron Diao, Cameron Dour, Catherine Stinson, Cedrick Argueta, Cesar Ferri, Chandan Singh, Charles Rathkopf, Chenlin Meng, Chitta Baral, Chiyu Wu, Chris Callison-Burch, Christopher Waites, Christian Voigt, Christopher D Manning, Christopher Potts, Cindy Ramirez, Clara E. Rivera, Clemencia Siro, Colin Raffel, Courtney Ashcraft, Cristina Garbacea, Damien Sileo, Dan Garrette, Dan Hendrycks, Dan Kilman, Dan Roth, C. Daniel Freeman, Daniel Khashabi, Daniel Levy, Daniel Moseguí González, Danielle Perszyk, Danny Hernandez, Danqi Chen, Daphne Ippolito, Dar Gilboa, David Dohan, David Drakard, David Jurgens, Debjayoti Datta, Deep Ganguli, Denis Emelin, Denis Kleyko, Deniz Yuret, Derek Chen, Derek Tam, Dieuwke Hupkes, Diganta Misra, Dilyar Buzan, Dimitri Coelho Mollo, Diyi Yang, Dong-Ho Lee, Dylan Schrader, Ekaterina Shutova, Ekin Dogus Cubuk, Elad Segal, Eleanor Hagerman, Elizabeth Barnes, Elizabeth Donoway, Ellie Pavlick, Emanuele Rodolà, Emma Lam, Eric Chu, Eric Tang, Erkut Erdem, Ernie Chang, Ethan A Chi, Ethan Dyer, Ethan Jerzak, Ethan Kim, Eunice Engefu Manyasi, Evgenii Zheltonozhskii, Fanyue Xia, Fatemeh Siar, Fernando Martínez-Plumed, Francesca Happé, François Chollet, Frieda Rong, Gaurav Mishra, Genta Indra Winata, Gerard de Melo, Germàn Kruszewski, Giambattista Parascandolo, Giorgio Mariani, Gloria Xinyue Wang, Gonzalo Jaimovich-Lopez, Gregor Betz, Guy Gur-Ari, Hana Galijasevic, Hannah Kim, Hannah Rashkin, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Harsh Mehta, Hayden Bogar, Henry Francis Anthony Shevlin, Hinrich Schuetze, Hiromu Yakura, Hongming Zhang, Hugh Mee Wong, Ian Ng, Isaac Noble, Jaap Jumelet, Jack Geissinger, Jackson Kernion, Jacob Hilton, Jae-hoon Lee, Jaime Fernández Fisac, James B Simon, James Koppel, James Zheng, James Zou, Jan Kocon, Jana Thompson, Janelle Wingfield, Jared Kaplan, Jarema Radom, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Jason Phang, Jason Wei, Jason Yosinski, Jekaterina Novikova, Jelle Bosscher, Jennifer Marsh, Jeremy Kim, Jeroen Taal, Jesse Engel, Jesujoba Alabi, Jiacheng Xu, Jiaming Song, Jillian Tang, Joan Waweru, John Burden, John Miller, John U. Balis, Jonathan Batchelder, Jonathan Berant, Jörg Frohberg, Jos Rozen, Jose Hernandez-Orallo, Joseph Boudeman, Joseph Guerr, Joseph Jones, Joshua B. Tenenbaum, Joshua S. Rule, Joyce Chua, Kamil Kanclerz, Karen Livescu, Karl Krauth, Karthik Gopalakrishnan, Katerina Ignatyeva, Katja Markert, Kaustubh Dhole, Kevin Gimpel, Kevin Omondi, Kory Wallace Mathewson, Kristen Chiaffullo, Ksenia Shkaruta, Kumar Shridhar, Kyle McDonell, Kyle Richardson, Laria Reynolds, Leo Gao, Li Zhang, Liam Dugan, Lianhui Qin, Lidia Contreras-Ochando, Louis-Philippe Morency, Luca Moschella, Lucas Lam, Lucy Noble, Ludwig Schmidt, Luheng He, Luis Oliveros-Colón, Luke Metz, Lütfi Kerem Senel, Maarten Bosma, Maarten Sap, Maartje Ter Hoeve, Maheen Farooqi, Manaal Faruqui, Mantas Mazeika, Marco Baturan, Marco Marelli, Marco Maru, Maria Jose Ramirez-Quintana, Marie Tolkiehn,

Mario Giulianelli, Martha Lewis, Martin Potthast, Matthew L Leavitt, Matthias Hagen, Mátyás Schubert, Medina Orduna Baitemirova, Melody Arnaud, Melvin McElrath, Michael Andrew Yee, Michael Cohen, Michael Gu, Michael Ivanitskiy, Michael Starritt, Michael Strube, Michał Śwędrowski, Michele Bevilacqua, Michihiro Yasunaga, Mihir Kale, Mike Cain, Mimee Xu, Mirac Suzgun, Mitch Walker, Mo Tiwari, Mohit Bansal, Moin Aminnaseri, Mor Geva, Mozhdeh Gheini, Mukund Varma T, Nanyun Peng, Nathan Andrew Chi, Nayeon Lee, Neta Gur-Ari Krakover, Nicholas Cameron, Nicholas Roberts, Nick Doiron, Nicole Martinez, Nikita Nangia, Niklas Deckers, Niklas Muennighoff, Nitish Shirish Keskar, Niveditha S. Iyer, Noah Constant, Noah Fiedel, Nuan Wen, Oliver Zhang, Omar Agha, Omar Elbaghdadi, Omer Levy, Owain Evans, Pablo Antonio Moreno Casares, Parth Doshi, Pascale Fung, Paul Pu Liang, Paul Vicol, Pegah Alipoormolabashi, Peiyuan Liao, Percy Liang, Peter W Chang, Peter Eckersley, Phu Mon Htut, Pinyu Hwang, Piotr Miłkowski, Piyush Patil, Pouya Pezeshkpour, Priti Oli, Qiaozhu Mei, Qing Lyu, Qinlang Chen, Rabbin Banjade, Rachel Etta Rudolph, Raefer Gabriel, Rahel Habacker, Ramon Risco, Raphaël Millière, Rhythm Garg, Richard Barnes, Rif A. Saurous, Riku Arakawa, Robbe Raymaekers, Robert Frank, Rohan Sikand, Roman Novak, Roman Sitelew, Ronan Le Bras, Rosanne Liu, Rowan Jacobs, Rui Zhang, Russ Salakhutdinov, Ryan Andrew Chi, Seungjae Ryan Lee, Ryan Stovall, Ryan Teehan, Rylan Yang, Sahib Singh, Saif M. Mohammad, Sajant Anand, Sam Dillavou, Sam Shleifer, Sam Wiseman, Samuel Gruetter, Samuel R. Bowman, Samuel Stern Schoenholz, Sanghyun Han, Sanjeev Kwatra, Sarah A. Rous, Sarik Ghazarian, Sayan Ghosh, Sean Casey, Sebastian Bischoff, Sebastian Gehrmann, Sebastian Schuster, Sepideh Sadeghi, Shadi Hamdan, Sharon Zhou, Shashank Srivastava, Sherry Shi, Shikhar Singh, Shima Asaadi, Shixiang Shane Gu, Shubh Pachchigar, Shubham Toshniwal, Shyam Upadhyay, Shyamolima Shammie Debnath, Siamak Shakeri, Simon Thormeyer, Simone Melzi, Siva Reddy, Sneha Priscilla Makini, Soo-Hwan Lee, Spencer Torene, Sriharsha Hatwar, Stanislas Dehaene, Stefan Divic, Stefano Ermon, Stella Biderman, Stephanie Lin, Stephen Prasad, Steven Piantadosi, Stuart Shieber, Summer Mishergi, Svetlana Kiritchenko, Swaroop Mishra, Tal Linzen, Tal Schuster, Tao Li, Tao Yu, Tariq Ali, Tatsunori Hashimoto, Te-Lin Wu, Théo Desbordes, Theodore Rothschild, Thomas Phan, Tianle Wang, Tiberius Nkinyili, Timo Schick, Timofei Kornev, Titus Tunduny, Tobias Gerstenberg, Trenton Chang, Trishala Neeraj, Tushar Khot, Tyler Shultz, Uri Shaham, Vedant Misra, Vera Demberg, Victoria Nyamai, Vikas Raunak, Vinay Venkatesh Ramasesh, vinay udhay prabhu, Vishakh Padmakumar, Vivek Srikumar, William Fedus, William Saunders, William Zhang, Wout Vossen, Xiang Ren, Xiaoyu Tong, Xinran Zhao, Xinyi Wu, Xudong Shen, Yadollah Yaghoobzadeh, Yair Lakretz, Yangqiu Song, Yasaman Bahri, Yejin Choi, Yichi Yang, Sophie Hao, Yifu Chen, Yonatan Belinkov, Yu Hou, Yu-

fang Hou, Yuntao Bai, Zachary Seid, Zhuoye Zhao, Zijian Wang, Zijie J. Wang, Zirui Wang, and Ziyi Wu. 2023. *Beyond the Imitation Game: Quantifying and extrapolating the capabilities of language models*. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*.

Eduardo Sánchez, Belen Alastruey, Christophe Rogers, Pontus Stenetorp, Mikel Artetxe, and Marta R. Costa-jussà. 2024. *Linguini: A benchmark for language-agnostic linguistic reasoning*. *Preprint*, arXiv:2409.12126.

Garrett Tanzer, Mirac Suzgun, Eline Visser, Dan Jurafsky, and Luke Melas-Kyriazi. 2024. *A Benchmark for Learning to Translate a New Language from One Grammar Book*. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*.

Nicholas Thieberger. 2011. *Introduction*. In Nicholas Thieberger, editor, *The Oxford Handbook of Linguistic Fieldwork*. Oxford University Press.

Tristan Thrush, Jared Moore, Miguel Monares, Christopher Potts, and Douwe Kiela. 2024. *I am a Strange Dataset: Metalinguistic Tests for Language Models*. In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 8888–8907, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Karthik Valmeekam, Kaya Stechly, and Subbarao Kambhampati. 2024. *LLMs Still Can't Plan; Can LRM? A Preliminary Evaluation of OpenAI's o1 on Plan-Bench*. *Preprint*, arXiv:2409.13373.

Eline Visser. 2022. *A grammar of Kalamang*. Number 4 in Comprehensive Grammar Library. Language Science Press, Berlin.

Anton Voronov, Lena Wolf, and Max Ryabinin. 2024. *Mind Your Format: Towards Consistent Evaluation of In-Context Learning Improvements*. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2024*, pages 6287–6310, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Jason Wei, Dan Garrette, Tal Linzen, and Ellie Pavlick. 2021. *Frequency Effects on Syntactic Rule Learning in Transformers*. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 932–948, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.

John Wilkins. 1668. *An Essay Towards a Real Character, and a Philosophical Language*. Sa: Gellibrand, and for John Martyn printer to the Royal Society.

Holly Young. 2015. *The digital language barrier: how does language shape your experience of the internet?* The Guardian.

Youliang Yuan, Wenxiang Jiao, Wenxuan Wang, Jen tse Huang, Pinjia He, Shuming Shi, and Zhaopeng Tu. 2024. *GPT-4 Is Too Smart To Be Safe: Stealthy Chat with LLMs via Cipher*. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*.

Chen Zhang, Xiao Liu, Juheng Lin, and Yansong Feng. 2024a. *Teaching Large Language Models an Unseen Language on the Fly*. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2024*, pages 8783–8800, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Kexun Zhang, Yee Choi, Zhenqiao Song, Taiqi He, William Yang Wang, and Lei Li. 2024b. *Hire a Linguist!: Learning Endangered Languages in LLMs with In-Context Linguistic Descriptions*. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2024*, pages 15654–15669, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.

## A Encipherment Examples

This appendix provides illustrations of the encipherment methods used in our experiments. The encipherments involve two kinds of technique: substitutions and transpositions. These methods were designed to obscure the original linguistic structure while preserving all morphological and syntactic structures, enabling controlled evaluations of explicit learning on the part of an LLM.

### A.1 Substitutions

Following are a few random examples of substitutions applied to the clear text segment “Nouns ending in @-au@ and @-eu@ take the ending @-x@ instead of @-s@ (e.g., @tuyau@ > @tuyaux@ ‘pipes’, @jeu@ > @jeux@ ‘games’), except for the nouns @landau@, @sarrau@, @pneu@, and @bleu@ which form their plural by adding @-s@ (e.g., @pneu@ > @pneus@ ‘tires’).”:

Nouns ending in -yhn and -œsn take the ending -œz instead of -f (e.g., àknîyhn > àknîyhnœz ‘pipes’, écœsn > écœsnoœz ‘games’), except for the nouns çyhâiyhn, fyhâzâzyhn, êzâœsn, and tçœsn which form their plural by adding -f (e.g., êzâœsn > êzâœsnf ‘tires’).

Nouns ending in -gû and -tiû take the ending -æ instead of -î (e.g., zûagû > zûagûæ ‘pipes’, wœtiû > wœtiûæ ‘games’), except for the nouns tègbeøgû, ighhgû, rybetiû, and otetiû which form their plural by adding -î (e.g., rybetiû > rybetiûî ‘tires’).

Nouns ending in -oxl and -œkl take the ending -ig instead of -d (e.g., œglagoxl > œglagoxlig ‘pipes’, œekl > œeklig ‘games’), except for the nouns éoxœpnoxl, doxototoxl, þœpœkl, and çœœkl which form their plural by adding -d (e.g., þœpœkl > þœpœkld ‘tires’).

Nouns ending in -ld and -bod take the ending -ka instead of -pa (e.g., èdnld > èdnldka ‘pipes’, sobod

> sobodka ‘games’), except for the nouns vtomald, palzizild, citobod, and yvbod which form their plural by adding -pa (e.g., citobod > citobodpa ‘tires’).

Nouns ending in -tém and -pém take the ending -z instead of -yj (e.g., èhémftém > èhémftémz ‘pipes’, kpém > kpémz ‘games’), except for the nouns otèçustém, yjtnntém, cèçpém, and yqopém which form their plural by adding -yj (e.g., cèçpém > cèçpémij ‘tires’).

Nouns ending in -ébt and -ot take the ending -éc instead of -h (e.g., uxtilébt > uxtilébtéc ‘pipes’, abot > abotéc ‘games’), except for the nouns jébidamébt, hébvvébt, ézidot, and rjot which form their plural by adding -h (e.g., ézidot > ézidoth ‘tires’).

Nouns ending in -xéf and -evèf take the ending -b instead of -ef (e.g., ucèfezxèf > ucèfezxèfb ‘pipes’, uvevèf > uvevèfb ‘games’), except for the nouns dxètèxéf, efxitxitxèf, kètevèf, and hdevèf which form their plural by adding -ef (e.g., kètevèf > kètevèfef ‘tires’).

Nouns ending in -âwà and -æà take the ending -t instead of -q (e.g., àaokâwà > àaokâwàt ‘pipes’, oræà > oræàt ‘games’), except for the nouns fâwñûâwà, qâwèzèzâwà, ezñæà, and nñæà which form their plural by adding -q (e.g., ezñæà > ezñæàq ‘tires’).

Nouns ending in -wom and -qém take the ending -qo instead of -we (e.g., jumiwom > jumiwomqo ‘pipes’, kqém > kqémqo ‘games’), except for the nouns vuwoléfwom, wewonunuwom, wéléqém, and syvuqém which form their plural by adding -we (e.g., wéléqém > wéléqémwe ‘tires’).

Nouns ending in -lzu and -ozu take the ending -he instead of -w (e.g., puzuglzu > puzuglzuhe ‘pipes’, xaozu > xaozuhe ‘games’), except for the nouns xelbèlzu, wlélzu, kbozu, and ruxeozu which form their plural by adding -w (e.g., kbozu > kbozuw ‘tires’).

## A.2 Transpositions

The  $s'$  transposition applied alone to the clear text “The quick, brown fox.” would give:

xof nworb, kciuq eht.

Our implementation of the transposition affects punctuations in smart ways but does not preserve case.

While the final version of our experiments exclusively relied on transposition  $s'$ —as it produced a more manageable conlang type—we also explored

another transposition,  $w'$ :

$$w'[i] = w[m - i + 1] \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

with  $w$  a word,  $m$  the number of characters in  $w$ .

The  $w'$  transposition applied alone to the clear text “The quick, brown fox.” would give:

eht kciuq, nworb xof.

## A.3 Substitutions + Transposition

Following are a few random examples of substitutions combined with the  $s'$  transposition applied to the clear text segment “Nouns beginning with @-au@ and @-eu@ take the prefix @-x@ instead of @-s@ (e.g., @tuyau@ > @tuyaux@ ‘pipes’, @jeu@ > @jeux@ ‘games’), except for the nouns @landau@, @sarrau@, @pneu@, and @bleu@ which form their plural by prefixing the morpheme @-s@ (e.g., @pneu@ > @pneus@ ‘tires’).”:

Nouns beginning with gi- and géz- take the prefix az- instead of d- (e.g., giecgk > azgiecgk ‘pipes’, gézav > azgézav ‘games’), except for the nouns gievrix, giyyid, gézrab, and gézxéc which form their plural by prefixing the morpheme d- (e.g., gézrab > dgézrab ‘tires’).

Nouns beginning with cuà- and cuþ- take the prefix fù- instead of â- (e.g., cuàgculu > fùcuàgculu ‘pipes’, cuþð > fùcuþð ‘games’), except for the nouns cuàahàq, cuàññâ, cuþhjy, and cuþqd which form their plural by prefixing the morpheme â- (e.g., cuþhjy > acuþhjy ‘tires’).

Nouns beginning with âlœ- and âlô- take the prefix b- instead of e- (e.g., âlœijâlâm > bâlœijâlâm ‘pipes’, âlôon > bâlôon ‘games’), except for the nouns âlœualancëk, âlœyyceë, âlôanoz, and âlôkê which form their plural by prefixing the morpheme e- (e.g., âlôanoz > câlôanoz ‘tires’).

Nouns beginning with eoç- and eèb- take the prefix èw- instead of s- (e.g., eoçjicep > èweoçjicep ‘pipes’, eèbg > èweèbg ‘games’), except for the nouns eo-jatubojuz, eoçjèhèhojs, eèbubon, and eèbuzx which form their plural by prefixing the morpheme s- (e.g., eèbubon > seèbubon ‘tires’).

Nouns beginning with vqa- and vn- take the prefix o- instead of c- (e.g., vqatvzy > ovqatvzy ‘pipes’, vnza > ovnza ‘games’), except for the nouns vqaubàqame, vqazazeqac, vnbâi, and vnmek which form their plural by prefixing the morpheme c- (e.g., vnbâi > cvnbâi ‘tires’).

Nouns beginning with èda- and èxu- take the prefix he- instead of w- (e.g., èdatèdà > heèdatèdà 'pipes', èxuhà > heèxuhà 'games'), except for the nouns èdabardade, èdappdaw, èxuro, and èxudem which form their plural by prefixing the morpheme w- (e.g., èxuro > wèxuro 'tires').

Nouns beginning with jte- and jb- take the prefix mi- instead of xe- (e.g., jtenajma > mijtenajma 'pipes', jbl > mijbl 'games'), except for the nouns jtepçatehé, jtesstexe, jbçaz, and jbhéfè which form their plural by prefixing the morpheme xe- (e.g., jbçaz > xejbçaz 'tires').

Nouns beginning with éax- and éd- take the prefix on- instead of p- (e.g., éaxjéc > onéaxjéc 'pipes', édoq > onédoq 'games'), except for the nouns éaxemaxyg, éaxararaxp, édmç, and édygh which form their plural by prefixing the morpheme p- (e.g., édmç > pédmç 'tires').

Nouns beginning with èvà- and èl- take the prefix jo- instead of cy- (e.g., èvàvoèç > joèvàvoèç 'pipes', èls > joèls 'games'), except for the nouns èvànuvàbi, èvàririvàcy, èlubà, and èlbiqi which form their plural by prefixing the morpheme cy- (e.g., èlubà > cyèlubà 'tires').

Nouns beginning with up- and uet- take the prefix û- instead of w- (e.g., upbytun > ûupbytun 'pipes', uetj > ûuetj 'games'), except for the nouns upðfþs, upþbþw, uetfyh, and uetsep which form their plural by prefixing the morpheme w- (e.g., uetfyh > wuetfyh 'tires').

## B Grammar Book Sources

List of URLs of Wikipedia pages used to create the grammar book templates:

- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French\\_grammar](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French_grammar),
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French\\_verbs](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French_verbs),
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French\\_conjugation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French_conjugation),
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French\\_verb\\_morphology](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French_verb_morphology),
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French\\_articles\\_and\\_determiners](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French_articles_and_determiners),
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French\\_adverbs](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French_adverbs),

- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French\\_pronouns](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French_pronouns),
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin\\_grammar](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin_grammar),
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin\\_declension](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin_declension),
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin\\_syntax](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin_syntax),
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin\\_tenses](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin_tenses),
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin\\_conjugation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin_conjugation),
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin\\_word\\_order](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin_word_order).

(Accessed: September to October 2024)

## C Grammar Book Variations

To ensure that the grammar book excerpts shown at test time would be novel to the fine-tuned LLM, we created an average of five paraphrases for each of the 90+ paragraphs comprised in these excerpts. In addition to paraphrasing, other strategies were employed to diversify the grammar books used in our fine-tuning sets:

- Example lists were converted into shufflable lists, with their order randomized for each instance.
- The order of the main sections in the grammar excerpts (e.g., NOUNS, ADJECTIVES, PRONOUNS, VERBS) was randomized, as was the order of subsections within each section (e.g., Gender, Number, and Case under NOUNS). Additionally, most paragraphs within subsections (except the first) were generally presented in random order.
- Section markers and symbols used in morphological tables (e.g., -, #, ~, §) were randomly selected from a predefined list. The presentation of morphological tables also varied, using randomly selected templates. Such formatting variations have been shown to markedly impact LLM performance (Voronov et al., 2024; Sclar et al., 2024).

The resulting documents exhibit significant variation in form, particularly in the most complex partition of the fine-tuning dataset, where longer grammar book excerpts allow for greater diversity. Below are two examples of the NOUN section from the grammar excerpts, along with its test set version, all presented in their unenciphered, clear-text template form.

#### First Example of Grammar Excerpt Variations

##### \$\$\$\$\$ NOUNS \$\$\$\$\$

###### \* Gender

All nouns in @French@ are designated with a grammatical gender: masculine or feminine.

###### \* Case

In @French@, nouns are not inflected for other grammatical distinctions. (Case and person inflections apply only to personal pronouns.)

###### \* Number

@French@ nouns, like those in English, inflect to indicate number.

The plural is most often derived by attaching the morpheme @-s@ to the singular, as in @maison@ to @maisons@ ('houses').

Nouns with singular endings of @-s@, @-x@, or @-z@ do not undergo changes when forming the plural (e.g., @la croix@ 'the cross' > @les croix@ 'the crosses').

The plural of most nouns ending in @-ail@ is formed by changing to @-aux@. Examples of this pattern include @émail@, @corail@, @travail@, @soupirail@, @vitrail@, and @bail@ (e.g., @vitrail@ becomes @vitraux@ 'stained glass windows'). A few exceptions, however, add @-s@ to form their plural: @attirail@, @rail@, @détail@, @chandail@, @gouvernail@, and @portail@ (e.g., @un rail@ > @des rails@ 'rails').

For nouns ending in @-au@ and @-eu@, the plural is typically formed by adding @-x@ rather than @-s@ (e.g., @tuyau@ > @tuyaux@ 'pipes', @jeu@ > @jeux@ 'games'). Exceptions to this rule include @landau@, @sarreau@, @bleu@ and @pneu@, which use @-s@ to create their plural forms (e.g., @pneu@ > @pneus@ 'tires').

For seven nouns ending in @-ou@, the plural is formed with @-x@: @pou@, @joujou@, @hibou@, @bijou@, @genou@, @chou@, @caillou@ (e.g., @genou@ becomes @genoux@ 'knees'). Others, like @bisou@ or @trou@, take the regular plural suffix @-s@ (e.g., @trou@ becomes @trous@ 'holes').

###### Nouns ending in @-al@

Typically, nouns ending in @-al@ pluralize to @-aux@ (e.g., @journal@ > @journaux@ 'newspapers'). But there are eight exceptions, which add @-s@ for the plural: @récital@, @cal@, @régal@,

@serval@, @carnaval@, @chacal@, @bal@, @festival@ (e.g., @le festival@ > @les festivals@).

#### Second Example of Grammar Excerpt Variations

##### ::::: NOUNS :::::

###### § Number

As in English, @French@ nouns inflect for number.

The plural is generally formed from the singular by appending the morpheme @-s@ (e.g., @maison@ becomes @maisons@, meaning 'houses').

###### (Nouns ending in @-ail@)

Most nouns ending in @-ail@ form their plural by changing to @-aux@. Examples include @émail@, @bail@, @soupirail@, @corail@, @vitrail@, and @travail@ (e.g., @vitrail@ > @vitraux@ 'stained glass windows'). However, some exceptions take @-s@ instead: @gouvernail@, @détail@, @portail@, @rail@, @attirail@, and @chandail@ (e.g., @un rail@ > @des rails@ 'rails').

There are seven exceptions among nouns ending in @-ou@, which form their plural with @-x@: @chou@, @genou@, @joujou@, @pou@, @bijou@, @caillou@, @hibou@ (e.g., @genou@ > @genoux@ 'knees'). Nouns such as @bisou@ and @trou@ form their plural regularly by adding @-s@ (e.g., @trou@ > @trous@ 'holes').

Nouns ending in @-au@ and @-eu@ form their plural with the ending @-x@ instead of @-s@ (e.g., @jeu@ becomes @jeux@ 'games', @tuyau@ becomes @tuyaux@ 'pipes'). However, exceptions include the nouns @sarreau@, @landau@, @pneu@, and @bleu@, which take @-s@ to form the plural (e.g., @pneu@ becomes @pneus@ 'tires').

###### Nouns ending in @-al@

For nouns ending in @-al@, the plural is usually @-aux@ (e.g., @journal@ becomes @journaux@ 'newspapers'). However, these eight nouns are exceptions and take @-s@: @bal@, @festival@, @serval@, @régal@, @cal@, @récital@, @carnaval@, @chacal@ (e.g., @le festival@ > @les festivals@).

Nouns with singular endings of @-s@, @-x@, or @-z@ do not undergo changes when forming the plural (e.g., @la croix@ 'the cross' > @les croix@ 'the crosses').

###### § Case

Nouns in @French@ retain a single form regardless of grammatical categories. (Personal pronouns, on the other hand, vary by case and person.)

###### § Gender

All nouns in @French@ are designated with a grammatical gender: masculine or feminine.

## Equivalent Test Set Grammar Excerpt

### — NOUNS —

#### \* Gender

Every @French@ noun has a grammatical gender, either masculine or feminine.

#### \* Number

As in English, @French@ nouns inflect for number.

The plural is usually formed from the singular by adding the morpheme @-s@ (e.g., @maison@ > @maisons@ ‘houses’).

Nouns ending in @-au@ and @-eu@ take the ending @-x@ instead of @-s@ (e.g., @tuyau@ > @tuyaux@ ‘pipes’, @jeu@ > @jeux@ ‘games’), except for the nouns @landau@, @sarrau@, @pneu@, and @bleu@ which form their plural by adding @-s@ (e.g., @pneu@ > @pneus@ ‘tires’).

Seven nouns ending in @-ou@ form their plural with @-x@. These are @genou@, @caillou@, @hibou@, @bijou@, @pou@, @chou@, and @joujou@ (e.g., @genou@ > @genoux@ ‘knees’). All other nouns ending in @-ou@, such as @bisou@ or @trou@, follow the regular plural pattern and take @-s@ (e.g., @trou@ > @trous@ ‘holes’).

Nouns that end in @-s@, @-x@ or @-z@ in the singular do not change in the plural (e.g., @la croix@ ‘the cross’ > @les croix@ ‘the crosses’).

Nouns that end in @-al@ typically change to @-aux@ in the plural (e.g., @journal@ > @journaux@ ‘newspapers’). However, eight nouns ending in @-al@ are exceptions to this rule and form their plural by adding @-s@ instead. These are @bal@, @cal@, @carnaval@, @chacal@, @festival@, @récital@, @serval@ and @régal@ (e.g., @le festival@ > @les festivals@).

Similarly, most nouns ending in @-ail@ change to @-aux@ in the plural. Examples of this pattern include @bail@, @corail@, @émail@, @soupirail@, @travail@, and @vitrail@ (e.g., @vitrail@ > @vitraux@ ‘stained glass windows’). However, some @-ail@ nouns do not follow this pattern and form their plural with @-s@, notably @rail@, @attirail@, @chandail@, @détail@, @gouvernail@ and @portail@ (e.g., @un rail@ > @des rails@ ‘rails’).

#### \* Case

Nouns in @French@ are not inflected for any other grammatical categories. (However, personal pronouns are inflected for case and person.)

## D Dictionary Lemma Counts and Categorization

This appendix provides a detailed breakdown of the lemma counts in the French–English and Latin–English dictionary templates crafted for our experiments. As described in Section 3.1, lemmas

were chosen based on linguistic phenomena in our grammar books and divided into three categories according to their presence and role within these grammar book excerpts:

- **Category A:** Lemmas that appear within illustrative bitexts in the grammar book. This allows the LLM to potentially rely on direct copying or very close adaptation for translation.
- **Category B:** Lemmas that appear *only* within metalinguistic explanations in the grammar book (i.e., not in any illustrative bitexts). These point to rules governing a linguistic phenomenon but do not provide a direct example of the expected translated form. For the French–English and Latin–English dictionaries, this category was primarily populated with nouns as per our design focus for this category.
- **Category C:** Lemmas that are entirely absent from the grammar book. For these, the LLM must infer the applicable rule from the general grammatical explanations to generate or analyze the targeted phenomenon in translation.

The distribution of lemmas across these categories for the French–English dictionary is detailed in Table 8 and for the Latin–English dictionary in Table 9.

Part of Speech	Cat. A	Cat. B	Cat. C	Total
Nouns	11	26	121	158
Adjectives	10	0	66	76
Verbs	2	0	4	6
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>240</b>

Table 8: French–English Dictionary Lemma Distribution

Part of Speech	Cat. A	Cat. B	Cat. C	Total
Nouns	8	4	7	19
Adjectives	2	0	7	9
Verbs	4	0	6	10
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>38</b>

Table 9: Latin–English Dictionary Lemma Distribution

## E Prompt and CoT Demonstration Examples from our Datasets

The following are examples of prompts and completion demonstrations taken from our datasets.

### E.1 ENG→ART: Example Instance from Partition 1 (Plural of French Common Nouns) for ‘True’ French

The following are a prompt template (i.e., unenciphered) for an instance from Partition 1 of our fine-tuning dataset addressing the pluralization of French common nouns, its clear-text CoT demonstration completion, and conlang versions of these two texts (i.e., enciphered). ‘True’ French serves as the conlang matrix for these instances, meaning the encipherment was performed exclusively through substitutions, without transpositions.

#### Clear Text Prompt

@French@ is a recently devised conlang. You are to translate the following English segment into @French@ with the help of a few dictionary entries and excerpts from a grammar book.

Here is the text segment you must translate: the confessions

Here are a few dictionary entries that may be of use to you; note that each entry follows the format: English lemma : @French@ equivalent (grammatical gender and/or part of speech). confession : @aveu@ (masc. n.)

And here are relevant excerpts from a grammar book:

Beginning of @French@ Grammar Book Excerpts

#### ARTICLES AND DETERMINERS

In @French@, articles and determiners are almost always required with common nouns, much more so than in English. These words agree in gender (masculine or feminine) and number (singular or plural) with the noun they modify, though most have a single plural form for both genders.

Although articles are a subclass of determiners, they are usually treated as a distinct category, which is the approach taken here as well.

#### § Articles

@French@ articles include three categories: the definite article (parallel to English ‘the’), the indefinite article (equivalent to ‘a/an’), and the partitive article, used similarly to ‘some’ in English.

#### §§ Definite article

In @French@, the definite article, much like the English ‘the’, is used to refer to a specific noun.

Unlike English, the @French@ article varies depending on the noun’s gender (masculine or feminine) and number (singular or plural).

The definite article always precedes its noun.

For singular nouns:

The definite article for masculine singular nouns is @le@. For instance, "@le chat@" (the cat) uses @le@ to show that @chat@ (cat) is singular and masculine.

For feminine singular nouns, the definite article is @la@. An example is "@la maison@" (the house), where @la@ identifies @maison@ (house) as singular and feminine.

For plural nouns, the article is always @les@, regardless of gender:

- Examples include "@les chats@" (the cats) and "@les maisons@" (the houses).

To sum up, masculine singular nouns use @le@, feminine singular nouns use @la@, and all plural nouns use @les@, reflecting gender and number in @French@.

In front of @i@, @è@, @u@, @e@, some occurrences of @h@, @é@, @ê@, @a@, @y@, and @o@, the masculine singular article @le@ is elided to @l’@.

#### NOUNS

##### § Gender

All nouns in @French@ are designated with a grammatical gender: masculine or feminine.

##### § Number

In @French@, nouns inflect for number in a manner similar to English.

The singular is made plural by the addition of @-s@ (e.g., the singular @maison@ becomes @maisons@, meaning ‘houses’).

Seven nouns with the ending @-ou@ use @-x@ for their plural form: @genou@, @chou@, @caillou@, @bijou@, @joujou@, @pou@, @hibou@ (e.g., @genou@ becomes @genoux@ ‘knees’). Regular nouns like @bisou@ or @trou@ follow the typical pattern and add @-s@ (e.g., @trou@ becomes @trous@ ‘holes’).

The plural of most nouns ending in @-ail@ is formed by changing to @-aux@. Examples of this pattern include @travail@, @corail@, @émail@, @bail@, @soupirail@, and @vitrail@ (e.g., @vitrail@ becomes @vitraux@ ‘stained glass windows’). A few exceptions, however, add @-s@ to form their plural: @chandail@, @attirail@, @rail@, @gouvernail@, @portail@, and @détail@ (e.g., @un rail@ > @des rails@ ‘rails’).

Nouns with singular endings of @-s@, @-x@, or @-z@ do not undergo changes when forming

the plural (e.g., @la croix@ 'the cross' > @les croix@ 'the crosses').

Nouns with endings @-au@ and @-eu@ generally form their plural with @-x@ instead of @-s@ (e.g., @tuyau@ changes to @tuyaux@ 'pipes', @jeu@ to @jeux@ 'games'). Exceptions to this are @landau@, @sarreau@, @pneu@, and @bleu@, which form their plural by adding @-s@ (e.g., @pneu@ becomes @pneus@ 'tires').

Nouns that end in @-al@ generally form their plural by changing to @-aux@ (e.g., @journal@ > @journaux@ 'newspapers'). However, the following eight exceptions form their plural by adding @-s@: @récital@, @bal@, @régal@, @carnaval@, @chacal@, @cal@, @festival@ and @serval@ (e.g., @le festival@ > @les festivals@).

#### § Case

@French@ nouns are not modified for additional grammatical categories. (Although personal pronouns do reflect case and person.)

End of @French@ Grammar Book Excerpts

A reminder that the English sentence you must translate into @French@ is:  
the confessions

You may explain your chain of thoughts prior to producing the required translation. **IMPORTANT:** Do write your translation between tags in the following manner: <translation>your translation here</translation>.

#### Clear Text CoT Demonstration

To translate the phrase "the confessions" into @French@, we need to follow a few steps based on the provided dictionary entries and grammar rules.

##### 1. \*\*Identify the noun and its properties\*\*:

- The English word "confessions" appears to correspond to the @French@ noun "@aveu@", which is a masculine noun according to the dictionary entry.

##### 2. \*\*Determine the Number\*\*:

- "confessions" is the plural form of "confession." According to the grammar rules, the plural of "@aveu@" is formed by adding the morpheme @-x@. Therefore, "@aveu@" should become "@aveux@" in the plural.

##### 3. \*\*Determine the article to use\*\*:

- The definite article in @French@ for plural nouns (regardless of gender) is "@les@."

##### 4. \*\*Combine the article with the plural noun\*\*:

- According to the grammar book, we should place the definite article "@les@" before the plural noun "@aveux@."

Putting it all together, the translation for the confessions in @French@ is:

<translation>@les aveux@</translation>

#### Ciphered Conlang Prompt

Biruméolébu is a recently devised conlang. You are to translate the following English segment into Biruméolébu with the help of a few dictionary entries and excerpts from a grammar book.

Here is the text segment you must translate:  
the confessions

Here are a few dictionary entries that may be of use to you; note that each entry follows the format: English lemma : Biruméolébu equivalent (grammatical gender and/or part of speech).  
confession : dusémép (masc. n.)

And here are relevant excerpts from a grammar book:

Beginning of Biruméolébu Grammar Book  
Excerpts

#### ARTICLES AND DETERMINERS

In Biruméolébu, articles and determiners are almost always required with common nouns, much more so than in English. These words agree in gender (masculine or feminine) and number (singular or plural) with the noun they modify, though most have a single plural form for both genders.

Although articles are a subclass of determiners, they are usually treated as a distinct category, which is the approach taken here as well.

#### § Articles

Biruméolébu articles include three categories: the definite article (parallel to English 'the'), the indefinite article (equivalent to 'a/an'), and the partitive article, used similarly to 'some' in English.

#### §§ Definite article

In Biruméolébu, the definite article, much like the English 'the', is used to refer to a specific noun. Unlike English, the Biruméolébu article varies depending on the noun's gender (masculine or feminine) and number (singular or plural).

The definite article always precedes its noun.

For singular nouns:

The definite article for masculine singular nouns is nmé. For instance, "nmé lénbudumà" (the cat) uses nmé to show that lénbudumà (cat) is singular and masculine.

For feminine singular nouns, the definite article is ndu. An example is "ndu zudurèvko" (the house), where ndu identifies zudurèvko (house) as singular and feminine.

For plural nouns, the article is always nmév, regardless of gender:

- Examples include "nmév lénbudumàv" (the cats) and "nmév zudurèvkov" (the houses).

To sum up, masculine singular nouns use nmé,

feminine singular nouns use *ndu*, and all plural nouns use *nmév*, reflecting gender and number in Biruméolébu.

In front of *rè*, *sè*, *p*, *mé*, some occurrences of *bu*, *h*, *ê*, *du*, *w*, and *k*, the masculine singular article *nmé* is elided to *n'*.

## NOUNS

### § Gender

All nouns in Biruméolébu are designated with a grammatical gender: masculine or feminine.

### § Number

In Biruméolébu, nouns inflect for number in a manner similar to English.

The singular is made plural by the addition of *-v* (e.g., the singular *zudurèvko* becomes *zudurèvkov*, meaning 'houses').

Seven nouns with the ending *-kp* use *-ri* for their plural form: *suméokp*, *lébukp*, *lédurènnkp*, *murèfkp*, *fkpfkp*, *xkp*, *burèmukp* (e.g., *suméokp* becomes *suméokpri* 'knees'). Regular nouns like *murèvkp* or *màrukp* follow the typical pattern and add *-v* (e.g., *màrukp* becomes *màrukpv* 'holes').

The plural of most nouns ending in *-durèn* is formed by changing to *-dupri*. Examples of this pattern include *màrudusédurèn*, *lékrudurèn*, *hzudurèn*, *murudurèn*, *vkpxrèrudurèn*, and *sérèmàrudurèn* (e.g., *sérèmàrudurèn* becomes *sérèmàrudupri* 'stained glass windows'). A few exceptions, however, add *-v* to form their plural: *lébudojdurèn*, *dumàmàrèrudurèn*, *rudurèn*, *sukpséméruodurèn*, *xkrumàdurèn*, and *jhmàdurèn* (e.g., *po* *rudurèn* > *jmév* *rudurènv* 'rails').

Nouns with singular endings of *-v*, *-ri*, or *-c* do not undergo changes when forming the plural (e.g., *ndu* *lérukri* 'the cross' > *nmév* *lérukri* 'the crosses').

Nouns with endings *-dup* and *-mep* generally form their plural with *-ri* instead of *-v* (e.g., *màpwdup* changes to *màpwdupri* 'pipes', *fmep* to *fmepri* 'games'). Exceptions to this are *nduojdup*, *vdururudup*, *xomép*, and *munmep*, which form their plural by adding *-v* (e.g., *xomép* becomes *xomépv* 'tires').

Nouns that end in *-dun* generally form their plural by changing to *-dupri* (e.g., *fkpruodun* > *fkpruodupri* 'newspapers'). However, the following eight exceptions form their plural by adding *-v*: *ruhlérèmàdun*, *mudun*, *ruhsudun*, *léduruodusé-dun*, *lébudulédu*, *lédun*, *ymévmàrèsdun* and *vmérusédu* (e.g., *nmé* *ymévmàrèsdun* > *nmév* *ymévmàrèsdunv*).

### § Case

Biruméolébu nouns are not modified for additional grammatical categories. (Although personal pronouns do reflect case and person.)

End of Biruméolébu Grammar Book Excerpts

A reminder that the English sentence you must translate into Biruméolébu is:  
the confessions

You may explain your chain of thoughts prior to producing the required translation. IMPORTANT: Do write your translation between tags in the following manner: <translation>your translation here</translation>.

## Ciphered CoT Demonstration

To translate the phrase "the confessions" into Biruméolébu, we need to follow a few steps based on the provided dictionary entries and grammar rules.

### 1. \*\*Identify the noun and its properties\*\*:

- The English word "confessions" appears to correspond to the Biruméolébu noun "dusémép," which is a masculine noun according to the dictionary entry.

### 2. \*\*Determine the Number\*\*:

- "confessions" is the plural form of "confession." According to the grammar rules, the plural of "dusémép" is formed by adding the morpheme *-ri*. Therefore, "dusémép" should become "dusémépri" in the plural.

### 3. \*\*Determine the article to use\*\*:

- The definite article in Biruméolébu for plural nouns (regardless of gender) is "nmév."

### 4. \*\*Combine the article with the plural noun\*\*:

- According to the grammar book, we should place the definite article "nmév" before the plural noun "dusémépri."

Putting it all together, the translation for the confessions in Biruméolébu is:

<translation>nmév dusémépri</translation>

## E.2 ENG→ART: Example Instance from Partition 1 (Plural of French Common Nouns) for 'Reversed' French (Enciphered with Additional Transpositions)

The following are a prompt template (i.e., unenciphered) for an instance from Partition 1 of our fine-tuning dataset addressing the pluralization of French common nouns, its clear-text CoT demonstration completion, and conlang versions of these two texts (i.e., randomly enciphered). 'Reversed' French serves as the conlang matrix for these instances, meaning the encipherment involved both substitutions and the additional *s'* transposition. Consequently, the grammar excerpts describe a language significantly different from 'True' French.

## Clear Text Prompt

@French@ is a recently devised conlang. You are to translate the following English segment into @French@ with the help of a few dictionary entries and excerpts from a grammar book.

Here is the text segment you must translate:  
the metals

Here are a few dictionary entries that may be of use to you; note that each entry follows the format: English lemma : @French@ equivalent (grammatical gender and/or part of speech).  
metal : @métal@ (masc. n.)

And here are relevant excerpts from a grammar book:

Beginning of @French@ Grammar Book Excerpts

### ARTICLES AND DETERMINERS

In @French@, determiners, including articles, are required with nearly every common noun, unlike in English. They must match the noun in gender (masculine or feminine) and number (singular or plural), but most have a unified plural form for both genders.

While articles are formally a subset of determiners, they are conventionally treated as separate, and this treatment is adopted here.

#### + Articles

@French@ uses three types of articles: the definite article, which often corresponds to English 'the'; the indefinite article, similar to English 'a/an'; and the partitive article, roughly equivalent to 'some' in English.

#### ++ Definite article

In @French@, definite articles, like the English 'the', indicate specific nouns. However, they adapt to reflect the gender (masculine or feminine) and number (singular or plural) of the noun they modify.

The definite article always comes after the noun it determines.

For singular nouns:

The article @le@ is used with masculine singular nouns. For instance, "@le chat@" (the cat) demonstrates that the noun @chat@ (cat) is singular and masculine.

For feminine singular nouns, the article @la@ applies. An example is "@la maison@" (the house), where @la@ shows that the noun @maison@ (house) is singular and feminine.

For plural nouns, regardless of their gender:

- The article used is @les@ for masculine and feminine plural nouns alike. (e.g., "@les chats@" means the cats; "@les maisons@" means the houses.)

To summarize, @le@ corresponds to masculine singular nouns, @la@ to feminine singular nouns, and @les@ to all plural nouns, marking both grammatical gender and number in @French@.

Whenever the singular masculine article @le@ is preceded by @é@, @è@, @e@, @ê@, some occurrences of @h@, @i@, @a@, @o@, @u@, or @y@, it changes to @l'@.

### NOUNS

#### + Case

@French@ nouns are not modified for additional grammatical categories. (Although personal pronouns do reflect case and person.)

#### + Number

Unlike English, @French@ plural forms are created using prefixation.

This involves adding the morpheme @-s@ as a prefix to the singular noun (for example, @maison@ > @maisons@ 'houses').

There are seven nouns beginning with @-ou@ that take the prefix @-x@ in their plural form. These nouns are: @chou@, @pou@, @hibou@, @joujou@, @caillou@, @bijou@, and @genou@ (e.g., @genou@ -> @genoux@ 'knees'). All other nouns starting with @-ou@, such as @bisou@ and @trou@, follow the regular plural pattern by prefixing @-s@ (e.g., @trou@ -> @trous@ 'holes').

Nouns starting with @-al@ generally pluralize to @-aux@ (e.g., @journal@ -> @journaux@ 'newspapers'). A few exceptions, however, take @-s@ as their plural marker: @récital@, @festival@, @régal@, @bal@, @serval@, @carnaval@, @chacal@, and @cal@ (e.g., @le festival@ -> @les festivals@).

Singular nouns starting with @-z@, @-x@, or @-s@ retain their form in the plural (e.g., @la croix@ 'the cross' > @les croix@ 'the crosses').

The plural of nouns beginning with @-au@ and @-eu@ is typically formed by prefixing @-x@ instead of @-s@ (e.g., @tuyau@ > @tuyaux@ 'pipes', @jeu@ > @jeux@ 'games'). However, the nouns @landau@, @sarreau@, @pneu@, and @bleu@ are exceptions and prefix @-s@ (e.g., @pneu@ > @pneus@ 'tires').

Nouns that start with @-ail@ generally form their plural with @-aux@. Examples are: @bail@, @émail@, @corail@, @soupirail@, @travail@, and @vitrail@ (e.g., @un vitrail@ becomes @des vitraux@ 'stained-glass windows'). However, some exceptions take @-s@ as their plural marker: @gouvernail@, @portail@, @attirail@, @détail@, @rail@, and @chandail@ (e.g., @le rail@ becomes @les rails@ 'the rails').

#### + Gender

@French@ nouns are always associated with a grammatical gender, either masculine or feminine.

## End of @French@ Grammar Book Excerpts

A reminder that the English sentence you must translate into @French@ is:  
the metals

You may explain your chain of thoughts prior to producing the required translation. IMPORTANT: Do write your translation between tags in the following manner: <translation>your translation here</translation>.

## Clear Text CoT Demonstration

To translate the phrase "the metals" into @French@, we need to follow a few steps based on the provided dictionary entries and grammar rules.

### 1. \*\*Identify the noun and its properties\*\*:

- The English word "metals" appears to correspond to the @French@ noun "@métal@", which is a masculine noun according to the dictionary entry.

### 2. \*\*Determine the Number\*\*:

- "metals" is the plural form of "metal." According to the grammar rules, the plural of "@métal@" is formed by replacing the singular morpheme @-al@ with the morpheme @-aux@. Therefore, "@métal@" should become "@métaux@" in the plural.

### 3. \*\*Determine the article to use\*\*:

- The definite article in @French@ for plural nouns (regardless of gender) is "@les@."

### 4. \*\*Combine the article with the plural noun\*\*:

- According to the grammar book, we should place the definite article "@les@" after the plural noun "@métaux@."

Putting it all together, the translation for the metals in @French@ is:

<translation>@les métaux@</translation>

## Ciphered Conlang Prompt

Tedufebéuc is a recently devised conlang. You are to translate the following English segment into Tedufebéuc with the help of a few dictionary entries and excerpts from a grammar book.

Here is the text segment you must translate:  
the metals

Here are a few dictionary entries that may be of use to you; note that each entry follows the format: English lemma : Tedufebéuc equivalent (grammatical gender and/or part of speech).  
metal : verekha (masc. n.)

And here are relevant excerpts from a grammar book:

Beginning of Tedufebéuc Grammar Book Excerpts

## ARTICLES AND DETERMINERS

In Tedufebéuc, determiners, including articles, are required with nearly every common noun, unlike in English. They must match the noun in gender (masculine or feminine) and number (singular or plural), but most have a unified plural form for both genders.

While articles are formally a subset of determiners, they are conventionally treated as separate, and this treatment is adopted here.

### + Articles

Tedufebéuc uses three types of articles: the definite article, which often corresponds to English 'the'; the indefinite article, similar to English 'a/an'; and the partitive article, roughly equivalent to 'some' in English.

### ++ Definite article

In Tedufebéuc, definite articles, like the English 'the', indicate specific nouns. However, they adapt to reflect the gender (masculine or feminine) and number (singular or plural) of the noun they modify.

The definite article always comes after the noun it determines.

For singular nouns:

The article ebv is used with masculine singular nouns. For instance, "ekerted ebv" (the cat) demonstrates that the noun ekerted (cat) is singular and masculine.

For feminine singular nouns, the article erv applies. An example is "ufzyciera erv" (the house), where erv shows that the noun ufzyciera (house) is singular and feminine.

For plural nouns, regardless of their gender:

- The article used is ycebv for masculine and feminine plural nouns alike. (e.g., "ycekerted ycebv" means the cats; "yculzyciera ycebv" means the houses.)

To summarize, ebv corresponds to masculine singular nouns, erv to feminine singular nouns, and ycebv to all plural nouns, marking both grammatical gender and number in Tedufebéuc.

Whenever the singular masculine article ebv is preceded by h, p, eb, ê, some occurrences of t, i, er, z, è, or ç, it changes to 'v.

## NOUNS

### + Case

Tedufebéuc nouns are not modified for additional grammatical categories. (Although personal pronouns do reflect case and person.)

### + Number

Unlike English, Tedufebéuc plural forms are created using prefixation.

This involves adding the morpheme *yc-* as a prefix to the singular noun (for example, *ufzyciera* > *ycufzyciera* 'houses').

There are seven nouns beginning with *èz-* that take the prefix *àf-* in their plural form. These nouns are: *èzted*, *èzs*, *èzlit*, *èzonèzon*, *èzvviered*, *èzonil*, and *èzufebàk* (e.g., *èzufebàk* → *àfèzufebàk* 'knees'). All other nouns starting with *èz-*, such as *èzycil* and *èzéek*, follow the regular plural pattern by prefixing *yc-* (e.g., *èzéek* → *ycèzéek* 'holes').

Nouns starting with *ver-* generally pluralize to *àfèer-* (e.g., *veruféèzon* → *àfèeruféèzon* 'newspapers'). A few exceptions, however, take *yc-* as their plural marker: *verekiedhé*, *verqiekycebus*, *veràkhé*, *verl*, *verqéebyc*, *verqeruféered*, *verederted*, and *vered* (e.g., *verqiekycebus* *ebv* → *ycverqiekycebus* *ycebv*).

Singular nouns starting with *ob-*, *àf-*, or *yc-* retain their form in the plural (e.g., *àfizéed* *erv* 'the cross' > *àfizéed* *ycebv* 'the crosses').

The plural of nouns beginning with *èer-* and *èeb-* is typically formed by prefixing *àf-* instead of *yc-* (e.g., *èerçeek* > *àfèerçeek* 'pipes', *èebon* > *àfèebon* 'games'). However, the nouns *èerguerv*, *èeréeryc*, *èebufs*, and *èebvl* are exceptions and prefix *yc-* (e.g., *èebufs* > *ycèebufs* 'tires').

Nouns that start with *vier-* generally form their plural with *àfèer-*. Examples are: *vierl*, *vierah*, *vierézed*, *vieréisèzyc*, *vierqeréek*, and *vieréekiq* (e.g., *vieréekiq* *ufè* becomes *àfèeréekiq* *ycebg* 'stained-glass windows'). However, some exceptions take *yc-* as their plural marker: *vieruféebqèzàk*, *vierekézs*, *vieréiekeker*, *vierekhg*, *vieré*, and *vieruferted* (e.g., *vieré* *ebv* becomes *ycvieré* *ycebv* 'the rails').

#### + Gender

Tedufebéuc nouns are always associated with a grammatical gender, either masculine or feminine.

End of Tedufebéuc Grammar Book Excerpts

A reminder that the English sentence you must translate into Tedufebéuc is:  
the metals

You may explain your chain of thoughts prior to producing the required translation. **IMPORTANT:** Do write your translation between tags in the following manner: <translation>your translation here</translation>.

#### Ciphered CoT Demonstration

To translate the phrase "the metals" into Tedufebéuc, we need to follow a few steps based on the provided dictionary entries and grammar rules.

##### 1. \*\*Identify the noun and its properties\*\*:

- The English word "metals" appears to correspond to the Tedufebéuc noun "verekha," which is a masculine noun according to the dictionary entry.

##### 2. \*\*Determine the Number\*\*:

"metals" is the plural form of "metal." According to the grammar rules, the plural of "verekha" is formed by replacing the singular morpheme *ver-* with the morpheme *àfèer-*. Therefore, "verekha" should become "àfèerekha" in the plural.

##### 3. \*\*Determine the article to use\*\*:

- The definite article in Tedufebéuc for plural nouns (regardless of gender) is "ycebv."

##### 4. \*\*Combine the article with the plural noun\*\*:

- According to the grammar book, we should place the definite article "ycebv" after the plural noun "àfèerekha."

Putting it all together, the translation for the metals in Tedufebéuc is:

<translation>àfèerekha ycebv</translation>

### E.3 ENG→ART: Example Instance from Partition 5F (French verbal morphology, and plural & position of French feminine common nouns and adjectives)

The following example is a conlang prompt (i.e., ciphered) taken from the 5f partition, and is more representative of our dataset overall, where prompts tend to be rather long, owing to the complexity and variety of linguistic phenomena addressed in all but the first three partitions (1, 2m, 2f). It is followed by its CoT demonstration.

Ciphered Prompt for the 5f partition in the art→eng translation direction

Cosacévgze is a recently devised conlang. You are to translate the following English segment into Cosacévgze with the help of a few dictionary entries and excerpts from a grammar book.

Here is the text segment you must translate:  
we were admiring the playful mice

Here are a few dictionary entries that may be of use to you; note that each entry follows the format: English lemma : Cosacévgze equivalent (grammatical gender and/or part of speech).  
admire (v.) : hèfwésacésà (1st group v.)  
mouse : tezàkesàwéte (fem. n.)  
playful : cévpàzàkeqo (adj.)

And here are relevant excerpts from a grammar book:

Beginning of Cosacévgze Grammar Book Excerpts

#### WORD ORDER

In Cosacévgze, sentences are generally constructed using subject-verb-object (SVO) order.

For example: pà'hèzàsàcé lh fketewénkecé ('I love music').

## NOUNS

### + Number

In Cosàcévgze, nouns inflect for number in a manner similar to English.

The singular is made plural by the addition of -te (e.g., the singular fhwétezàv becomes fhwétezàvté, meaning 'houses').

### Nouns with no change

Nouns that end in -te, -b, or -x keep the same spelling in the plural as in the singular (e.g., lh gsàzàwéb 'the cross' remains lcéte gsàzàwéb 'the crosses').

For seven nouns ending in -zàke, the plural is formed with -b: acévzàke, zewéjezàke, tozàke, jewépàzàke, gzezàke, pàzàkepàzàke, ghwéllzàke (e.g., acévzàke becomes acévzàkeb 'knees'). Others, like jewétezàke or ysàzàke, take the regular plural suffix -te (e.g., ysàzàke becomes ysàzàkete 'holes').

Nouns that end in -hl generally form their plural by changing to -hkeb (e.g., pàzàkesàvhhl > pàzàkesàvhkeb 'newspapers'). However, the following eight exceptions form their plural by adding -te: sàqogwéyhl, jehl, sàqoahl, gzhéghhl, tecésàuhhl, ghl, ghsàvhuhl and dcéteywéuhl (e.g., lcé dcéteywéuhl > lcéte dcéteywéuhlté).

Nouns ending in -hke and -céke form their plural with the ending -b instead of -te (e.g., pàcéke becomes pàcékeb 'games', ykewohke becomes ykewohkeb 'pipes'). However, exceptions include the nouns tehsàsàhke, lhvèhke, tovcéke, and jelcéke, which take -te to form the plural (e.g., tovcéke becomes tovcékete 'tires').

Typically, nouns ending in -hwél pluralize with -hkeb. Examples following this rule are tezàketowésàhwél, ysàhuhwél, qofhwél, uwéysàhwél, jehwél, and gzàsàhwél (e.g., uwéysàhwél becomes uwéysàhkeb 'stained glass windows'). Yet, there are exceptions, which instead take -te: sàhwél, azàkeucésàvhwél, hyywésàhwél, tozàsàyhwél, èqoyhwél, and gzhéhvèhwél (e.g., kev sàhwél > ècété sàhwélté 'rails').

### + Case

Nouns in Cosàcévgze retain a single form regardless of grammatical categories. (Personal pronouns, on the other hand, vary by case and person.)

### + Gender

In Cosàcévgze, every noun has a grammatical gender, categorized as either masculine or feminine.

## ADJECTIVES

Cosàcévgze adjectives must agree in gender and number with the noun they modify, resulting in four standard forms: masculine singular, feminine

singular, masculine plural, and feminine plural. Certain adjectives, such as jecéhke and vzàkeucéhke, also use a fifth form before nouns beginning with qo, wé, cé, qà, ke, è, wo, h, some occurrences of ze, or zà. Examples include: kev jecéhke pàhsàèwévé (a beautiful garden), kev jecél zezàffcé (a handsome man), kevcé jecéllcé dcéffcé (a beautiful woman), lcéte jecéhkeb cévdhyte (the beautiful children), and lcéte jecéllcété fhwétezàvté (the beautiful houses).

In dictionaries, adjectives are listed in their masculine singular form, which is their base. Most feminine forms are derived by adding -cé to the masculine. Examples: lcévy > lcévyccé, tocésàtehv > tocésàtehvccé, asàhvè > asàhvccé.

Occasionally, small changes occur when forming feminine adjectives. Adjectives with masculine forms ending in -zàte, -hte, -wél, -cév, -cél, or -zàv double their final consonant before appending -cé. Examples are: hvgwécv > hvgwécvccé, jezàv > jezàvccé, acévywél > acévywéllcé, asàzàte > asàzàteteccé, gsàkecél > gsàkecélccé, and jehte > jehteteccé.

Furthermore, specific changes are applied to form feminine adjectives:

- -cé changes to -qàucé (e.g., jesàcé > jesàqàucé);
- -césà changes to -qàsàcé (e.g., qoysàhvacsà > qoysàhvaqàsàcé);
- -zàke has a special form -zàl (becoming -zàllcé in feminine) that appears before wo, some occurrences of ze, cé, h, è, qà, ke, qo, zà, or wé (e.g., dzàke/dzàl > dzàllcé, fzàke/fzàl > fzàllcé);
- -céy changes to -qàycé (e.g., wévnkewécéy > wévnkewéqàycé);
- -cékesà or -cékeb change to -céketecé (e.g., tosàzàfcéyycékesà > tosàzàfcéyycéketecé, dkesàwécékeb > dkesàwécéketecé), with the exception of the irregular uwécékeb (old) whose feminine form is uwécéwéllcé;
- -a changes to -akecé (e.g., lzàva > lzàvakecé);
- -g changes to -gzecé in the feminine (e.g., jelhvg > jelhvgzecé);
- -wé changes to -wéucé (e.g., hgywé > hgywéucé).

Adjectives with a basic form ending in -cé do not change in the feminine. For instance: kev zezàffcé sàwégzecé becomes kevcé dcéffcé sàwégzecé ('a rich man' becomes 'a rich woman').

Plurals in Cosàcévgze are usually created by adding -te to the singular form for both masculine and feminine adjectives (e.g., pàzàlwé > pàzàlwéte, pàzàlwécé > pàzàlwécéte).

All Cosàcévgze adjectives ending in -céhke take the ending -b in the masculine plural (e.g., vzàkeucéhke > vzàkeucéhkeb). Adjectives ending in -hl normally change to -hkeb in the masculine plural (e.g., zewéucésàvhhl > zewéucésàvhkeb, gcévysàhl > gcévysàhkeb), with exceptions: dhyhl > dhyhlte, vhuhl > vhuhlte. If the basic form ends in -te, -b, or -x, the masculine plural does not change (e.g., jehte > jehte, aqovqosàcékeb > aqovqosàcékeb, èzàkeb > èzàkeb). The feminine plural consistently adheres to the general rule: gcévysàhlccé > gcévysàhlcéte.

Adjective placement in Cosacévgze often involves placing the adjective after the noun, as with colors (e.g., *lcé uwév sàzàkeacé*, 'the red wine'). However, short, common adjectives related to beauty, age, goodness, or size (BAGS) precede the noun. Some examples are: *vzàkeucéhke* (new), *zehkey* (high), *tocéywéy* (small), *pàzàlwé* (pretty), *jesàcé* (brief), *lzàva* (long), *fhkeuhwéte* (bad), *pàcèkevcé* (young), *dhkeb* (false), *asàhvè* (big/tall), *jecéhke* (beautiful), *fcéwéllcésà* (best), *jezàv* (good), *asàzàte* (fat/large), and *uwécékeb* (old); e.g., *kevcé jecéllcé dcéffcé* ('a beautiful woman').

## ARTICLES AND DETERMINERS

In Cosacévgze, determiners, including articles, are required with nearly every common noun, unlike in English. They must match the noun in gender (masculine or feminine) and number (singular or plural), but most have a unified plural form for both genders.

While articles are formally a subset of determiners, they are conventionally treated as separate, and this treatment is adopted here.

### Articles

Three types of articles exist in Cosacévgze: the definite article, which corresponds to English 'the'; the indefinite article, akin to 'a/an'; and the partitive article, comparable to 'some' in English.

#### Definite article

Definite articles in Cosacévgze are comparable to the English word 'the' and are used to point to specific nouns. However, they differ by being inflected according to the noun's gender (masculine or feminine) and number (singular or plural).

The definite article is always positioned before the noun.

#### For singular nouns:

The article *lcé* is used with masculine singular nouns. For instance, "*lcé gzehy*" (the cat) demonstrates that the noun *gzehy* (cat) is singular and masculine.

For feminine singular nouns, the article *lh* applies. An example is "*lh fhwétezàv*" (the house), where *lh* shows that the noun *fhwétezàv* (house) is singular and feminine.

For plural nouns, the article is always *lcéte*, regardless of gender:

- Examples include "*lcéte gzehyte*" (the cats) and "*lcéte fhwétezàvte*" (the houses).

To sum up, masculine singular nouns use *lcé*, feminine singular nouns use *lh*, and all plural nouns use *lcéte*, reflecting gender and number in Cosacévgze.

Before some occurrences of *ze*, *qo*, *qà*, *h*, *ê*,

*wo*, *zà*, *ke*, *cé*, and *wé*, the singular masculine article *lcé* becomes *l'*.

+++++

## VERBS

+++++

Verbs in Cosacévgze are conjugated to indicate several grammatical features:

Mood: indicative, imperative, subjunctive, or conditional

Tense: past, present, or future (not all tenses combine with all moods)

Aspect: perfective or imperfective

Voice: active, passive, or reflexive

Nonfinite forms: participles, gerunds, infinitives

Finite mood verbs (indicative, imperative, subjunctive, conditional) also conjugate to agree with their subjects in person (first, second, or third) and number (singular or plural). As in English, the subject must be expressed (except in the imperative mood), meaning Cosacévgze is not a null-subject or pro-drop language.

Auxiliary verbs combine with past participles of main verbs to form compound tenses, such as the compound past. Most main verbs use the auxiliary *huzàwésà* ('to have'), while reflexive and certain intransitive verbs use forms of *éysàcé* ('to be'). The participle agrees with the subject when the auxiliary is *éysàcé*, and with a preceding direct object (if any) when the auxiliary is *huzàwésà*. Forms of *éysàcé* are also used to create the passive voice by combining with past participles of transitive verbs.

## Morphology

In Cosacévgze, verbs are conjugated to reflect mood, tense, and agreement with the subject in both person and number. The set of all inflected forms of a verb is known as its conjugation, a term derived from Latin grammar.

## Stems and Endings

Simple (one-word) verb forms in Cosacévgze are made up of a stem (or root), which identifies the verb, and an ending (inflection), which conveys the verb's mood, tense, and subject's person and number. Some second-conjugation verbs add a suffix *-wéte-* between the stem and the ending.

### Examples:

- In *tohsàlhwécévy*, *tohsàl-* is the stem for *tohsàlcésà* (to speak), and *-hwécévy* marks third-person plural imperfect indicative.
- In *dwévwétezàvte*, the stem *dwév-* is from *dwévwésà* (to finish), followed by *-wéte-*, with *-zàvte* indicating first-person plural present indicative or imperative.

Verb conjugations combine with subject pronouns to indicate the subject of the verb.

## Fixed Stem Principle

Stems in the first and second conjugations typically remain unchanged:

- tohsàlcésà: pàcé tohsàlcésàhwéte, yke tohsàlhte, nke'wélte tohsàlhütetecévy, nkecé vzàkete tohsàlwézàvte, tohsàlcéx...
- dwévwésà: pàcé dwévwésàhwéte, uzàkete dwévýcete, nke'wélte dwévwéttetecévy, dwévwéte, nkecé vzàkete dwévwéttetewézàvte...

In third-conjugation verbs, stems often vary, even within a single tense:

- uzàkelzàwésà: pàcé ucékeb, yke ucékeb, wél ucékey, vzàkete uzàkelzàvte, uzàkete uzàkelcéx, wélte ucékelcévy.

Generally, however, nothing is removed from the stem.

### Endings

Endings indicate:

- Mood and tense for all verbs
- Person and number for finite verbs
- Gender and number for past participles

While most verbs adhere to predictable ending patterns, highly common verbs like huzàwésà, êysàcé, hllcésà, and dhwésàcé are considered irregular.

### Formation of Simple Tenses (Active)

Simple tenses are formed without auxiliaries. More details are provided in the following section.

### Infinitive

The infinitive form of a verb serves as its base name in Cosàcévgze. Based on their infinitives, verbs fall into three groups:

- First group: Verbs ending in -césà. The exception is hllcésà, which is irregular and belongs to the third group.

Examples are tosàzàfcévcésà, gzhelycésà, hwéfcésà, jehlhwocésà, sàcéahsàècésà, dcésàfcésà, cévuzawocésà, tohwocésà, tohtetecésà, and fhvacésà.

Example: tohsàlcésà: stem tohsàl-, ending -césà.

- Second group: Verbs ending in -wésà with present participles ending in -wétechvy. Examples are zehísà, tehlwésà, tozàlwésà, èqoakecésàtowésà, wévucéteywésà, jeqovwésà, dlcékesàwésà, asàhvèwésà, sàzàkeawésà, sàkeawésà, and gzàftohywésà.

Example: dwévwésà: stem dwév-, ending -wésà.

- Third group: All other verbs, including irregular verbs like hllcésà.

Note: Auxiliary verbs such as êysàcé and huzàwésà are traditionally classified separately from the third conjugation.

### Future

The future tense endings in Cosàcévgze correspond to the present indicative forms of the verb

huzàwésà. These regular endings are: -hwé, -hte, -h, -zàvte, -cex, -zàvy.

The future stem is formed by taking the verb's infinitive and appending the appropriate ending. Examples include:

- pàcé gzezàwétewésàhwé ('I will choose')
- yke sàcéahsàècésàh ('you will watch')
- céllc tezàsàywésàh ('she will exit')
- vzàkete ysàhuhwéllcésàzàvte ('we will work')
- uzàkete sàzàkeawésàcex ('you will blush')
- wélte tohsàywésàzàvy ('they will leave')

Many third-group verbs feature irregular future stems, although their endings remain consistent.

### Example:

— 1st Group: sàcéahsàècésà (to watch)

#### \*\*Singular Forms\*\*

- \*\*1st person:\*\* pàcé sàcéahsàècésàhwé
- \*\*2nd person:\*\* yke sàcéahsàècésàh
- \*\*3rd person:\*\* wél/céllc/zàv sàcéahsàècésàh

#### \*\*Plural Forms\*\*

- \*\*1st person:\*\* vzàkete sàcéahsàècésàzàvte
- \*\*2nd person:\*\* uzàkete sàcéahsàècésàcex
- \*\*3rd person:\*\* wélte/céllcete sàcéahsàècésàzàvy

— 2nd Group: gzezàwétewésà (to choose)

#### \*\*Singular Forms\*\*

- \*\*1st person:\*\* pàcé gzezàwétewésàhwé
- \*\*2nd person:\*\* yke gzezàwétewésàh
- \*\*3rd person:\*\* wél/céllc/zàv gzezàwétewésàh

#### \*\*Plural Forms\*\*

- \*\*1st person:\*\* vzàkete gzezàwétewésàzàvte
- \*\*2nd person:\*\* uzàkete gzezàwétewésàcex
- \*\*3rd person:\*\* wélte/céllcete gzezàwétewésàzàvy

— 3rd Group: ècétegcévèsàcé (to go down)

#### \*\*Singular Forms\*\*

- \*\*1st person:\*\* pàcé ècétegcévèsàhwé
- \*\*2nd person:\*\* yke ècétegcévèsàh
- \*\*3rd person:\*\* wél/céllc/zàv ècétegcévèsàh

#### \*\*Plural Forms\*\*

- \*\*1st person:\*\* vzàkete ècétegcévèsàzàvte
- \*\*2nd person:\*\* uzàkete ècétegcévèsàcex
- \*\*3rd person:\*\* wélte/céllcete ècétegcévèsàzàvy

\*\*Note:\*\* The following verbs have a double sà in the future tense: cévuzawocésà (pà'cévucésàsàhwé), hgnkeqosàwésà and gzàvnkeqosàwésà (pà'hnkècésàsàhwé, pàcé gzàvnkècésàsàhwé), tozàkeuzàwésà (pàcé tozàkesàsàhwé), uzàwésà (pàcé ucésàsàhwé), sàcévuzàwocésà (pàcé sàcévucésàsàhwé),

gzàkesàwésà (pàcé gzàkesàhwé), fzàkesàwésà (pàcé fzàkesàhwé), gzezàwésà and qogzezàwésà (wél gzezsàsàh, wél qogzezsàsàh).

### Past participle

Past participles in Cosàcèvgze, unlike present participles and gerundives, are inflected for gender and number by adding -cé and -te, similar to adjectives. For example: "kev dsàkewéy gzàvdwéy", "kevcé tozàwésàcé gzàvdwéyé", "ècête dsàkewéyte gzàvdwéyé", and "ècête tozàwésàcéte gzàvdwéyé".

The masculine singular form of a past participle usually ends in -go for first-group verbs and -wé for second-group verbs. Third-group verbs, however, display a variety of endings, such as:

- -ke: (cévycévèsàcé cévycévèke, jezàwésàcé jeke, lwésàcé lke, etc.; tehuzàwésà teke, uzàwésà uke, tozàkeuzàwésà toke)
- -wéte: (fcéyyasàcé fwéte, tosàcèvèsàcé tosàwéte, etc.)
- -kete: (wévglkesàcé wévglkete, sàcèglkesàcé sàcèglkete; these are the only examples)
- -wéy: (fhkeèwésàcé fhkeèwéy, èwésàcé èwéy, etc.)
- -y: (verbs ending in -wévèsàcé, e.g., tocéwévèsàcé tocéwéy)
- -césày: (zàkeusàwésà zàkeucésày, gzàkeusàwésà gzàkeucésày, zàddssàwésà zàddcésày, tezàkeddssàwésà tezàkeddcsày)
- -céke: (huzàwésà céke).

### Compound Tenses

Cosàcèvgze compound tenses are formed by using an auxiliary verb (huzàwésà or èysàcé) alongside the past participle of the primary verb. They are applied to express completed actions or events related to a particular point in time. There is a range of compound tenses in Cosàcèvgze, each adding a unique layer of detail to the chronology of actions.

### Compound Past

The tohteteqo gzàftozàteqo, or compound past, is one of the most commonly used tenses in Cosàcèvgze. It is employed to narrate completed past actions and corresponds to the English simple past tense. To form this tense, the auxiliary verb (huzàwésà or èysàcé) in the present tense is paired with the past participle of the main verb. For example: "pà'hwé fhvaqo" ('I ate') or "wél cétey hsàsàwéuqo" ('He arrived'). huzàwésà is generally used as the auxiliary verb, except for certain verbs (especially those denoting movement or state change) and all reflexive verbs, which use èysàcé. If uncertain, huzàwésà is the safer option.

#### 1st Group: sàcéahsàècésà (to watch)

##### Singular:

- 1st person: pà'hwé sàcéahsàèqo
- 2nd person: yke hte sàcéahsàèqo
- 3rd person: wél/céllcé/zàv h sàcéahsàèqo

Plural:

- 1st person: vzàkete huzàvte sàcéahsàèqo
- 2nd person: uzàkete hucéx sàcéahsàèqo
- 3rd person: wélte/céllcéte zàv sàcéahsàèqo

#### 2nd Group: gzezàwétewésà (to choose)

##### Singular:

- 1st person: pà'hwé gzezàwétewé
- 2nd person: yke hte gzezàwétewé
- 3rd person: wél/céllcé/zàv h gzezàwétewé

##### Plural:

- 1st person: vzàkete huzàvte gzezàwétewé
- 2nd person: uzàkete hucéx gzezàwétewé
- 3rd person: wélte/céllcéte zàv gzezàwétewé

#### 3rd Group: ècètegcévèsàcé (to go down)

##### Singular:

- 1st person: pàcé tekewéte ècètegcévèke(cé)
- 2nd person: yke céte ècètegcévèke(cé)
- 3rd person: wél/céllcé/zàv cétey ècètegcévèke(cé)

##### Plural:

- 1st person: vzàkete tezàffcété ècètegcévèke(cé)te
- 2nd person: uzàkete èycéte ècètegcévèke(cé)(te)
- 3rd person: wélte/céllcéte tezàv y ècètegcévèke(cé)te

### Past participle agreement

Cosàcèvgze past participles are used in three ways: as adjectives, in passive voice constructions, and in compound tense formations. When acting as an adjective, they conform to standard adjective agreement rules. In the passive voice, they must always agree with the subject of the sentence. In compound tenses like the compound past, agreement rules are complex, involving the interplay between their descriptive role (requiring agreement) and their role in tense formation (which does not typically require agreement).

When the auxiliary is èysàcé and the verb is reflexive, agreement rules align with those for verbs using huzàwésà. The reflexive pronoun corresponds to either the direct or indirect object of the verb.

If the direct object precedes the past participle, agreement is required:

- (direct object pronoun) pà'hwé dhwéy kevcé yhsàycé. Icété cévdhyte tecé lh tezàv y tohsàyhaqocé. ('I made a pie. The children shared it.')
- (nkcé relative) lh yhsàycé nkcé icété cévdhyte tecé tezàv y tohsàyhaqocé ('the pie that the children shared')
- (wh-question) nkcéllcé yhsàycé tecé tezàv y-wéte tohsàyhaqocé ? ('Which pie did they share?')

The reflexive pronoun itself may act as the direct object, necessitating agreement with the subject. This includes inherently reflexive verbs:

- (ordinary reflexive) céllcéte tecé tezàv y tohsàyhaqocé. vzàkete vzàkete tezàffcété tehlkeqote. ('They (fem.) followed each other. We greeted each other.')
- (inherently reflexive) wélte tecé tezàv y fzànkéqote ècè fzawé. vzàkete vzàkete tezàffcété tezàkeucévkéte ècè l'quqovcécéfy. ('They made fun of me. We remembered the event.') No agreement occurs if there is no direct object, or the direct object follows the past participle. In these cases, the reflexive pronoun serves as the indirect object:
- (no direct object) céllcéte tecé tezàv y tekeggqoèqo. vzàkete vzàkete tezàffcété tohsàlqo. ('They (fem.) succeeded one another. We spoke with each other.')

- (direct object after verb) céllcéte tecé tezàvy tozàteqo ècete nkecéteywézàvte. ('They (fem.) asked each other some questions.'

Agreement occurs when the auxiliary is êysàcé and the verb is non-reflexive. The past participle agrees with the subject. Example:

céllcéte tezàvy hsàsàwéuqocéte. ('They (fem.) arrived.'

The auxiliary verb is huzàwésà.

If there is no direct object or the direct object appears after the past participle, the past participle does not agree (it takes the default masculine singular form):

- (intransitive) céllcéte zàvy èzàsàfwé. ('They (fem.) slept.'
- (direct object after verb) glhwésàcé h uke ècékeb jehlcéwévcéte. ('glhwésàcé saw two whales.'

If there is a direct object and it appears before the past participle, the participle must agree with it. Examples include:

- (pronoun before the auxiliary) wél wo huhwéy ècékeb jehlcéwévcéte. glhwésàcé lcéte h ukecéte. ('There were two whales. glhwésàcé saw them.'
- (clause-initial wh-question element) nkecéllcéte jehlcéwévcéte glhwésàcé h-y-céllcé ukecéte ? ('Which whales did glhwésàcé see?')
- (relative clause introduced by nkecé) lcéte ècékeb jehlcéwévcéte nkecé glhwésàcé h ukecéte ('The two whales that glhwésàcé saw.'

This rule is one of the most challenging in Cosàcévgze.

#### Imperfect Indicative

The imperfect indicative expresses ongoing or continuous actions in the past. For example, "pàcé sàcéahsàèhwéte" means "I was watching."

The stem is invariant for each verb and is derived as follows:

- \*\*First group (-césà verbs):\*\* Remove the -césà from the infinitive, e.g., sàcéahsàècésà -> sàcéahsàè-
- \*\*Second group (-wésà verbs):\*\* Remove -wésà and add -wéte, e.g., gzezàwétewésà -> gzezàwétewéte-
- \*\*Third group:\*\* Use the stem of the first-person plural present indicative.

The endings are the same for all verbs: -hwéte, -hwéte, -hwéy, -wézàvte, -wécéx, -hwécévy.

Example:

1st Group: sàcéahsàècésà (to watch)

In the singular:

- 1st person: pàcé sàcéahsàèhwéte
- 2nd person: yke sàcéahsàèhwéte
- 3rd person: wél/céllcé/zàv sàcéahsàèhwéy

In the plural:

- 1st person: vzàkete sàcéahsàèwézàvte
- 2nd person: uzàkete sàcéahsàèwécéx
- 3rd person: wélte/céllcéte sàcéahsàèhwécévy

2nd Group: gzezàwétewésà (to choose)

In the singular:

- 1st person: pàcé gzezàwétewétehwéte
- 2nd person: yke gzezàwétewétehwéte
- 3rd person: wél/céllcé/zàv gzezàwétewétehwéy

In the plural:

- 1st person: vzàkete gzezàwétewétehwézàvte
- 2nd person: uzàkete gzezàwétewétehwécéx
- 3rd person: wélte/céllcéte gzezàwétewétehwécévy

Special Note: For the verb êysàcé, the stem is qoy-, and the endings are the same.

#### Present Conditional

The endings for the present conditional in Cosàcévgze are always the same: -hwéte, -hwéte, -hwéy, -wézàvte, -wécéx, -hwécévy. They are regular for all verbs.

The stem used in this tense matches the stem of the future tense. Some examples include:

- pàcé gzezàwétewésàhwéte ('I would choose')
- yke sàcéahsàècésàhwéte ('you would watch')
- céllcé tezàsàwézàhwéy ('she would exit')
- vzàkete ysàhuhwéllcézàhwézàvte ('we would work')
- uzàkete sàzàkeawézàhwécéx ('you would blush')
- wélte tohsàywézàhwécévy ('they would leave')

Examples:

1st Group: sàcéahsàècésà (to look)

+++++  
Person Singular Plural

1st person pàcé sàcéahsàècésàhwéte vzàkete sàcéahsàècésàwézàvte

2nd person yke sàcéahsàècésàhwéte uzàkete sàcéahsàècésàwécéx

3rd person wél/céllcé/zàv sàcéahsàècésàhwéy wélte/céllcéte sàcéahsàècésàhwécévy

+++++  
2nd Group: gzezàwétewésà (to choose)

+++++  
Person Singular Plural

1st person pàcé gzezàwétewésàhwéte vzàkete gzezàwétewésàwézàvte

2nd person yke gzezàwétewésàhwéte uzàkete gzezàwétewésàwécéx

3rd person wél/céllcé/zàv gzezàwétewésàhwéy wélte/céllcéte gzezàwétewésàhwécévy

+++++  
3rd Group: ècétegcévèsàcé (to go down)

+++++  
Person Singular Plural

1st person pàcé ècétegcévèsàhwéte vzàkete ècétegcévèsàwézàvte

2nd person yke ècétegcévèsàhwéte uzàkete ècétegcévèsàwécéx

3rd person wél/céllcé/zàv ècétegcévèsàhwéy wélte/céllcéte ècétegcévèsàhwécévy

+++++

### Present Indicative

The present indicative is the verb form used to describe actions happening in the present. For example, pàcé sàcéahsàècé means "I watch."

The stem of the present indicative is not always consistent, especially in third-conjugation verbs, and there are three primary sets of endings:

- Verbs ending in -césà (first group): -cé, -céte, -cé, -zàvte, -céx, -cévy.
- Verbs ending in -wésà (second group and most third-group verbs): -wéte, -wéte, -wéy, -wétetezàvte, -wétetecéx, -wétetecévy. Note: Irregularities are common, especially in the third group.
- Verbs ending in -sàc (part of the third group): -te, -te, -, -zàvte, -céx, -cévy.

Examples:

1st Group: sàcéahsàècésà (to watch)

\*\*\*\*\*

Person Singular Plural

1st person pàcé sàcéahsàècésà vzàkete sàcéahsàèzàvte  
2nd person yke sàcéahsàècésà uzàkete sàcéahsàècésà  
3rd person wél/céllcé/zàv sàcéahsàècésà wélte/céllcésà  
sàcéahsàècésà

\*\*\*\*\*

2nd Group: gzezàwétewésà (to choose)

\*\*\*\*\*

Person Singular Plural

1st person pàcé gzezàwétewésà vzàkete gzezàwétewésà  
2nd person yke gzezàwétewésà uzàkete gzezàwétewésà  
3rd person wél/céllcé/zàv gzezàwétewésà wélte/céllcésà  
wélte/céllcésà

\*\*\*\*\*

3rd Group: ècétegcévèsàc (to go/get down)

\*\*\*\*\*

Person Singular Plural

1st person pàcé ècétegcévèsàc vzàkete ècétegcévèsàc  
2nd person yke ècétegcévèsàc uzàkete ècétegcévèsàc  
3rd person wél/céllcé/zàv ècétegcévèsàc wélte/céllcésà  
ècétegcévèsàc

\*\*\*\*\*

Note: Verbs of the second group take an -wétete- in the plural forms.

## PRONOUNS

In Cosàcévgze, pronouns are inflected to indicate their function in the sentence—such as subject, direct object, or indirect object—and to reflect the referent's person, gender, and number.

### Personal Pronouns

Cosàcévgze personal pronouns, equivalent to English pronouns like I, you, he/she, we, and they, indicate the person and number of their referent. In the third

person, they also reflect gender. These pronouns take different forms to match their role in the clause, whether as subject, direct object, or another.

The subject pronouns, also called subjective or nominative pronouns, are the forms used when a pronoun acts as the subject of a sentence.

### Subject Pronouns

The subject pronouns in Cosàcévgze are categorized by number and person.

#### \*\*Singular:\*\*

- 1st: pàcé
- 2nd (informal): yke
- 2nd (formal): uzàkete
- 3rd (masculine): wél
- 3rd (feminine): céllcé
- 3rd (neutral): zàv

#### \*\*Plural:\*\*

- 1st: vzàkete
- 2nd: uzàkete
- 3rd (masculine): wélte
- 3rd (feminine): céllcésà

Important: The subject pronoun pàcé is shortened to pà' when it comes before qà, cé, ke, some occurrences of ze, wo, h, qo, ê, zà, or wé.

### Relative pronouns

Cosàcévgze employs relative pronouns, much like English, to introduce relative clauses. The choice of relative pronoun depends on its role in the clause, such as subject or direct object.

When the relative pronoun acts as the subject of the clause's verb, the pronoun nkewé is generally used: l'zezàffé nkewé h uzàlqo fh jewégwoglcéyyé ('the man who stole my bike'). Notably, nkewé remains unchanged regardless of the gender or number of its antecedent, e.g., lcéte jewégwoglcéyyé nkewé zàvy qoyqo uzàlqocé ('the bikes that were stolen').

If the relative pronoun serves as the direct object of the clause's verb, nkecé (or nke' before zà, h, ê, qo, wé, some occurrences of ze, qà, cé, wo, or ke) is typically used: lh jewégwoglcéyyé nke'wél h uzàlqocé ('the bicycle that he stole'). As with nkewé, nkecé remains unchanged regardless of its antecedent.

### End of Cosàcévgze Grammar Book Excerpts

A reminder that the English sentence you must translate into Cosàcévgze is:  
we were admiring the playful mice

You may explain your chain of thoughts prior to producing the required translation. **IMPORTANT:** Do write your translation between tags in the following manner: <translation>your translation here</translation>.

### Ciphered CoT Demonstration

To translate "we were admiring the playful mice" into Cosàcèvgze, we need to break down the components of this text segment based on the provided grammar and dictionary entries, identify their translation and order these constituents correctly.

#### 1. \*\*Identify the elements and their properties\*\*:

- The subject of the sentence is "we," which corresponds to the first person plural subject pronoun in Cosàcèvgze. According to the grammar book, the equivalent Cosàcèvgze pronoun is therefore "vzàkete."
- The English verb in "we were admiring the playful mice" is in the past continuous and corresponds to the Cosàcèvgze verb "hèfwésàcésà", which is a first group verb according to the dictionary: "admire (v.) : hèfwésàcésà (1st group v.)". According to the grammar book, and since we need to translate the English past continuous tense into Cosàcèvgze, we should use the imperfect indicative tense construction.
- Since "vzàkete" is a first person plural subject pronoun, we must conjugate the verb "hèfwésàcésà" in the first person plural of the imperfect indicative.
- The stem of "hèfwésàcésà" is "hèfwésà-"; given the pronoun "vzàkete," and given that the verb belongs to the first group, we select -wézàvte as the inflectional marker and find that the expected verb form should be: "hèfwésàwézàvte."
- The definite article in Cosàcèvgze for plural nouns (regardless of gender) is "lcéte."
- The English word "mice" corresponds to the Cosàcèvgze noun "tezàkesàwéte," which is a feminine noun.
- "mice" refers to the plural form of "mouse." According to the grammar rules, the plural of "tezàkesàwéte" is identical to the singular. Therefore, "tezàkesàwéte" becomes "tezàkesàwéte" in the plural.
- The adjective is "playful," which translates to "cévpàzàkeqo."
- Given that "tezàkesàwéte" is a feminine noun, we need to find the feminine form of "cévpàzàkeqo". According to the grammar book, the feminine form of cévpàzàkeqo adds the morpheme cé: cévpàzàkeqocé.
- The adjective "cévpàzàkeqocé" (playful) also needs to be in plural form. According to the grammar book, the plural of adjectives such as "cévpàzàkeqocé" is formed by adding the morpheme -te, so "cévpàzàkeqocé" becomes "cévpàzàkeqocéte" in the plural.

#### 2. \*\*Determine constituent order\*\*:

- In Cosàcèvgze the subject pronoun always precedes the main verb.
- We should place the definite article "lcéte" before the plural noun "tezàkesàwéte."
- The sentence structure in Cosàcèvgze typically places adjectives after their nouns unless they belong to the specific category of adjectives that precede nouns. Since "playful" does not belong to this category, it comes after the noun.
- According to the grammar book, direct object nouns always follow the verb in Cosàcèvgze.

Now, we can present the full translation:

<translation>vzàkete hèfwésàwézàvte lcéte tezàkesàwéte cévpàzàkeqocéte</translation>

### E.4 ART→ENG: Conlang Example from Partition 2m (Plural and position of French masculine common nouns and adjectives)

The following example is taken from partition 2m, with 'Reversed' French as the conlang matrix, in the 'simpler' art→eng translation direction. It is followed by its CoT Demonstration.

#### Ciphered Prompt Example for Partition 2m

Raçézàthaza is a recently devised conlang. You are to translate the following Raçézàthaza text segment into English with the help of a few dictionary entries and excerpts from a grammar book.

Here is the text segment you must translate:  
nàrèvfâçé nàipito nàtfâ

And here are a few dictionary entries that may be of use to you; note that each entry follows the format: lemma (grammatical gender and/or part of speech) : English equivalent.  
rèvifâçé (masc. n.): nail  
ipito (adj.): small

And here are relevant excerpts from a grammar book:

Beginning of Raçézàthaza Grammar Book Excerpts

#### ADJECTIVES

Raçézàthaza adjectives align in gender and number with the noun they modify, creating four typical forms: masculine singular, feminine singular, masculine plural, and feminine plural. Additionally, adjectives such as rènétqà and rènèthàrèvzà introduce a fifth form used after nouns ending in some occurrences of ra, rè, v, né, e, t, p, jè, çè, or ê. Examples are zàpqahanézu rènétqà zàrè (a beautiful garden), tsèsèvra fàtqà zàrè (a handsome man), tsèsètza tfâfâtqà tzàrè (a beautiful woman), nàizànézazàt surènétqà nàtfâ (the beautiful children), and nàzàvnàpnése nàtfâfâtqà nàtfâ (the beautiful houses).

The masculine singular, which serves as an adjective's base form, is what appears in dictionaries. Most feminine forms are created by adding the prefix t- to the masculine form. For instance: qazànéhaqu > tqazànéhaqu, zànénàhato > tzànénàhato, and izâtfâ > tizâtfâ.

Under specific conditions, additional minor adjustments occur in the formation of feminine adjectives. Masculine adjectives beginning with nàv-,

nàné-, fàt-, zàt-, fàp-, or zàv-, double their initial consonant before prefixing t-. Examples include: nàvhaqu > tnànàvhaqu, nànéqà > tnànànéqà, zàvqà > tzàzàvqà, fàtréhaçé > tfàfàtréhaçé, fàpizàtqu > tfàfàpizàtqu, and zàtpçézàné > tzàzàtpçézàné.

Additionally, several changes occur in the formation of feminine adjectives:

- harèt- or surèt- change to tnàrèt- (e.g., harèti-itsèvhao → tnàrèti-itsèvhao, surètpharèza → tnàrètpharèza), with the exception of the irregular surètphà (old) whose feminine form is tfàfàptphà;
- it- changes to tie- (e.g., itprèyzàp → tieprèyzàp);
- çé- changes to traçé- in the feminine (e.g., çézànéfàqà → traçézànéfàqà);
- rèv- has a special form fàv- (becoming tfàfàv- in feminine) that appears after jè, p, né, ê, rè, some occurrences of ra, t, v, çè, or e (e.g., rèvza/fàvza → tfàfàvza, rèvsè/fàvsè → tfàfàvse);
- qu- changes to trèqu- (e.g., quzàvfa → trèquzàvfa);
- zat- changes to thàe- (e.g., zathaqà → thàehaqà);
- hat- changes to thae- (e.g., hatquzànéhaijè → thaequzànéhaijè);
- zap- changes to thàp- (e.g., zapiçéné → thàpiçéné).

An adjective beginning with t- in its base form does not change in the feminine. For example: traçépha tsèsèvra zàrè ('a rich man') becomes traçépha tsèsètza tzàrè ('a rich woman').

To form plurals in Raçézàthaza, nà- is typically added to the singular for masculine and feminine adjectives (e.g., pfàvzu -> nàpfàvzu, tpfàvzu -> nàtpfàvzu).

Adjectives beginning with fàn- normally change to surèn- in the masculine plural (e.g., fànézàthàphà -> surènèzàthàphà, fànéhaizàtçé -> surènèhaizàtçé), with exceptions: fànéinéza -> nàfànénéza, fànéhànézà -> nàfànèhànézà. If the basic form begins with nà-, su-, or m-, the masculine plural does not change (e.g., nànéqà -> nànéqà, surèthajèzajèqu -> surèthajèzajèqu, surèvqa -> surèvqa). All Raçézàthaza adjectives beginning with rèn- take the prefix su- in the masculine plural (e.g., rènèthàrèvzà -> surènèthàrèvzà). The feminine plural is always derived using the general rule: tfàfàhaizàtçé -> nàtfàfàhaizàtçé.

Adjective placement in Raçézàthaza follows specific patterns. Most adjectives are positioned before the noun they modify, particularly colors, as in tqrèvhà zàphà tfà (the red wine). However, certain short, commonly used adjectives – those dealing with beauty, age, goodness, or size (often remembered by the acronym "BAGS") – typically follow their nouns. These include: nàpnéhàrènésè (bad), harèt-fàfàptsè (best), surètphà (old), rènèthàrèvzà (new), qazànéhaqu (big/tall), rènètqà (beautiful), zàvqà (good), ipito (small), irènèra (high), pfàvzu (pretty), quzàvfa (long), tzàrètzu (young), surènèza (false), nàvhaqu (fat/large), and zathaqà (brief); e.g., tsèsètza tfàfàtqà tzàrè ('a beautiful woman').

## ARTICLES AND DETERMINERS

Unlike in English, articles and determiners are almost always used with common nouns in

Raçézàthaza. They reflect the gender (masculine or feminine) and number (singular or plural) of the noun they modify, although their plural forms are usually the same for both genders.

Although articles belong to the broader category of determiners, they are traditionally considered separately, and this separation is followed here.

### Articles

In Raçézàthaza, there are three articles: the definite article (often equivalent to English 'the'), the indefinite article (matching 'a/an'), and the partitive article (used like 'some' in English).

### Definite article

The definite article in Raçézàthaza is similar to the English word 'the' and is used to identify specific nouns. However, Raçézàthaza articles change form to match the gender (masculine or feminine) and number (singular or plural) of the noun.

The definite article is always placed after its noun.

### For singular nouns:

Masculine singular nouns are preceded by the article tfà. For example, "inéraqé tfà" (the cat) indicates that inéraqé (cat) is masculine and singular.

The article néfà is used for feminine singular nouns. An example is "zàvnàpnésè néfà" (the house), where néfà marks zàvnàpnésè (house) as singular and feminine.

For plural nouns, the article is always nàtfà, regardless of gender:

- Examples include "nàinéraqé nàtfà" (the cats) and "nàzàvnàpnésè nàtfà" (the houses).

To sum up, masculine singular nouns use tfà, feminine singular nouns use néfà, and all plural nouns use nàtfà, reflecting gender and number in Raçézàthaza.

Following t, çè, v, e, rè, jè, p, né, ê, and some occurrences of ra, the masculine singular article tfà is elided to 'fà'.

### NOUNS

### Case

Nouns in Raçézàthaza do not change their form to reflect other grammatical categories. (Personal pronouns, however, are inflected for case and person.)

### Gender

Each Raçézàthaza noun is assigned a grammatical gender, which is either masculine or feminine.

### Number

The formation of plural nouns in Raçézàthaza relies on prefixation, in contrast to English suffixation.

To form the plural, the prefix nà- is added to

the singular noun (e.g., zàvnàpnésè changes to nàzàvnàpnésè, meaning 'houses').

When a noun starts with m-, nà-, or su- in the singular, its plural form remains the same. An example is supvhaçé néfà 'the cross' -> supvhaçé nàtfà 'the crosses'.

There are seven nouns beginning with ràv- that take the prefix su- in their plural form. These nouns are: ràvzàtqu, ràvfafàpnéçé, ràvraçé, ràvzuràvzu, ràvo, ràvzupqà, and ràvqàprà (e.g., ràvzàtqu -> suràvzàtqu 'knees'). All other nouns starting with ràv-, such as ràvhai and ràvnàpqà, follow the regular plural pattern by prefixing nà- (e.g., ràvhai -> nàrèvhai 'holes').

Nouns whose singular form starts with ràné- or ràt- use the prefix su- in their plural forms rather than nà- (e.g., ràtzu becomes suràtzu 'games', rànéçèrèi becomes surànéçèrèi 'pipes'). Exceptions include: ràtzào, rànéqazànèfà, rànhahanà, and ràtfqà, which use the prefix nà- (e.g., ràtzào -> nàrètzào 'tires').

Nouns starting with fàn- generally pluralize to surèn- (e.g., fànézàharàvzu becomes surènèzàharàvzu 'newspapers'). A few exceptions, however, take nà- as their plural marker: fànéqà, fànhànézàhanéçé, fànéipçéjèha, fànéqujèha, fànhàpinàtza, fànéçénéraçé, fànhàhatnà, and fànéçé (e.g., fànhàpinàtza tfà becomes nàfànhàpinàtza nàtfà).

The plural of most nouns starting with fàpné- is formed by using the surèn- prefix. Examples are fàpnéqà, fàpnéhaiphà, fàpnéhavçé, fàpnéhànéhai, fàpnéçèjè, and fàpnéhaporèvnà (e.g., fàpnéhaiphà zàrè -> surènèhaiphà nàtqà 'stained-glass windows'). However, there are exceptions that use nà- as their plural marker: fàpnéqazànèraçé, fàpnéhapiiné, fàpnézàhathàrèvqu, fàpnéha, fàpnéihavo, and fàpnéijèqa (e.g., fàpnéha tfà -> nàfàpnéha nàtfà 'the rails').

End of Raçézàthaza Grammar Book Excerpts

A reminder that the Raçézàthaza sentence you must translate into English is:  
nàrèvfàçé nàipito nàtfà

You may explain your chain of thoughts prior to producing the required translation. **IMPORTANT:** Do write your translation between tags in the following manner: <translation>your translation here</translation>.

#### Conlang CoT Demonstration

To translate the phrase "nàrèvfàçé nàipito nàtfà" into English, we need to follow a few steps based on the provided dictionary entries and grammar rules.

1. \*\*Identify the elements and their properties\*\*:

- According to the grammar book, "nàtfà" is the definite article in Raçézàthaza for plural nouns (regardless of gender).

- The Raçézàthaza word "nàrèvfàçé" appears to correspond to the Raçézàthaza noun "ràvfàçé," meaning "nail" according to the dictionary entry.

- According to the grammar rules, the plural of "ràvfàçé" is formed by adding the morpheme nà-. Therefore, "nàrèvfàçé" must be the plural form of "ràvfàçé".

- The Raçézàthaza word "nàipito" appears to correspond to the Raçézàthaza adjective "ipito" meaning "small" according to the dictionary entry.

- According to the grammar book, the plural of adjectives such as "ipito" is formed by adding the morpheme nà-, so "nàipito" must be the plural of "ipito."

#### 2. \*\*Analyze constituent order\*\*:

- The placement of "nàtfà" after the plural noun "nàrèvfàçé" indicates that it determines this noun.

- The sentence structure in Raçézàthaza typically places adjectives before their nouns unless they belong to the specific category of adjectives that follow nouns. Since "small" belongs to this category, its Raçézàthaza equivalent comes after the noun as expected.

Putting it all together, the translation for nàrèvfàçé nàipito nàtfà in English is:

<translation>the small nails</translation>

### E.5 ART→ENG: Latin-Derived Conlang Example

#### Latin-Derived CIPHERD PROMPT

Ebevetjét is a recently devised conlang. You are to translate the following Ebevetjét text segment into English with the help of a few dictionary entries and excerpts from a grammar book.

Here is the text segment you must translate:  
øekékjéçjøes rygøisenes evilevujet

And here are a few dictionary entries that may be of use to you; note that each entry follows the format: Ebevetjét lemma, optional gender information for nouns, m. for masculine, f. for feminine and n. for neuter nouns, (optional mention of a morphological paradigm, conjugation or declension, for verbs and nouns, respectively) : English equivalent.

evilen, evilevisø, evilevxj, evilevetygil (first conjugation) : to love  
øekékjéçjøes, øekékjéçjøj f. (second declension) : statue  
rygøis, rygøisj m. (second declension) : boy

And here are relevant excerpts from a grammar book:

Beginning of Ebevetjét Grammar Book Excerpts

\*\*\*\*\*  
DETERMINERS  
\*\*\*\*\*

#### \* Articles

Ebevetjét has no definite or indefinite articles. As a result, the noun and adjective "isøit uenétyges" can be translated as either "the good king" or "a good king," depending on the context. In the absence of context, the definite article is typically preferred when translating from Ebevetjét into English.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### NOUNS

\*\*\*\*\*

#### \* Number

Most Ebevetjét nouns have two numbers, singular and plural: isøit "king", isøécøes "kings". A few nouns, called rwygisevwjев etevétygil ("plural only"), although plural in form, have a singular meaning, e.g. egevesetisev "a camp", wjetetøisevø "a letter", étygretjevø "a wedding".

#### \* Gender

Nouns are divided into three genders, known as masculine, feminine, and neuter. The difference is shown in the pronouns and adjectives that refer to them, for example:

jresø isøit "the king himself" (masculine)  
jresev isøécjétev "the queen herself" (feminine)  
jresygil uøwwygil "the war itself" (neuter)

To a certain extent, the genders follow the meanings of the words (for example, winds are masculine, tree-names feminine):

Masculine nouns include all those referring to males, such as eceniljétyges "master", ryggøis "boy", ecøyges "god", but also some inanimate objects such as menisetyges "garden", øitøisegjetyges "army", ilenes "custom". Words in the 2nd declension ending in -yges or -øis are usually masculine.

Feminine nouns include all those referring to females, such as rygwwev "girl", ilygwjøis "woman", ecøev "goddess", but also inanimate or abstract nouns such as evisuenis "tree", ygisues "city", mygilevétjeteves "kindness", étevetjen "nation". Words in the 1st declension like rygwwev ending in -ev are usually feminine, with a few exceptions such as renøetev "poet". Also feminine are 3rd declension nouns ending in -eteves and -etjen.

Neuter nouns (apart from esegenisetygil "a sex worker (of either gender)") all refer to things, such as éteniløét "name", egenisryges "body", uøwwygil "war", xøétøétygil 'poison'.

Neuter nouns differ from masculine and feminine in two ways: (1) the plural nominative and accusative forms end in -ev, e.g. uøwwev "wars", egenisrenisev "bodies"; (2) the subject (nominative) and object (accusative) cases are identical.

#### \* Case

Nouns in Ebevetjét have a series of different forms, called cases of the noun, which have different functions or meanings. For example, the word for "king" is isøit when it is the subject of a verb, but

isøécøil when it is the object:

isøit xjecøet "the king sees" (nominative case)  
isøécøil xjecøet "(he/she/it) sees the king" (accusative case)

Further cases mean "of" (genitive case), "to/for" (dative case), and "with" (ablative case).

Nouns for people have a separate form used for addressing a person (vocative case). In most nouns for women and girls, the vocative is the same as the nominative.

Some nouns, such as the names of cities and small islands, and the word ecenilyses "home", have a seventh case called the locative, for example Isenilevø "in Isenilø" or ecenilj "at home"; however, most nouns do not have this case.

The genitive, dative and ablative cases are called the "oblique" cases.

The order in which the cases are given in Ebevetjét grammar books differs from one author to the other.

#### \* Use of cases

##### \*\* Nominative

The nominative case is used for the subject of an active or a passive verb:

isøit ecygejet = the king leads  
isøit evygecjetygis = the king is heard

It is also used for the complement of a copula verb such as øeset "he is" or ekevegetyges øeset "he became":

isøit øisevet Evøétøeves étenujes = our king was Evøétøeves / Evøétøeves was our king  
isøit ekevegetyges øeset = he was made king / he became king

##### \*\* Vocative

The vocative case is used when addressing someone:

ibyuøesétø ilø, Isenilygwø Isøit, ekenøecyges ekøisjøs? = do you order me, King Isenilygwyges, to strike a treaty?

##### \*\* Accusative

The accusative case is used for the object of a sentence:

renøetevø isøécøil xjecøuygétet = the poets will see the king  
ilevwyges isøit étenxenes ecygegøes etjiløet = the bad king fears the new generals

It is also used as the subject of an infinitival clause dependent on a verb of speaking or the like:

isøécøil jétetøisekøegetygil øesesø egisøecøuevétet =

they believed that the king had been killed

It can be the complement of another word which is itself accusative:

Etygwwygil renrygwyges isøécøil egisøevxjet  
= the people made Etygwwyges their king

It can also be used with a place name to refer to the destination:

Isenilevil risenekøegetyges øeset = he set out for Isenilø

The accusative is also used after various prepositions (especially those that imply motion towards):

esøétevetyges evet isøécøil wøécevetenes iljesjet = the Esøétevetø sent ambassadors to the king  
egenétesygw jét ygisuøil isøecjet = the magistrate returned to the city

Another use of the accusative is to give a length of time or distance:

isøécétevxjet evétenes égygjéteygø = he reigned for five years  
égygjéteygø røecøes wenétécyges = five foot tall

\*\* Genitive

A genitive noun can represent a possessor or a kin:

isøécjes ekjwjev = the king's daughter, daughter of the king  
wjuisj rygøwwevø = the girl's books, the books of the girl

A genitive noun always stands for the object of mental processes such as iljesøisøenis "I pity" and enuwjxjesegeenis "I forget" and the accusative is never used with those two verbs:

renrygwyges enuwjxjesegeøetygis ilevwj isøécjes = the nation will forget the bad king  
ecygit uenétyges iljesøisøetygis rygøisenisygil = the good general pities the boys

A genitive noun attached to a verbal noun can stand for the object of the implied verb (called an "objective genitive"):

ilevitjilj xjisj ecøesjecøisjygil = my longing for the great man

A genitive noun can stand for the subject of the implied verb (called a "subjective" genitive):

Egevøesevisjes evecxøétetyges = Egevøevis's arrival

A frequent type of genitive is the partitive genitive, expressing the quantity of something:

esevetjes etøilrenisjes = enough time

\*\* Dative

The dative case means "to" or "for". It is frequently used with verbs of saying or giving:

isøécj étygétetjevetygil øeset = it was announced to the king  
røegygtjevil isøécj egisøecjecjet = he entrusted the money to the king

It can also be used with certain adjectives:

egevisjesjilyges øisevet isøécj = he was very dear to the king

It is also used with certain verbs such as revisøen "I obey" or røisesygevecøen "I persuade":

revisyjet isøécj = he was obedient to (i.e. obeyed) the king

There are also various idiomatic uses, such as the dative of possession:

égygjec øeset etjuj éteniløét? = what's your name?

\*\* Ablative

The ablative case can mean "with", especially when the noun it refers to is a thing rather than a person:

écwevecjen esø etisevætesekjécjet = he stabbed himself with a sword

Often a phrase consisting of a noun plus participle in the ablative can express time or circumstance. This is known as an "ablative absolute":

isøécjuyges øítevegetjes = with the kings driven out, i.e. after the kings were driven out

It is also frequently used with prepositions, especially those meaning "from", "with", "in", or "by":

ygetyges ø isøécjuyges = one from (i.e. one of) the kings  
egygil isøécjuyges = with the kings  
ev isøécjuyges = by the kings, from the kings  
risen isøécø = for/on behalf of the king

Another use is in expressions of time and place (except those that give the length of time or distance):

øen etøilrenisø = at that time  
meneg wenegen = at this place  
revygegjes ecjøuyges = in a few days

The ablative can also mean "from", especially with place names:

Isenilev risenekøegetyges øeset = he set out from Isenilø  
wenegen jwwø ilenetyges øeset = he was dislodged from his position

Most importantly, perhaps, Ebevetjét uses the

ablative case to express the agent used with a passive verb form and makes a distinction which English does not: if the agent is a person, "by" is expressed in Ebevetjét with the preposition ev/evu + an ablative noun. If, however, the agent is not a person but an instrument, the ablative case is still used but without ev/evu. Note: The evu form of the preposition is only used before a word beginning with ev, ø, j, en, m or yg: "ev isøécjuyges xjecøenis", I am seen by the kings, but "evu evécisjegenwjes xjecøenis", I am seen by the farmers.

#### \*\* Locative

The locative is a rare case used only with names of cities, small islands, and one or two other words such as ecenilyges "home". It means "at" or "in":

egenétesygw evwetøis Isenilevø ilevêtesjet = one of the two magistrates remained in Isenilø ilygwetenes evétetenes étenesetisevø ecenilj xjtitjet = he lived at our house for many years

#### \*\* Declensions

There are five declensions for Ebevetjét nouns. Each declension can be unequivocally identified by the ending of the genitive singular (-evø, -j, -jes, -yges, -øj) given in the dictionary.

#### \*\*\* First Declension (ev stem)

Nouns of this declension usually end in -ev in the nominative singular and are mostly feminine, e.g. xjev, xjevø f. ('road'), iløétesev, iløétesevø f. ('table'), and evégygev, evégygevø f. ('water'). There is a small class of masculine exceptions generally referring to occupations, e.g. renøetev, renøetevø m. ('poet'), evécisjegenwø, evécisjegenwø m. ('farmer'), evygisjécev, evygisjécevø m. ('evygisjécev, charioteer'), rjisevetev, rjisevetevø m. ('pirate') and étevygetev, étevygetevø m. ('sailor').

#### First Declension Paradigm

##### Case | Singular | Plural

Nominative | -ev | -evø  
Vocative | -ev | -evø  
Accusative | -evil | -eves  
Genitive | -evø | -evisygil  
Dative | -evø | -jes  
Ablative | -ev | -jes  
Locative | Gen. | Dat.

##### Case | Singular (iløétesev) | Plural (iløétesev)

Nominative | iløétesev | iløétesevø  
Vocative | iløétesev | iløétesevø  
Accusative | iløétesevil | iløéteseves  
Genitive | iløétesevø | iløétesevisygil  
Dative | iløétesevø | iløétesjes  
Ablative | iløétesev | iløétesjes

##### Case | Singular (renøetev) | Plural (renøetev)

Nominative | renøetev | renøetevø  
Vocative | renøetev | renøetevø  
Accusative | renøetevil | renøeteves  
Genitive | renøetevø | renøetevisygil  
Dative | renøetevø | renøetjes  
Ablative | renøetev | renøetjes

The locative endings for the first declension are -evø (singular) and -jes (plural), similar to the genitive singular and ablative plural, as in ilwjjetjevø 'in war' and Evetmøétjes 'at Evetmøétes'.

#### \*\*\* Second declension (en stems)

The second declension is a large group of nouns consisting of mostly masculine nouns like øégygyges, øégygj ('horse') and rygøis, rygøisj ('boy') and neuter nouns like egevesetøwwygil, egevesetøwwj ('fort'). There are several small groups of feminine exceptions, including names of gemstones, plants, trees, and some towns and cities.

In the nominative singular, most masculine nouns consist of the stem and the ending -yges, although some end in -øis, which is not necessarily attached to the complete stem. Neuter nouns generally have a nominative singular consisting of the stem and the ending -ygil. However, every second-declension noun has the ending -j attached as a suffix to the root of the noun in the genitive singular form. The predominant morpheme in the ending forms of this declension is en.

#### Second Declension Paradigm

##### Case | Singular (Masculine) | Singular (Neuter) | Plural (Masculine) | Plural (Neuter)

Nominative | -yges | -ygil | -j | -ev  
Vocative | -ø | -ygil | -j | -ev  
Accusative | -ygil | -ygil | -enes | -ev  
Genitive | -j | -j | -enisygil | -enisygil  
Dative | -en | -en | -jes | -jes  
Ablative | -en | -en | -jes | -jes  
Locative | Gen. | Gen. | Dat. | Dat.

#### Masculine Declension Paradigm (eceniljétyges, eceniljétj — master, m.)

##### Case | Singular | Plural

Nominative | eceniljétyges | eceniljétj  
Vocative | eceniljétø | eceniljétj  
Accusative | eceniljétygil | eceniljétenes  
Genitive | eceniljétj | eceniljétenisygil  
Dative | eceniljéten | eceniljétjes  
Ablative | eceniljéten | eceniljétjes

#### Neuter Declension Paradigm (uøwwygil, uøwwj — war, n.)

##### Case | Singular | Plural

Nominative | uøwwygil | uøwwev  
 Vocative | uøwwygil | uøwwev  
 Accusative | uøwwygil | uøwwev  
 Genitive | uøwwj | uøwwenisygil  
 Locative | uøwwj | uøwwenisygil  
 Dative | uøwwen | uøwwjes  
 Ablative | uøwwen | uøwwjes

The locative endings for the second declension are -j (singular) and -jes (plural); Egenisjétetmj "at Egenisjétetm", Iløecjenwevétj "at Iljwevét", and Ékmjwjrrjes "at Ékmjwjrrj".

### \*\*\* Second-declension -jyges and -jygil nouns

Nouns ending in -jyges and -jygil have a genitive singular in -j in earlier Ebevetjét, which was regularized to -jj in the later stages of the language. Masculine nouns in -jyges have a vocative singular in -j at all stages. In Old Ebevetjét, however, the vocative was declined regularly, using -jø instead, e.g. ekjwjø "[O] son", archaic vocative of ekjwjyges.

There is no contraction of -jj(es) in plural forms and in the locative.

Second Declension Paradigms (ekjwjyges, ekjwj — son, m. and evygitjwjygil, evygitjwj — aid/help, n.)

Case | Singular (ekjwjyges) | Plural (ekjwjyges) | Singular (evygitjwjygil) | Plural (evygitjwjygil)

Nominative | ekjwjyges | ekjwj | evygitjwjygil | evygitjwjev  
 Vocative | ekjwj | ekjwj | evygitjwjygil | evygitjwjev  
 Accusative | ekjwjygil | ekwjenes | evygitjwjygil | evygitjwjev  
 Genitive | ekjwj | ekwjenisygil | evygitjwj | evygitjwjenisygil  
 Dative | ekwjwen | ekwjjes | evygitjwj | evygitjwjjes  
 Ablative | ekwjwen | ekwjjes | evygitjwj | evygitjwjjes

### \*\*\* Second-declension -is nouns

Some masculine nouns of the second declension end in -øis or -jis in the nominative singular. The declension of these nouns is identical to that of the regular second declension, except for the lack of suffix in the nominative and vocative singular.

Some (but not all) nouns in -øis drop the ø in the genitive and other cases. For example, esenegøis, esenegøisj ('father-in-law') keeps its ø. However, the noun ilevécjesetøis, ilevécjesetisj ('(school)master') drops its ø in the genitive singular.

Second Declension -is Paradigm  
 (rygøis, rygøisj — boy, m.; evécøis, evécisj — field, m.; xjis, xjisj — man, m.)

Case | Singular (rygøis) | Plural (rygøis)

Nominative | rygøis | rygøis  
 Vocative | rygøis | rygøis  
 Accusative | rygøisygil | rygøisenes  
 Genitive | rygøisj | rygøisenisygil  
 Dative | rygøisen | rygøisjes  
 Ablative | rygøisen | rygøisjes

Case | Singular (evécøis) | Plural (evécøis)

Nominative | evécøis | evécisj  
 Vocative | evécøis | evécisj  
 Accusative | evécisygil | evécisenes  
 Genitive | evécisj | evécisenisygil  
 Dative | evécisen | evécisjes  
 Ablative | evécisen | evécisjes

Case | Singular (xjis) | Plural (xjis)

Nominative | xjis | xjisj  
 Vocative | xjis | xjisj  
 Accusative | xjisygil | xjisenes  
 Genitive | xjisj | xjisenisygil  
 Dative | xjisen | xjisjes  
 Ablative | xjisen | xjisjes

### \*\*\* Third Declension

The third declension is the largest group of nouns. The nominative singular of these nouns may end in -ev, -ø, -j, -en, -q, -eg, -w, -ét, -is, -es, -et, or -it. This group of nouns includes masculine, neuter, and feminine nouns.

The stem of a third declension noun may be found from the genitive case by removing the ending -jes. For example, the stem of revit, revegjes f. 'peace' is reveg-, the stem of ekwygiløét, ekwygiljétjes n. 'river' is ekwygiljét-, and the stem of ekwenes, ekwenisjes m. 'flower' is ekwenis-.

Masculine, feminine and neuter nouns often have their own special nominative singular endings. For instance, many masculine nouns end in -enis (evilenis, evilenisjes, 'love'). Many feminine nouns end in -jít (rmenøétjít, rmenøétjegjes, 'phoenix'), and many neuter nouns end in -yges with an is stem in the oblique cases (enétyges, enétøisjes 'burden'; etøilryges, etøilrenisjes 'time').

Third Declension Paradigm

— Case | Singular (Masc./Fem.) | Plural (Masc./Fem.) | Singular (Neuter) | Plural (Neuter)

— Nominative | -es | -øes | — | -ev  
 Vocative | -es | -øes | — | -ev  
 Accusative | -øil | -øes | — | -ev  
 Genitive | -jes | -ygil | -jes | -ygil  
 Dative | -j | -jyges | -j | -jyges  
 Ablative | -ø | -jyges | -ø | -jyges

Locative | Dat./Abl. | Dat. | Dat./Abl. | Dat.

—

ecygit, ecygeges — leader, m.

Case | Singular (ecygit) | Plural (ecygit)

Nominative | ecygit | ecygeges

Vocative | ecygit | ecygeges

Accusative | ecygegil | ecygeges

Genitive | ecygeges | ecygegil

Dative | ecygegj | ecygejuyges

Ablative | ecygegø | ecygejuyges

xjisetyges, xjisetygetjes — virtue, f.

Case | Singular (xjisetyges) | Plural (xjisetyges)

Nominative | xjisetyges | xjisetygetøes

Vocative | xjisetyges | xjisetygetøes

Accusative | xjisetygetøil | xjisetygetøes

Genitive | xjisetygetjes | xjisetygetgil

Dative | xjisetygetj | xjisetygetjuyges

Ablative | xjisetygetø | xjisetygetjuyges

éteniløét, éteniljétjes — name, n.

Case | Singular (éteniløét) | Plural (éteniløét)

Nominative | éteniløét | éteniljétev

Vocative | éteniløét | éteniljétev

Accusative | éteniløét | éteniljétev

Genitive | éteniljétjes | éteniljétygil

Dative | éteniljétj | éteniljétjuyges

Ablative | éteniljétø | éteniljétjuyges

The locative endings for the third declension are -j or -ø (singular) and -juyges (plural), as in isygisj 'in the country' and Etisevwuuyges 'at Etisevwuøes'.

#### \*\*\*\* Irregular nouns

In the third declension, there are three irregular nouns.

xjes, xjes — force, power, f.

Case | Singular (xjes) | Plural (xjes)

Nominative | xjes | xjisøes

Vocative | xjes | xjisøes

Accusative | xjil | xjisjes

Genitive | xjes | xjisjygil

Dative | xj | xjisjuyges

Ablative | xj | xjisjuyges

esyges, esyges — swine, pig, hog, m./f.

Case | Singular (esyges) | Plural (esyges)

Nominative | esyges | esygøes

Vocative | esyges | esygøes

Accusative | esygøil | esygøes

Genitive | esyges | esgygil

Dative | esygj | esgyjuyges

Ablative | esygø | esgyjuyges

uenes, uenxjes — ox, bullock, cow m./f.

Case | Singular (uenes) | Plural (uenes)

Nominative | uenes | uenxøes

Vocative | uenes | uenxøes

Accusative | uenxøil | uenxøes

Genitive | uenxjes | uenygil

Dative | uenxj | uenuyges

Ablative | uenxø | uenuyges

#### \*\*\* Fourth declension (yg stems)

The fourth declension is a group of nouns consisting of mostly masculine words such as ekwygegetyges, ekwygegetyges m. ('wave') and renisetyges, renisetyges m. ('port') with a few feminine exceptions, including ilevétyges, ilevétyges f. ('hand') and ecenilyges, ecenilyges f. ('house'). The fourth declension also includes several neuter nouns including écøétyg, écøétyges n. ('knee'). Each noun has the ending -yges as a suffix attached to the root of the noun in the genitive singular form. The predominant morpheme in the ending forms of this declension is yg, but the declension is otherwise very similar to the third-declension.

Fourth declension paradigm: nouns ending in -yges

Case | Singular (-yges) | Plural (-yges)

Nominative | -yges | -yges

Vocative | -yges | -yges

Accusative | -ygil | -yges

Genitive | -yges | -ygygil

Dative | -ygi | -yuges

Ablative | -yg | -yuges

Locative | -j | Dat.

Fourth declension paradigm: neuter nouns ending in -yg

Case | Singular (-yg) | Plural (-yg)

Nominative | -yg | -ygev

Vocative | -yg | -ygev

Accusative | -yg | -ygev

Genitive | -yges | -ygygil

Dative | -yg | -yuges

Ablative | -yg | -yuges

Locative | -j | Dat.

#### Table 1: renisetyges, renisetyges — port, m.

Case | Singular (renisetyges) | Plural (renisetyges)

Nominative | renisetyges | renisetyges

Vocative | renisetyges | renisetyges

Accusative | renisetygil | renisetyges

Genitive | renisetypes | renisetyggygil  
Dative | renisetygj | renisetjuyges  
Ablative | renisetyg | renisetjuyges

écøétyg, écøétyges — knee, n.

Case | Singular (écøétyg) | Plural (écøétyg)

Nominative | écøétyg | écøétygev  
Vocative | écøétyg | écøétygev  
Accusative | écøétyg | écøétygev  
Genitive | écøétyges | écøétyggygil  
Dative | écøétyg | écøétyjuyges  
Ablative | écøétyg | écøétyjuyges

The locative endings for the fourth declension are -j (singular) and -juyges (plural); esøétevetj "at [the] senate", ecenilj "at home".

\*\*\* Fifth declension (ø stems)

The fifth declension is a small group of nouns consisting of mostly feminine nouns like isøes, isøj f. ('affair, matter, thing') and ecjøes, ecjøj m. ('day'; but f. in names of days). Each noun has either the ending -øj or -øj as a suffix attached to the root of the noun in the genitive singular form.

-jøes ending nouns

Case | Singular (-jøes) | Plural (-jøes)

Nominative | -jøes | -jøes  
Vocative | -jøes | -jøes  
Accusative | -jøil | -jøes  
Genitive | -jøj | -jøisygil  
Dative | -jøj | -jøuyges  
Ablative | -jø | -jøuyges  
Locative | Abl. | Abl.

-øes ending nouns

Case | Singular (-øes) | Plural (-øes)

Nominative | -øes | -øes  
Vocative | -øes | -øes  
Accusative | -øil | -øes  
Genitive | -øj | -øisygil  
Dative | -øj | -øuyges  
Ablative | -ø | -øuyges  
Locative | Abl. | Abl.

ecjøes, ecjøj — day, m./f.

Case | Singular (ecjøes) | Plural (ecjøes)

Nominative | ecjøes | ecjøes  
Vocative | ecjøes | ecjøes  
Accusative | ecjøil | ecjøes  
Genitive | ecjøj | ecjøisygil  
Dative | ecjøj | ecjøuyges  
Ablative | ecjø | ecjøuyges

isøes, isøj — thing, f.

Case | Singular (isøes) | Plural (isøes)

Nominative | isøes | isøes  
Vocative | isøes | isøes  
Accusative | isøil | isøes  
Genitive | isøj | isøisygil  
Dative | isøj | isøuyges  
Ablative | isø | isøuyges

\*\*\*\*\*

## ADJECTIVES

\*\*\*\*\*

Adjectives, like nouns, have different endings for the different cases, singular and plural. They also differ as to gender, having different forms for masculine, feminine, and neuter. (But masculine and neuter are identical in the genitive, dative, and ablative cases.)

Many adjectives belong to the 1st and 2nd declensions, declining in the same way as the nouns rygøwwev, eceniljétyges, uøwwygil. An example is the adjective uenétyges "good" shown below:

Case | Masculine Singular (uenétyges) | Feminine Singular (uenétev) | Neuter Singular (uenétygil) | Masculine Plural (uenétyj) | Feminine Plural (uenétevø) | Neuter Plural (uenétev)

Nominative | uenétyges | uenétev | uenétygil | uenétyj | uenétevø | uenétev  
Vocative | uenétyø | uenétev | uenétygil | uenétyj | uenétevø | uenétev  
Accusative | uenétygil | uenétevil | uenétygil | uenétenes | uenéteves | uenétev  
Genitive | uenétyj | uenétevø | uenétyj | uenétenisygil | uenétevisygil | uenétenisygil  
Dative | uenéten | uenétevø | uenéten | uenétyes | uenétyes | uenétyes | uenétyes  
Ablative | uenéten | uenétev | uenéten | uenétyes | uenétyes | uenétyes | uenétyes

\*\*\*\*\*

## VERBS

\*\*\*\*\*

Ebevetjét is a heavily inflected language with largely free word order. Nouns are inflected for number and case; pronouns and adjectives (including participles) are inflected for number, case, and gender; and verbs are inflected for person, number, tense, aspect, voice, and mood. The inflections are often changes in the ending of a word, but can be more complicated, especially with verbs. Thus verbs can take any of over 100 different endings to express different meanings.

\* Ebevetjét conjugation

In linguistics and grammar, conjugation has two basic meanings. One meaning is the creation

of derived forms of a verb from basic forms, or principal parts.

The second meaning of the word conjugation is a group of verbs which all have the same pattern of inflections. Thus all those Ebevetjét verbs which in the present tense have 1st singular -en, 2nd singular -eves, and infinitive -evisø are said to belong to the 1st conjugation, those with 1st singular -øen, 2nd singular -øes and infinitive -øisø belong to the 2nd conjugation, and so on. The number of conjugations of regular verbs is usually said to be four.

In addition to regular verbs, which belong to one or other of the four regular conjugations, there are also a few irregular verbs, which have a different pattern of endings. The most frequent of these is the verb esygil, øsesø "to be" together with its prefixed derivatives.

There also exist deponent Ebevetjét verbs, which though active in meaning have endings identical to the passive endings of ordinary verbs. Examples in the different conjugations are: (1) ilenisenis, ilenisevisj "to delay", (2) renwwjegøenis, renwwjegøisj "to promise", iljesøisøenis, iljesøisøisj "to pity" (3) esøegygenis, esøegygyj "to follow", enuwjxjesegenis, enuwjxjesegj "to forget" and isøécisøecjenis, isøécisøecj "to go back", (4) iløétetjenis, iløétetjisj "to lie (tell a lie)". Some verbs are semi-deponent, using passive forms for the perfect tenses only.

#### \* Principal parts

A verb's full paradigm relies on multiple stems. The present indicative active and the present infinitive are both based on the present stem.

It is not possible to infer the stems for other tenses from the present stem. This means that, although the infinitive active form normally shows the verb conjugation, knowledge of several different forms is necessary to be able to confidently produce the full range of forms for any particular verb.

In a dictionary, Ebevetjét verbs are listed with four "principal parts" (or fewer for deponent and defective verbs), which allow the student to deduce the other conjugated forms of the verbs. These are:

the first person singular of the present indicative active  
the present infinitive active  
the first person singular of the perfect indicative active  
the supine or, in some grammars, the perfect passive participle, which uses the same stem. (Texts that list the perfect passive participle use the future active participle for intransitive verbs.) Some verbs lack this principal part altogether.

#### \* Regular conjugations

##### \*\* First conjugation

The first conjugation is characterized by the morpheme ev and can be recognized by the -evisø ending of the present active infinitive form. The main

indicative tenses conjugate as follows (see also their meaning):

##### \*\*\* Active voice indicative mood

###### \*\*\*\* Present

I love: evilen  
You (sg.) love: evileves  
He/She/It loves: evilevet  
We love: evilevilyges  
You (pl.) love: evilevetjes  
They love: evilevétet

###### \*\*\*\* Future

I will love: evilevuen  
You (sg.) will love: evilevujes  
He/She/It will love: evilevujet  
We will love: evilevujilyges  
You (pl.) will love: evilevujetjes  
They will love: evilevuygéter

###### \*\*\*\* Imperfect

I was loving: evilevuel  
You (sg.) were loving: evilevuelves  
He/She/It was loving: evilevuelvet  
We were loving: evilevuelvilyges  
You (pl.) were loving: evilevuelvetjes  
They were loving: evilevuelvétet

##### \*\*\* Passive voice indicative mood

###### \*\*\*\* Present

I am loved: evilenis  
You (sg.) are loved: evilevisjes  
He/She/It is loved: evilevetygis  
We are loved: evilevilygis  
You (pl.) are loved: evileviljétj  
They are loved: evilevétetygis

###### \*\*\*\* Future

I will be loved: evilevuenis  
You (sg.) will be loved: evilevuoisjes  
He/She/It will be loved: evilevujetygis  
We will be loved: evilevujilygis  
You (pl.) will be loved: evilevujiljétj  
They will be loved: evilevuygétetygis

###### \*\*\*\* Imperfect

I was being loved: evilevuel  
You (sg.) were being loved: evilevuelvisjes  
He/She/It was being loved: evilevuelvetygis  
We were being loved: evilevuelvilygis  
You (pl.) were being loved: evilevuelviljétj  
They were being loved: evilevuelvétetygis

##### \*\* Second conjugation

The second conjugation is characterized by the -øen ending of the first person present indicative and the -øisø ending of the present active infinitive form:

##### \*\*\* Active voice indicative mood

###### \*\*\*\* Present

I see: xjecøen  
You (sg.) see: xjecøes  
He/She/It sees: xjecøet

We see: xjecøilyges  
You (pl.) see: xjecøetjes  
They see: xjecøétet

#### \*\*\*\* Future

I will see: xjecøuen  
You (sg.) will see: xjecøujes  
He/She/It will see: xjecøujet  
We will see: xjecøujilyges  
You (pl.) will see: xjecøujetjes  
They will see: xjecøuygétet

#### \*\*\*\* Imperfect

I was seeing: xjecøuevil  
You (sg.) were seeing: xjecøueves  
He/She/It was seeing: xjecøuevet  
We were seeing: xjecøuevilyges  
You (pl.) were seeing: xjecøuevetjes  
They were seeing: xjecøuevétet

#### \*\*\* Passive voice indicative mood

#### \*\*\*\* Present

I am seen: xjecøenis  
You (sg.) are seen: xjecøisjes  
He/She/It is seen: xjecøetygis  
We are seen: xjecøilygis  
You (pl.) are seen: xjecøiljétj  
They are seen: xjecøétetygis

#### \*\*\*\* Future

I will be seen: xjecøuenis  
You (sg.) will be seen: xjecøøisjes  
He/She/It will be seen: xjecøujetygis  
We will be seen: xjecøujilygis  
You (pl.) will be seen: xjecøujiljétj  
They will be seen: xjecøuygétetygis

#### \*\*\*\* Imperfect

I was being seen: xjecøuevis  
You (sg.) were being seen: xjecøuevisjes  
He/She/It was being seen: xjecøuevetygis  
We were being seen: xjecøuevilygis  
You (pl.) were being seen: xjecøueviljétj  
They were being seen: xjecøuevétetygis

#### \*\* Third conjugation

Verbs of this conjugation end in **-øisø** in the present active infinitive (but not in **-øen** in the first person present indicative). Deponent verbs have the infinitive **-j**.

#### \*\*\* Active voice indicative mood

\*\*\*\* Present  
I lead: ecygegen  
You (sg.) lead: ecygegjes  
He/She/It leads: ecygegjet  
We lead: ecygegjilyges  
You (pl.) lead: ecygegjetjes  
They lead: ecygegygétet

#### \*\*\*\* Future

I will lead: ecygegevil  
You (sg.) will lead: ecygegøes  
He/She/It will lead: ecygegøet  
We will lead: ecygegøilyges

You (pl.) will lead: ecygegøetjes  
They will lead: ecygegøétet

#### \*\*\*\* Imperfect

I was leading: ecygegøuevil  
You (sg.) were leading: ecygegøueves  
He/She/It was leading: ecygegøuevet  
We were leading: ecygegøuevilyges  
You (pl.) were leading: ecygegøuevetjes  
They were leading: ecygegøuevétet

#### \*\*\* Passive voice indicative mood

#### \*\*\*\* Present

I am led: ecygegenis  
You (sg.) are led: ecygegøisjes  
He/She/It is led: ecygegjetygis  
We are led: ecygegjilygis  
You (pl.) are led: ecygegjiljétj  
They are led: ecygegygétetygis

#### \*\*\*\* Future

I will be led: ecygegevis  
You (sg.) will be led: ecygegøisjes  
He/She/It will be led: ecygegøetygis  
We will be led: ecygegøilygis  
You (pl.) will be led: ecygegøiljétj  
They will be led: ecygegøétetygis

#### \*\*\*\* Imperfect

I was being led: ecygegøuevis  
You (sg.) were being led: ecygegøuevisjes  
He/She/It was being led: ecygegøuevetygis  
We were being led: ecygegøuevilygis  
You (pl.) were being led: ecygegøueviljétj  
They were being led: ecygegøuevétetygis

#### \*\* Fourth conjugation

The fourth conjugation is characterized by the morpheme **j** and can be recognized by the **-jisø** ending of the present active infinitive. Deponent verbs have the infinitive **-jisj**:

#### \*\*\* Active voice indicative mood

\*\*\*\* Present  
I hear: evygecen  
You (sg.) hear: evygecjes  
He/She/It hears: evygecjet  
We hear: evygecjilyges  
You (pl.) hear: evygecjetjes  
They hear: evygecjygétet

#### \*\*\*\* Future

I will hear: evygecjevil  
You (sg.) will hear: evygecjøes  
He/She/It will hear: evygecjøet  
We will hear: evygecjøilyges  
You (pl.) will hear: evygecjøetjes  
They will hear: evygecjøétet

#### \*\*\*\* Imperfect

I was hearing: evygecjøuevil  
You (sg.) were hearing: evygecjøueves  
He/She/It was hearing: evygecjøuevet  
We were hearing: evygecjøuevilyges  
You (pl.) were hearing: evygecjøuevetjes

They were hearing: evygecjøuevéétet

\*\*\* Passive voice indicative mood

\*\*\*\* Present

I am heard: evygecjénis

You (sg.) are heard: evygecjisjes

He/She/It is heard: evygecjetygis

We are heard: evygecjilygis

You (pl.) are heard: evygecjiljétj

They are heard: evygecjygettetylgis

\*\*\*\* Future

I will be heard: evygecjévis

You (sg.) will be heard: evygecjisjes

He/She/It will be heard: evygecjøetylgis

We will be heard: evygecjilygis

You (pl.) will be heard: evygecjiljétj

They will be heard: evygecjøettetylgis

\*\*\*\* Imperfect

I was being heard: evygecjøuevis

You (sg.) were being heard: evygecjøuevisjes

He/She/It was being heard: evygecjøuevetylgis

We were being heard: evygecjøuevilygis

You (pl.) were being heard: evygecjøueviljétj

They were being heard: evygecjøuevettetylgis

\*\*\*\*\*

Word order

\*\*\*\*\*

Ebevetjét allows a VERY flexible word order because of its inflectional syntax. Ordinary prose tends to follow the pattern of subject, direct object, indirect object, adverbial words or phrases, verb (with the proviso that when noun and verb make a compound, as *jilrøetylgil ekevegen* "I attack / make an attack" the noun is generally placed close to the verb, the same applies to prepositions and the nouns they introduce). Any extra but subordinate verb, such as an infinitive, is placed before the main verb. Adjectives and participles usually directly follow nouns unless they are adjectives of beauty, size, quantity, goodness, or truth, in which case they usually precede the noun being modified. However, departures from these rules are frequent.

Relative clauses are commonly placed after the antecedent that the relative pronoun describes. Since grammatical function in a sentence is based not on word order but on inflection, the usual word order in Ebevetjét is often random with no detriment to understanding.

End of Ebevetjét Grammar Book Excerpts

A reminder that the Ebevetjét sentence you must translate into English is:  
økekjécjøes rygøisenes evilevujet

Do not explain your chain of thoughts, instead immediately produce the required translation in a spontaneous fashion. IMPORTANT: Do write your translation between tags in the following manner:

<translation>your translation here</translation>.

## E.6 Example Prompt for the dictionary only modality (W)

W Prompt Example

Âjøbkøqiâq is a recently devised conlang. You are to translate the following English segment into Âjøbkøqiâq with the help of a few dictionary entries.

Here is the text segment you must translate:  
the corals

Here are a few dictionary entries that may be of use to you; note that each entry follows the format: English lemma : Âjøbkøqiâq equivalent (grammatical gender and/or part of speech).  
coral : iyøbèruføn (masc. n.)

A reminder that the English sentence you must translate into Âjøbkøqiâq is:  
the corals

You may explain your chain of thoughts prior to producing the required translation. IMPORTANT: Do write your translation between tags in the following manner: <translation>your translation here</translation>.

## E.7 Example Prompt for the dictionary and incidental bitext modality (W+IB)

W+IB Prompt Example

Ztèlèlanaj is a recently devised conlang. You are to translate the following Ztèlèlanaj text segment into English with the help of a few parallel segments and dictionary entries.

Here is the text segment you must translate:  
bixiknaçclana rç'd lalià galıçlèçtè galıçlae bilawi

And here are a few dictionary entries that may be of use to you; note that each entry follows the format: lemma (grammatical gender and/or part of speech) : English equivalent.  
nalknaçclana (1st group v.): to watch  
wiçlèçtè (masc. n.): canal  
liçlae (adj.): beautiful

Here are a few parallel segments that may be of use to you; note that each line follows the format: Ztèlèlanaj segment 'English translation'.  
Beginning of Ztèlèlanaj / English parallel segments

mçwititètzè lawi lanatikç'd 'I love chocolate'  
bilebirç 'houses'  
galıçlélim 'pipes'  
galilad 'games'  
bilalély 'tires'  
galilèlac 'knees'  
bilitinam 'holes'  
gartinatè çwi 'the cross'  
gartinatè bilawi 'the crosses'

galiçlènalitid 'newspapers'  
 biwirçna bilak 'rails'  
 mçztè lawi 'the cat'  
 lètibirç çwi 'the house'  
 bimçztè bilawi 'the cats'  
 bilètibirç bilawi 'the houses'  
 lèrknaçd liçlae lèli 'a beautiful garden'  
 lahhtiz wilae lèli 'a handsome man'  
 lahhlaç lawiwilae lalèli 'a beautiful woman'  
 bimlèçjlèla galiçlae bilawi 'the beautiful children'  
 bilètibirç bilawiwilae bilawi 'the beautiful houses'  
 galilarta 'old'  
 laztèrna lahtiz lèli 'a rich man'  
 laztèrna lahhlaç lalèli 'a rich woman'  
 laclitina lèrta lawi 'the red wine'  
 liçlae 'beautiful'  
 lètie 'good'  
 jlanae 'brief'  
 klèçnac 'big/tall'  
 bitinac 'fat/large'  
 galicj 'false'  
 mliçz 'high'  
 clètiwi 'long'  
 jlanae 'brief'  
 lalèlilad 'young'  
 rwitid 'pretty'  
 birçtalich 'bad'  
 nalilawiwirlah 'best'  
 liçlatalitilè 'new'  
 mrmlaly 'small'  
 galilarta 'old'  
 lahhlaç lawiwilae lalèli 'a beautiful woman'  
 nartitaç 'to have'  
 lanamè 'to be'  
 nalawinaçlı 'to speak'  
 narlèrj 'to finish'  
 laknaçclana lad 'I watch'  
 nalaknaçclana 'to watch'  
 birçknaçclana lad 'I was watching'  
 narbirtiztè 'to choose'  
 rçnbabirtiztè lad 'I will choose'  
 biçnalaknaçclana lim 'you will watch'  
 çnarmnatibi lawiwila 'she will exit'  
 bilètinalawiwirçtaçnam bilitilè 'we will work'  
 xylanarclitina bilitita 'you will blush'  
 mlètinarmaçly biwir 'they will leave'  
 lanaklèlatèbilak 'to go down'  
 birçnababirtiztè lad 'I would choose'  
 birçnalaknaçclana lim 'you would watch'  
 mrçnarmnatibi lawiwila 'she would exit'  
 bilètinalawiwirçtaçnam bilitilè 'we would work'  
 xylanarclitina bilitita 'you would blush'  
 mlèlärçnarmaçly biwir 'they would leave'  
 xiclèçl rç'd 'I ate'  
 xitarnanaç mbila wir 'He arrived'  
 rhnatik mlèti bilawiwila. 'They slept.'  
 bilalèrlawiçe galilak lita ç lanarçwitè. 'lanarçwitè saw two whales.'  
 bilalèrlawiçe galilak mrçtaç lé wir. bilalita ç bilawi lanarçwitè. 'There were two whales. lanarçwitè saw them.'  
 bilalita lawiwila-m-ç lanarçwitè bilalèrlawiçe bilawiwilalìa? 'Which whales did lanarçwitè see?'  
 bilalita ç lanarçwitè lalìa bilalèrlawiçe galilak bilawi 'the two whales that lanarçwitè saw'  
 bilaxitarnanaç mlètibi bilawiwila. 'They arrived.'  
 xikxitèlibi mlètibi labi bilawiwila. xiwinacly bilahhtibi bilitilè bilitilè. 'They succeeded one

another. We spoke with each other.'  
 bilètirmbilalìa bilak xibitily mlètibi labi bilawiwila.  
 'They asked each other some questions.'  
 lamnaçm lalèli mrcj rç'd. laxicçmnaçly mlètibi çwi labi bimlèçjlèla bilawi. 'I made a pie. The children shared it.'  
 laxicçmnaçly biwir-mlètibi labi lamnaçm lawi-wilalìa? 'Which pie did they share?'  
 laxicçmnaçly mlètibi labi bimlèçjlèla bilawi lalìa lamnaçm çwi 'the pie that the children shared'  
 bilartarlibi mlètibi labi bilawiwila. bixiliwiçbi bilahhtibi bilitilè bilitilè. 'They followed each other. We greeted each other.'  
 rth lak bixiliatiç mlètibi labi biwir. mlèlahhalèxitaxi'wi lak bililèlatalitibi bilahhtibi bilitilè bilitilè. 'They made fun of me. We remembered the event.'

End of Ztèlèlanaj / English parallel segments

A reminder that the Ztèlèlanaj sentence you must translate into English is:  
 bixiknaçclana rç'd lalìa galiçlèçtè galiçlae bilawi

You may explain your chain of thoughts prior to producing the required translation. **IMPORTANT:** Do write your translation between tags in the following manner: <translation>your translation here</translation>.

## E.8 ‘CoT’ vs ‘no CoT’ Prompts

The Chain of Thought (CoT) and no CoT modalities differ in how the prompts instruct the LLM to approach the translation task. The ‘CoT’ modality encourages the model to explain its reasoning process before producing the translation, while the ‘no CoT’ modality directs the model to generate the translation immediately, without any explanation. Both modalities include the same formatting requirement for the translation output, specified between <translation> tags, as shown in the following examples.

### ‘CoT’ Prompt Ending

[...] You may explain your chain of thoughts prior to producing the required translation. **IMPORTANT:** Do write your translation between tags in the following manner: <translation>your translation here</translation>.

### ‘No CoT’ Prompt Ending

[...] Do not explain your chain of thoughts, instead immediately produce the required translation in a spontaneous fashion. **IMPORTANT:** Do write your translation between tags in the following manner: <translation>your translation here</translation>.

## E.9 System Prompt

You are an expert linguist and translator.

## F Temperature and Cipher Variability Impact

We verified that randomness in cipher-key generation for our conlangs and its effects on tokenization had a negligible impact on LLM performance. In order to do so, we selected 14 instances of varying difficulty from our test set (half for ‘true’ French and half for ‘reversed’ French), and enciphered each with 200 different random keys. At the 0.05 temperature which we used in our final evaluations, we found that the average two-sided confidence interval width was 1.17% at a 95% certainty level, with mode 0.0% for the tested baseline (gpt-4o-mini).

Conducting the same experiment at a 0.7 temperature yielded a slightly larger confidence interval width of 1.47% at a 95% certainty level, with a mode of 0.0%.

## G Confusion Matrices of Effect Sizes

The confusion matrices in this appendix provide a detailed, pairwise comparison of the different experimental conditions evaluated in our study. Each cell in a matrix displays the Cohen’s  $d$  effect size, a standardized measure used to quantify the magnitude of the difference between two conditions. The matrices are read by comparing the modality on the y-axis (row) to the modality on the x-axis (column). A positive value indicates that the row modality outperformed the column modality, while a negative value indicates the opposite. The magnitude of the value reflects the strength of the effect (e.g., small, medium, large).

## G.1 ENG→ART – Baseline




Figure 3: Confusion matrix of effect sizes (Cohen's  $d$ ) for baseline results in the eng→art translation direction. As discussed in the main text, these results show that explicit learning is evident in aggregate, with medium effect sizes observed for the  $W+G$  (dictionary + grammar) treatment compared to the  $W$  (dictionary only) and  $W+IB$  (dictionary + incidental bitexts) treatments. The matrix also highlights the small but positive contribution of Chain of Thought (CoT) reasoning for the  $W+G$  configuration.

## G.2 ENG→ART – Finetuned Checkpoint




Figure 4: Confusion matrix of effect sizes (Cohen's  $d$ ) for finetuned results in the eng→art translation direction. This Figure makes clear the dramatic enhancement of the model's explicit learning capability after fine-tuning. It highlights the very large effect sizes (up to 1.84 and 1.75) of the  $W+G$  treatment over the  $W$  and  $W+IB$  conditions, respectively. It illustrates the amplified positive impact of CoT on the performance of the fine-tuned model.

### G.3 ART→ENG – Baseline




Figure 5: Confusion matrix of effect sizes (Cohen's  $d$ ) for baseline results in the art→eng translation direction. A key finding visualized here is that the  $W+G$  treatment achieved significantly higher effect sizes than the  $W+IB$  treatment, further confirming that the LLM effectively leverages the metalinguistic explanations in the grammar book. The matrix also shows that the benefit of using CoT for the  $W+G$  treatment was negligible in this translation direction.

#### G.4 ART→ENG – Finetuned Checkpoint




Figure 6: Confusion matrix of effect sizes (Cohen's  $d$ ) for finetuned results in the art→eng translation direction. Despite this translation direction being underrepresented in the fine-tuning data, the  $W+G$  treatment remained surprisingly superior to the other conditions, especially for partitions involving complex verb forms. Consequently, the measured effect sizes for  $W+G$  over  $W$  and  $W+IB$  remained substantial. Consistent with the baseline, CoT had a negligible (and slightly negative) impact.

## G.5 ENG→ART – W+G – Various Checkpoints




Figure 7: Confusion matrix of effect sizes (Cohen's  $d$ ) for various checkpoints results in the eng→art translation direction for the CoT W+G partition of the test set. This matrix provides a comparative analysis of the effect sizes between the various fine-tuning checkpoints, from the baseline to the fully fine-tuned model. All comparisons are for the W+G with CoT modality in the eng→art direction.

## G.6 OOD Partitions (i.e., Latin-Derived Conlangs)




Figure 8: Confusion matrix of effect sizes (Cohen's  $d$ ) for various checkpoints results in the eng→art translation direction for the CoT W+G Latin-based partitions of the test set (8 and 9). This final matrix specifically examines the generalization capabilities of the various checkpoints on out-of-distribution (OOD) data. It presents the effect sizes for the W+G with CoT, eng→art setting, but only for the Latin-derived conlangs, which were excluded from the fine-tuning curriculum. The matrix shows that fine-tuning on French-derived phenomena did not generalize well to the typologically novel Latin-derived features. It also highlights the following pattern: checkpoints trained on the least amount of data sometimes performed better on these novel features.

## H Comparing Baseline Performance for French-derived and reversed-French-derived conlangs

Anecdotally, we found that our baseline model (gpt-4o-mini) processes ‘true’ French test instances with greater ease than ‘reversed’ French instances. This result is possibly consistent with findings by Kallini et al. (2024), which indicate that LLMs are more adept at processing ‘real’ languages than altered ones.

BASELINE — ENG→‘True’ French						
Test Set Partition	W (no CoT)	W (CoT)	W+IB (no CoT)	W+IB (CoT)	W+G (no CoT)	W+G (CoT)
1.	0.0	0.0	18.0	24.0	60.0	70.0
2m	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	18.0	39.0
2f	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0
3.	0.0	0.0	8.0	8.0	22.0	26.0
4.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0
5m.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
5f.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7m.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7f.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Average	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.7	10.1	14.9

Table 10: Baseline accuracy scores for the eng→art translation direction with ‘true’ French as the conlang matrix.

BASELINE — ENG→‘Reversed’ French						
Test Set Partition	W (no CoT)	W (CoT)	W+IB (no CoT)	W+IB (CoT)	W+G (no CoT)	W+G (CoT)
1.	0.0	0.0	4.0	6.0	22.0	62.0
2m	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	23.0
2f	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	4.0
3.	0.0	0.0	10.0	4.0	30.0	14.0
4.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0
5m.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5f.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7m.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7f.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Average	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.0	5.7	11.1

Table 11: Baseline accuracy scores for the eng→art translation direction with ‘reversed’ French as the conlang matrix.

BASELINE — ‘True’ French→ENG						
Test Set Partition	W (no CoT)	W (CoT)	W+IB (no CoT)	W+IB (CoT)	W+G (no CoT)	W+G (CoT)
1.	0.0	2.0	38.0	90.0	98.0	100.0
2m	0.0	6.0	36.0	76.0	96.0	98.0
2f	0.0	4.0	64.0	86.0	90.0	96.0
3.	0.0	0.0	38.0	24.0	32.0	32.0
4.	0.0	0.0	10.0	18.0	26.0	40.0
5m.	0.0	0.0	4.0	6.0	30.0	40.0
5f.	0.0	0.0	6.0	18.0	24.0	30.0
6	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	50.0	6.0
7m.	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	28.0	8.0
7f.	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	24.0	10.0
Average	0.0	1.2	20.8	32.6	49.8	46.0

Table 12: Baseline accuracy scores for the art→eng translation direction with ‘true’ French as the conlang matrix.

BASELINE — ‘Reversed’ French→ENG						
Test Set Partition	W (no CoT)	W (CoT)	W+IB (no CoT)	W+IB (CoT)	W+G (no CoT)	W+G (CoT)
1.	0.0	0.0	42.0	46.0	96.0	100.0
2m	0.0	0.0	6.0	42.0	76.0	94.0
2f	0.0	2.0	32.0	58.0	82.0	92.0
3.	0.0	0.0	34.0	22.0	36.0	34.0
4.	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	14.0
5m.	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	8.0
5f.	0.0	0.0	4.0	6.0	0.0	2.0
6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0
7m.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7f.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Average</b>	0.0	0.2	11.8	18.6	29.2	34.6

Table 13: Baseline accuracy scores for the art→eng translation direction with ‘reversed’ French as the conlang matrix.