

Responsible NLP Checklist

Paper title: *SpidR-Adapt: A Universal Speech Representation Model for Few-Shot Adaptation*

Authors: *Mahi Luthra, Jiayi Shen, Maxime Poli, Angelo Ortiz Tandazo, Yosuke Higuchi, Youssef Benchekroun, Martin Gleize, Charles-ric Saint-James, Dongyan Lin, Phillip Rust, Angel Villar-Corrales, Surya, Vanessa Stark, Rashel Moritz, Juan Pino, Yann LeCun, Emmanuel Dupoux*

How to read the checklist symbols:

- the authors responded 'yes'
- the authors responded 'no'
- the authors indicated that the question does not apply to their work
- the authors did not respond to the checkbox question

For background on the checklist and guidance provided to the authors, see the [Responsible NLP Checklist](#) page at ACL Rolling Review.

A. Questions mandatory for all submissions.

- A1. Did you describe the limitations of your work?

This paper has a Limitations section.

- A2. Did you discuss any potential risks of your work?

This research does not involve sensitive data, user information, or methods that could be misused. Therefore, we do not foresee any potential risks.

B. Did you use or create scientific artifacts? (e.g. code, datasets, models)

- B4. Did you discuss the steps taken to check whether the data that was collected/used contains any information that names or uniquely identifies individual people or offensive content, and the steps taken to protect/anonymize it?

This research does not involve sensitive data, user information, or offensive content.

- B6. Did you report relevant statistics like the number of examples, details of train/test/dev splits, etc. for the data that you used/created?

All dev and test dataset creation methods and statistics are included.

C. Did you run computational experiments?

- C2. Did you discuss the experimental setup, including hyperparameter search and best-found hyperparameter values?

We performed hyperparameter search using the dev sets and provide justifications for each.

- C3. Did you report descriptive statistics about your results (e.g., error bars around results, summary statistics from sets of experiments), and is it transparent whether you are reporting the max, mean, etc. or just a single run?

Descriptive statistics for metrics (ABX, SLM metrics) are provided.

D. Did you use human annotators (e.g., crowdworkers) or research with human subjects?

- D1. Did you report the full text of instructions given to participants, including e.g., screenshots, disclaimers of any risks to participants or annotators, etc.?

(left blank)

The Responsible NLP Checklist used at ACL Rolling Review is adopted from NAACL 2022, with the addition of ACL 2023 question on AI writing assistance and further refinements based on ARR practice. ACL 2026 used a subset of ARR checklist form.

D2. Did you report information about how you recruited (e.g., crowdsourcing platform, students) and paid participants, and discuss if such payment is adequate given the participants' demographic (e.g., country of residence)?
(left blank)

D3. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating (e.g., did your instructions explain how the data would be used)?
The data used in this work is publicly available and was collected by third parties who have provided appropriate consent mechanisms.

D4. Was the data collection protocol approved (or determined exempt) by an ethics review board?
(left blank)

E. Did you use AI assistants (e.g., ChatGPT, Copilot) in your research, coding, or writing?

E1. If you used AI assistants, did you include information about their use?
Minimal use of AI assistants (e.g., ChatGPT) was involved in the paper writing process in accordance with the policy <https://aclrollingreview.org/cfp>