

Responsible NLP Checklist

Paper title: *Beyond Monolingual Assumptions: A Survey on Code-Switched NLP in the Era of Large Language Models across Modalities*

Authors: *Rajvee Sheth, Samridhi Raj Sinha, Mahavir Patil, Himanshu Beniwal, Mayank Singh*

How to read the checklist symbols:

- the authors responded 'yes'
- the authors responded 'no'
- N/A the authors indicated that the question does not apply to their work
- the authors did not respond to the checkbox question

For background on the checklist and guidance provided to the authors, see the [Responsible NLP Checklist](#) page at ACL Rolling Review.

A. Questions mandatory for all submissions.

- A1. Did you describe the limitations of your work?

This paper has a Limitations section.

- A2. Did you discuss any potential risks of your work?

The work is a survey and conceptual analysis with no new data collection or user interaction; hence, there are no potential ethical or societal risks.

B. Did you use or create scientific artifacts? (e.g. code, datasets, models)

- B4. Did you discuss the steps taken to check whether the data that was collected/used contains any information that names or uniquely identifies individual people or offensive content, and the steps taken to protect/anonymize it?

The paper does not use or process raw data containing personal or offensive content. B6 Statistics For Data: No

- B6. Did you report relevant statistics like the number of examples, details of train/test/dev splits, etc. for the data that you used/created?

The work is a survey and does not introduce new datasets or experiments.

C. Did you run computational experiments?

- N/A C2. Did you discuss the experimental setup, including hyperparameter search and best-found hyperparameter values?

The paper is a survey and does not include computational experiments or model training.

- N/A C3. Did you report descriptive statistics about your results (e.g., error bars around results, summary statistics from sets of experiments), and is it transparent whether you are reporting the max, mean, etc. or just a single run?

The paper is a survey and does not include computational experiments or model training.

D. Did you use human annotators (e.g., crowdworkers) or research with human subjects?

- N/A D1. Did you report the full text of instructions given to participants, including e.g., screenshots, disclaimers of any risks to participants or annotators, etc.?

The paper does not involve new data annotation or human subject studies; it only discusses existing annotated datasets.

The Responsible NLP Checklist used at ACL Rolling Review is adopted from NAACL 2022, with the addition of ACL 2023 question on AI writing assistance and further refinements based on ARR practice. ACL 2026 used a subset of ARR checklist form.

N/A D2. Did you report information about how you recruited (e.g., crowdsourcing platform, students) and paid participants, and discuss if such payment is adequate given the participants' demographic (e.g., country of residence)?

The paper does not involve new data annotation or human subject studies.

N/A D3. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating (e.g., did your instructions explain how the data would be used)?

The paper does not involve new data annotation or human subject studies.

N/A D4. Was the data collection protocol approved (or determined exempt) by an ethics review board?

The paper does not involve new data annotation or human subject studies.

E. Did you use AI assistants (e.g., ChatGPT, Copilot) in your research, coding, or writing?

E1. If you used AI assistants, did you include information about their use?

Ai Assistants such as Claude were used to draft, revise, or proofread sections of the manuscript under the authors supervision.