

## Responsible NLP Checklist

Paper title: *ThinkPersona: Thinking with Persona Graphs for Faithful Individualized Role-Playing*  
Authors: *Yichen Cai, Pei Chen, Jiayang Li, Jingya Guo, Zejian Li, Changyuan Yang, Lingyun Sun*

How to read the checklist symbols:

- the authors responded 'yes'
- the authors responded 'no'
- the authors indicated that the question does not apply to their work
- the authors did not respond to the checkbox question

For background on the checklist and guidance provided to the authors, see the [Responsible NLP Checklist](#) page at ACL Rolling Review.

---

### A. Questions mandatory for all submissions.

- A1. Did you describe the limitations of your work?

*This paper has a Limitations section.*

- A2. Did you discuss any potential risks of your work?

*Yes, we discuss potential risks in Section Ethical Considerations.*

### B. Did you use or create scientific artifacts? (e.g. code, datasets, models)

- B4. Did you discuss the steps taken to check whether the data that was collected/used contains any information that names or uniquely identifies individual people or offensive content, and the steps taken to protect/anonymize it?

*Yes, we discuss in the Ethical Considerations section and Appendix A.9.*

- B6. Did you report relevant statistics like the number of examples, details of train/test/dev splits, etc. for the data that you used/created?

*Yes. We report these statistics in Section 3.3.*

### C. Did you run computational experiments?

- C2. Did you discuss the experimental setup, including hyperparameter search and best-found hyperparameter values?

*Yes. The experimental setup and hyperparameter details are discussed in Section 4, Section 5, and Appendix A.4.*

- C3. Did you report descriptive statistics about your results (e.g., error bars around results, summary statistics from sets of experiments), and is it transparent whether you are reporting the max, mean, etc. or just a single run?

*Yes. We report descriptive statistics in Table 1, Table 3 and Table 5.*

### D. Did you use human annotators (e.g., crowdworkers) or research with human subjects?

- D1. Did you report the full text of instructions given to participants, including e.g., screenshots, disclaimers of any risks to participants or annotators, etc.?

*Yes. The paper reports the instructions and protocols for participants in Section 3.4, Appendix A.5, and Appendix A.8.*

*The Responsible NLP Checklist used at ACL Rolling Review is adopted from NAACL 2022, with the addition of ACL 2023 question on AI writing assistance and further refinements based on ARR practice. ACL 2026 used a subset of ARR checklist form.*

- D2. Did you report information about how you recruited (e.g., crowdsourcing platform, students) and paid participants, and discuss if such payment is adequate given the participants' demographic (e.g., country of residence)?  
*Yes. We report these information in Section 3.4, Appendix A.5, and Appendix A.8.*
- D3. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating (e.g., did your instructions explain how the data would be used)?  
*Yes. We discuss the data consent process and participants' instructions in Section 3.4, Appendix A.5, and Appendix A.8.*
- D4. Was the data collection protocol approved (or determined exempt) by an ethics review board?  
*Yes. The data collection and human evaluation protocols were reviewed and approved by an institutional ethics committee in Appendix A.8.*
- E. Did you use AI assistants (e.g., ChatGPT, Copilot) in your research, coding, or writing?**
- E1. If you used AI assistants, did you include information about their use?  
*Yes. The paper reports the use of AI assistants and LLMs as part of the data generation and extraction pipeline in Section 3.2, Section 3.3, and Section 5.1.*