

Responsible NLP Checklist

Paper title: *View-RL: Asymmetric Policy Optimization for Difficulty-Aware Multimodal Reinforcement Learning*

Authors: *Minjie Hong, Zirun Guo, Jiabao Zhang, Zehan Wang, Ziang Zhang, Tao Jin, Zhou Zhao*

How to read the checklist symbols:

- the authors responded 'yes'
- the authors responded 'no'
- the authors indicated that the question does not apply to their work
- the authors did not respond to the checkbox question

For background on the checklist and guidance provided to the authors, see the [Responsible NLP Checklist](#) page at ACL Rolling Review.

A. Questions mandatory for all submissions.

- A1. Did you describe the limitations of your work?

This paper has a Limitations section.

- A2. Did you discuss any potential risks of your work?

Ethical Considerations Section: We have discussed this in the Ethical Considerations section.

B. Did you use or create scientific artifacts? (e.g. code, datasets, models)

- B4. Did you discuss the steps taken to check whether the data that was collected/used contains any information that names or uniquely identifies individual people or offensive content, and the steps taken to protect/anonymize it?

Ethical Considerations Section: We have discussed this in the Ethical Considerations section.

- B6. Did you report relevant statistics like the number of examples, details of train/test/dev splits, etc. for the data that you used/created?

4.1 Experimental Setup: We have discussed this in Section 4.1 Experimental Setup.

C. Did you run computational experiments?

- C2. Did you discuss the experimental setup, including hyperparameter search and best-found hyperparameter values?

4.1 Experimental Setup: We have discussed this in Section 4.1 Experimental Setup.

- C3. Did you report descriptive statistics about your results (e.g., error bars around results, summary statistics from sets of experiments), and is it transparent whether you are reporting the max, mean, etc. or just a single run?

4.1 Experimental Setup: We have discussed this in Section 4.1 Experimental Setup.

D. Did you use human annotators (e.g., crowdworkers) or research with human subjects?

- D1. Did you report the full text of instructions given to participants, including e.g., screenshots, disclaimers of any risks to participants or annotators, etc.?

Our work did not involve any participants or annotators. All data used come from publicly available open-source datasets, for which instructions and consent were handled by the original dataset creators.

The [Responsible NLP Checklist](#) used at ACL Rolling Review is adopted from [NAACL 2022](#), with the addition of [ACL 2023 question on AI writing assistance](#) and further refinements based on ARR practice. [ACL 2026](#) used a subset of ARR checklist form.

N/A D2. Did you report information about how you recruited (e.g., crowdsourcing platform, students) and paid participants, and discuss if such payment is adequate given the participants' demographic (e.g., country of residence)?

Our work did not involve any participant recruitment or payment. All experiments were conducted using publicly available open-source datasets and models.

N/A D3. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating (e.g., did your instructions explain how the data would be used)?

Our work uses publicly available open-source datasets, for which consent and data-use procedures were handled by the original dataset creators; no new data were collected from human subjects in this study.

N/A D4. Was the data collection protocol approved (or determined exempt) by an ethics review board?

Our work only uses publicly available open-source datasets and models, and does not involve new data collection or human subjects.

E. Did you use AI assistants (e.g., ChatGPT, Copilot) in your research, coding, or writing?

E1. If you used AI assistants, did you include information about their use?

Ethical Considerations Section: We have discussed this in the Ethical Considerations section.