

## Responsible NLP Checklist

Paper title: *FigSIM: A Dataset for Fine-grained Suicide Severity and Figurative Language in Suicide Memes*

Authors: *Liuliu Chen, Elise Carrotte, Brian E. Chapman, Jo Robinson, Mike Conway*

How to read the checklist symbols:

- the authors responded 'yes'
- the authors responded 'no'
- the authors indicated that the question does not apply to their work
- the authors did not respond to the checkbox question

For background on the checklist and guidance provided to the authors, see the [Responsible NLP Checklist](#) page at ACL Rolling Review.

---

### A. Questions mandatory for all submissions.

- A1. Did you describe the limitations of your work?

*This paper has a Limitations section.*

- A2. Did you discuss any potential risks of your work?

*See Ethical Considerations*

### B. Did you use or create scientific artifacts? (e.g. code, datasets, models)

- B4. Did you discuss the steps taken to check whether the data that was collected/used contains any information that names or uniquely identifies individual people or offensive content, and the steps taken to protect/anonymize it?

*See Ethical Considerations*

- B6. Did you report relevant statistics like the number of examples, details of train/test/dev splits, etc. for the data that you used/created?

*See Section 4 (Dataset Analysis), Section 5.1 (Baselines), and Appendix E.1 (Data Split).*

### C. Did you run computational experiments?

- C2. Did you discuss the experimental setup, including hyperparameter search and best-found hyperparameter values?

*See Section 5.1 (Baselines) and Appendix E.2 (Hyperparameters)*

- C3. Did you report descriptive statistics about your results (e.g., error bars around results, summary statistics from sets of experiments), and is it transparent whether you are reporting the max, mean, etc. or just a single run?

*See Section 5.2 (Performance Comparison), 5.3 (Error Analysis), and Appendix F.*

### D. Did you use human annotators (e.g., crowdworkers) or research with human subjects?

- D1. Did you report the full text of instructions given to participants, including e.g., screenshots, disclaimers of any risks to participants or annotators, etc.?

*We provide the full annotation scheme, label definitions, and annotation guidelines in both the paper (Appendix B) and the publicly available dataset repository (<https://github.com/LiuliuChen/FigSIM>).*

---

*The Responsible NLP Checklist used at ACL Rolling Review is adopted from NAACL 2022, with the addition of ACL 2023 question on AI writing assistance and further refinements based on ARR practice. ACL 2026 used a subset of ARR checklist form.*

- D2. Did you report information about how you recruited (e.g., crowdsourcing platform, students) and paid participants, and discuss if such payment is adequate given the participants' demographic (e.g., country of residence)?

*Although we did not report this information in the paper, of the four annotators, three were authors who conducted the annotation as part of their salaried academic employment. The fourth annotator, a registered psychologist, was paid an hourly fee consistent with standard employment practices in the local country.*

- D3. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating (e.g., did your instructions explain how the data would be used)?

*The dataset is derived from Reddit, which is not applicable to seek individual consent. We discussed this in Ethical Considerations.*

- D4. Was the data collection protocol approved (or determined exempt) by an ethics review board?  
*See Ethical Considerations.*

- E. Did you use AI assistants (e.g., ChatGPT, Copilot) in your research, coding, or writing?**

- E1. If you used AI assistants, did you include information about their use?

*AI assistance (ChatGPT) was used only for grammar checking and language polishing, which does not require disclosure under the ACL Policy on AI Writing Assistance.*