

Responsible NLP Checklist

Paper title: *StreamMeCo: Long-Term Agent Memory Compression for Efficient Streaming Video Understanding*

Authors: *Junxi Wang, Te Sun, Jiayi Zhu, Junxian Li, Haowen Xu, Zichen Wen, Xuming Hu, Zhiyu li, Linfeng Zhang*

How to read the checklist symbols:

- the authors responded 'yes'
- the authors responded 'no'
- the authors indicated that the question does not apply to their work
- the authors did not respond to the checkbox question

For background on the checklist and guidance provided to the authors, see the [Responsible NLP Checklist](#) page at ACL Rolling Review.

A. Questions mandatory for all submissions.

A1. Did you describe the limitations of your work?
This paper has a Limitations section.

A2. Did you discuss any potential risks of your work?
This work poses no potential risks.

B. Did you use or create scientific artifacts? (e.g. code, datasets, models)

B4. Did you discuss the steps taken to check whether the data that was collected/used contains any information that names or uniquely identifies individual people or offensive content, and the steps taken to protect/anonymize it?
We exclusively use publicly available open-source datasets, which do not contain any personally identifiable information or offensive content.

B6. Did you report relevant statistics like the number of examples, details of train/test/dev splits, etc. for the data that you used/created?
Relevant statistics of the datasets are provided in Appendix A.

C. Did you run computational experiments?

C2. Did you discuss the experimental setup, including hyperparameter search and best-found hyperparameter values?
We provide a detailed discussion in Section 5 (Experiments).

C3. Did you report descriptive statistics about your results (e.g., error bars around results, summary statistics from sets of experiments), and is it transparent whether you are reporting the max, mean, etc. or just a single run?
For all experiments, we run each setting three times and report the average results.

D. Did you use human annotators (e.g., crowdworkers) or research with human subjects?

D1. Did you report the full text of instructions given to participants, including e.g., screenshots, disclaimers of any risks to participants or annotators, etc.?
We exclusively use publicly available open-source datasets.

The Responsible NLP Checklist used at ACL Rolling Review is adopted from NAACL 2022, with the addition of ACL 2023 question on AI writing assistance and further refinements based on ARR practice. ACL 2026 used a subset of ARR checklist form.

D2. Did you report information about how you recruited (e.g., crowdsourcing platform, students) and paid participants, and discuss if such payment is adequate given the participants' demographic (e.g., country of residence)?

We exclusively use publicly available open-source datasets.

D3. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating (e.g., did your instructions explain how the data would be used)?

We exclusively use publicly available open-source datasets.

D4. Was the data collection protocol approved (or determined exempt) by an ethics review board?

We exclusively use publicly available open-source datasets.

E. Did you use AI assistants (e.g., ChatGPT, Copilot) in your research, coding, or writing?

E1. If you used AI assistants, did you include information about their use?

We only used AI assistants for writing polishing.