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The Multistore system was developed in order to recognize and explain structural patterns in natural-language sentences (specifically English) and eventually yield an output in which the relations between the various items of the sentence are hierarchically displayed.

The recognition of these structural patterns is made by means of a system of rules which operate on a sequence of words, i.e. a sentence, whose individual characteristics are pre-established. By individual characteristics are meant the possibilities a word has to correlate (i.e. to form a syntactic combination) with another item; these possibilities are represented by 'correlators', that is, by syntactic elements which link two items in a correlation. Each word is characterized by a set of pre-established data:
a) the $S$-code, which distinguishes between the various senses of a homograph. For instance, a word like "READ"
will have four different $S^{\prime} s$ to distinguish between:
READ $=$ supine e.g. I CAN READ
READ $=$ past tense
YESTERDAY I READ
READ $=$ past part.
I HAVE READ
READ $=$ noun
A LONG READ
These distinctions are essential,' since whenever a homo-
graph occurs, one and only one of its meanings can be taken into consideration to make the final pattern, un-
less, of course, the sentence is ambiguous and more than
one final pattern is to be recognized, as in:
i) present tense

I READ THE BOOK
ii) past tense
b) the sequence of correlational indices (Ic's), that is, the string of potential links that each word-sense has. Each Ic represents a possible syntactic connection between two items and is identified by:
I) the code number of the relation it establishes between two items;
2) the 'type' of correlation. There are six different types of correlation which split into two groups:'explicit' correlators and 'implicit' correlators.

By 'explicit' correlator we mean a linking element which is represented by a linguistic item; prepositions and conjunctions are explicit correlators; by 'implicit' correlator we mean a relation between two items, which is not expressed by any linguistic item but is indicated by the relative position of the two items (which we call their correlational function).

IMPLICIT CORRELATOR
Type N
$\stackrel{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{AM}}$

Type $M$

Type V


SERIOUSLY, HE LEFT

## EXPLICIT ' CORRELATOR



Type $F$


Type H


For each type there are different correlational functions which determine the position a word has in a correlation. When two adjacent words have complementary functions of the same Ic - for instance, word A has 5050 N 1 and word B has 5050 N 2 - a 'product' is made and recorded in the form: Word A 5050 N Word B

This product is considered as one piece and can become first or second correlatum in a wider correlation and is therefore treated as though it were a single word, i.e., it is assigned strings of Ic's which indicate its correlational possibilities both with adjacent words and with adjacent products already made. Single words, however, being vocabulary items, have their strings of Ic's assigned a priori; products, since they arise during the procedure, have to be assigned their Ic-strings dynamicdlly. The assignation of specific Ic's to a product depends on:
a) the correlator responsible for the particular correlation;
b) the characteristics (Ic's) of the word (or product) which
makes up the first or the second correlatum.
The operational cycle that assigns Ic's to a product we call 'reclassification'.

The amount of data involved in an analysis of this kind is really enormous. Let us consider a sentence consisting of ten words, each of which has two different senses (S's). On an average 50 correlational indices are assigned to each sense of a word. Now, just to check the correlational compatibility of two adjacent words about 10,000 matching operations would be necessary; the matching procedure for all the words of the sentence would involve about 90,000 operations. On an average five products would result from the first 10,000 matching operations; each of them would be assigned about 50 correlational indices that represent the product's correlational possibilities to correlate with a another adjacent piece - either a word or a product. The procedure to match these five products with another piece would involve about 637,000 operations. If to this figures we add the number of operations necessary starting from level 3 (see p. 7) with all the products made in the immediately preceding levels $(200,000)$, the total number of operations involved would come to 927,000.

The reclassification routine also involves a great number of operations of this kind: about half of the correlational indices a product is assigned depends on the corre-

```
lator responsible for that correlation; the other half de-
pends on the strings of indices which the two correlata of
the product have. According to the presence or absence of
specific indices in the strings of the first or second cor-
relatum, pre-established sets of indices are assigned to
the product; or sets of indices are assigned to the product
only if they are present in the strings of its two corre-
lata. The reclassification of each product would require
about 2,000 operations, which means 100,000 for the average
of 50 products in a sentence of }10\mathrm{ words, bringing the to-
tal of operations to over a million only for the matching
procedure. This would imply - for this part of the program
alone - processing times of the order of some seconds of
machine time if the most modern computer is available, or
of about an hour - at best - if the work is done with an
older model.
    The amount of work and money involved in a procedure of
this kind made us try to find a quicker and more economical
way of handling correlational indices: as a result of our
efforts the Multistore system was developed.( Bibl. 1)
    The basic idea of the Multistore consists in pre-estab-
lishing in a given area of the machine's central core as
many separate positions as there are correlators in the
system. The arrangement of these positions representing the
correlators orders them according to type. This assures
that at any point of the procedure each Ic is not used sev-
```

eral times and in different ways according to the diverse data it contains, but only as one single item which by its positional coordinates implies its various significations. Moreover, the Ic's do not have to be compared one by one with the Ic's of other adjacent words or products, but are simply addressed to one and only one pre-established position. Thus the mass of operations of comparison is avoided and also the necessity to ascertain, after every successful match, which items the matched Ic's represent is eliminated, because the very position of the matched Ic's immediately implies what they stand for. To establish whether two Ic's are complementary and represent a correlation thus becomes the simple task of checking already present information according to the rules of sequence, of correlational function, and of correlator type, all of which are implicit in the location of the markers which are being handled.

The Multistore can be represented as a rectangular area divided into lines and columns. (see Fig. 1 below)
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into the Multistore on the second level; this means that
it can enter into combinations only with those words that
belong to the immediately preceding level, or with products
which contain the words of the immediately preceding level.
Such a correlation, whenever it is made, would still belong
to the level of product }x\mathrm{ . In our specific case product }
could correlate only with an item of level zero, which does
not exist, because product x is on level two and already
contains word No. 1. Hence we can formulate a restrictive
rule to the effect that a product can be a potential second
correlatum in an }N\mathrm{ correlation only if its lower level is
larger than l. The Multistore system lends itself to the
introduction of many such restriction rules.
    When on a given level all products that have sprung from
the insertion of markers corresponding to the word of that
level have been reclassified,and the products originating
from that reclassification have, in turn, been reclassified
and have inserted their markers, and there are no more prod-
ucts to be reclassified, then the procedure inserts the next
word and thus begins the next level. This means that once
a subsequent word of the sentence has been inserted, all
preceding words and products become 'inactive' pieces, hav-
ing exhausted every possible attempt of correlation with
'active' pieces; from then on they represent merely latent
correlational possibilities with subsequent items. This
state of inactivity in the case of the last word of the sen-
```
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tence determines the end of the analysis. At this point
the product (or products) that contains all words of the
sentence is called 'complete' and represents the hierarch-
ical structure of the sentence.
    The first tentative program MPl (Bibl. l) was written
for use on a GE 425 computer and its main purpose was to
show the applicability of the Multistore system to corre-
lational grammar and to check the method of programming
based on 'significant addresses'.
    The present program, MP2 (Bibl. 3) , is a revised and
enlarged version of MPl written for use on an IBM 360/67
computer. On the basis of our previous experience it can
be considered an actual working tool.
    Many solutions, as well as many restraints, depend on
the fact that under many respects it is a machine-orient-
ed program. The program is structured on a large area of
the central core, divided into lines and columns, whose
size is 528 x 330 bytes. Each line (330 all together)
consists of }528\mathrm{ bytes and is divided into two sections:
A and B. Section A contains all the data necessary to
define a line; section B consists of 496 bytes, that is,
of as many bytes as there are correlators operative in the
system. Each line specifies as permanent data a reclassi-
fication rule - whose definition is given in section A of
the same line - and the set of indices assigned by that
rule (bit 6). The relevance of the rule to a given product
```



* reclassification rule

Fig. 2
Each byte of section $B$ is divided into 8 bits as illustrated below.


Bits 1 to 4 are therefore used in the matching procedure, whereas bit 5 and 6 are pre-established data to be used in the reclassification routine.

## Procedure

Each s-value of a word occupies one line of the Multistore area and its specifications are recorded in section A of the same line. For each Ic contained in the string of that $S$-value of the word, a marker is inserted, according to the correlational function, in bit 1,2 or 3 of the corresponding byte of the line, that is, in the byte which bears that Ic as label.

According to its function, a marker can be a left-hand piece 'LH', and as such it'is simply recorded, or a righthand piece 'RH', in which case, immediately after it has been recorded, the column is searched for a complementary and contiguous LH piece. If this is found, an indication of product is recorded in the first free line of the Multistore; this address consists of three data: a) the address of the line where the LII piece was found, which is recorded in the area 'first correlatum'; of the line of the product; b) the address of the line where the RH piece was recorded, which is recorded in the area 'second correlatum' and c) the relative address of the column which characterizes both both LH and RH pieces, which is recorded in the area 'correlator'.

After the product's specificatibns are recorded, and if there are no other LH pieces with which the RH piece in hand can combine, the routine for the insertion of Ic's is resumed. If the insertion of the next Ic of that S-value
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of the word causes a new product to be made, the procedure
is repeated and the product is recorded on the next free
line of the Multistore area. Only when all the Ic's of the
piece which has caused the production have been inserted,
the reclassification routine takes place, starting from the
first product newly recorded.
    The information contained in the area 'correlator' of
the line containing the product's record gives the address
of the Multistore column dedicated to the correlator re-
sponsible for that product. The column is then searched,
fron the top down, for a bit 5 set ON (see Fig. 3 on p.l0).
If it is found, this implicitly means that on the line to
which the bit belongs, there will be found the record of a
reclassification rule relevant to the product to be reclas-
sified. Section A of the same line contains the instruct-
ions concerning the assignation of the Ic's whose markers
are contained in bit 6 (see Fig.3). A bit. }6\mathrm{ set ON implicit-
ly indicates either the column in which to check (if the
rule requires it) the record of the first.or second corre-
latum(the addresses of which are recorded in section A
of the line in which the rule is recorded) for the presence
or the absence (as specified by the rule) of bits l to 3
set ON (which represent Ic's); or it may simply indicate
the place in which to insert a marker, i.e. a reclassi-
fication Ic, The routine for the insertion of reclassi-
fication markers is exactly the same as the routine for
the insertion of markers for words.
```



RECLASSIFICATION DIAGRAM

The analysis of the sentence is complete when the last marker of the last word-sense has been inserted and there are no further products to be reclassified or re-cycled. At this point the output routine starts. Three different kinds of output are produced:
a) a list of all the products made in the course of the analysis of the sentence;
b) a list of all Ic's assigned to each product during the reclassification routine ;
c) a graphic representation of the hierarchical structure
of all 'complete' products (that is, containing all
words of the sentence). This structure is equivalent to
a tree structure with words at the terminals and corre-
lators at the nodes. (see Appendix)

This is a general outline of the procedure of combination, production, reclassification and output. In addition to that there are several routines which meet special requirements. A special rule, for instance prevents specific RH pieces from becoming eligible LH pieces once a certain correlation - which contains them as RH pieces $\rightarrow$ has been made. A word like "LITTLE", for instance, in its function as a quantifier, once it has been correlated with the definite article and made the product "THE//LITTLE" cannot become LH piece in the correlation:

IITTLE // HE KNOWS

```
The indication 'discard' on print-out type 'a' - i.e. on
the list of all the products made during the analysis -
will show that "LITTLE" is no more available as LH piece
for any other correlation.
```

```
    Another restraint concerns some 'complete' products
which, though grammatically correct, cannot be accepted
as interpretation of the sentence. For instance, in a
sentence like:
```

THEY // WERE READY
the structure which takes "WERE" as subjunctive is not
acceptable, since it would require something else - an
"IF" or "I WISH" etc. - to precede. In cases like this
the indication 'non-sentence' appears in print-out type
'a'.

A set of special routines serves the purpose of recognizing idiomatic expressions. When one of them is recognized, inserted in the Multistore and reclassified -like any other product - the indication 'idiom' is printed on print-out type 'a'.

The whole program, including the Multistore area and buffers, is contained in the central core of the machine and occupies about 200 K . The system accepts as input, sen-
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tences of up to }16\mathrm{ words - a limit fixed in accordance with
the average length of sentences in scientific texts (Bibl.5)
and ample enough to allow any type of syntactic structure.
Processing-times for l0-word sentences are about 1-1.5 sec-
onds. Our present vocabulary is limited to }150\mathrm{ words for
reasons of punched card maintenance. However, it could be
enlarged without affecting the program.
    The Multistore parser was developed for the automatic
analysis of English sentences on the basis of correlation-
al grammar, but it is in no way limited to this kind of
grammar. Actually, by changing the input parameters, the
symbols of the rules and the matching operations it could
be used to handle the data of any kind of predictive gram-
mar; neither are its dimensions critical; the Multistore
area could be reduced or enlarged simply by altering the
parameters in accordance with the storage capacity avail-
able in the machine.
```
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