

# Overview of the IWSLT 2012 Evaluation Campaign

*Marcello Federico, FBK-irst, Italy*  
*Mauro Cettolo, FBK-irst, Italy*  
*Luisa Bentivogli, CELCT, Italy*  
*Michael Paul, NICT, Japan*  
*Sebastian Stueker, KIT, Germany*

IWSLT, Hong Kong, 6-7 December 2012

---

# Outline

---

- **IWSLT Evaluations**
- **TED Task**
  - **Specifications**
  - **Evaluation**
  - **Results**
  - **Conclusions**
- **OLYMPICS Task**
  - <same items but shorter>**
- **Conclusions**

# IWSLT: almost ten years old!

---

2004 Kyoto, Japan

2005 Pittsburgh, USA

**2006 KYOTO, JAPAN**

2008 Honolulu, USA

2007 Trento, Italy


2009 TOKYO, JAPAN

2010 Paris, France


2011 San Francisco, USA

2012 Hong Kong, China

# IWSLT Evaluation: record of participants



# IWSLT: tasks and languages



# TED Talks

**TED** Ideas worth spreading

Themes	TED Conferences	TED Community	About TED
Speakers	TEDx Events <b>NEW</b>		TED Blog
Talks	TED Prize		
Translations <b>NEW</b>	TED Fellows	Q Search	

## Riveting talks by remarkable people, free to the world

Available in العربية, Deutsch, हिन्दी, ไทย, Русский, and more .... More about the TED Open Translation Project.


### Resize by:

- Newest releases
- Date filmed
- Most languages
- Most emailed this week
- Most comments this week
- Rated jaw-dropping
- ... persuasive
- ... courageous
- ... ingenious
- ... fascinating
- ... inspiring
- ... beautiful
- ... funny
- ... informative

### Show talks related to:


- Technology
- Entertainment
- Design
- Business
- Science
- Global issues
- All

[View all tags »](#)





- .TED LLC is non-profit
- . Two annual venues
- . Short talks
- . Variety of topics
- . Website with:
  - . Videos
  - . Transcripts
  - . Translations
- . CC License

# TED Talks Translations (from English)



# Human task: subtitling and translating

---



- ✓ audio is partitioned
- ✓ speech is segmented into sentences and transcribed
- ✓ translators works on the segmented transcript
- ✓ ideal translation unit is the single caption
- ✓ possible word reordering across captions



# Challenges in TED Task

---

- **Language modelling**

- Limited in-domain training data
- Variability of topics and styles

- **Acoustic modelling**

- Speaker: accent, fluency, speaking rate, style, , ...
- Noise: mumble, applause, laughs, music, ...

- **Translation modelling**

- Distant and under-resourced languages
- Morphologically rich languages

- **Speech Translation**

- From spontaneous speech to polished text
- Detection and annotation of non-speech events
- Subtitling and translating in real-time

# Challenges for 2011

---

## ➤ **Language modelling**

- Limited in-domain training data
- Variability of topics and styles

## ➤ **Acoustic modelling**

- Speaker: accent, fluency, speaking rate, style, , ...
- Noise: mumble, applause, laughs, music, ...

## ➤ **Translation modelling**

- Distant and under-resourced languages
- Morphologically rich languages

## ➤ **Speech Translation**

- From spontaneous speech to polished text
- Detection and annotation of non-speech events
- Subtitling and translating in real-time

# Challenges for 2012

---

## ➤ **Language modelling**

- Limited in-domain training data
- Variability of topics and styles

## ➤ **Acoustic modelling**

- Speaker: accent, fluency, speaking rate, style, , ...
- Noise: mumble, applause, laughs, music, ...

## ➤ **Translation modelling**

- Distant **and under-resourced** languages
- **Morphologically rich languages**

## ➤ **Speech Translation**

- From spontaneous speech to polished text
- Detection and annotation of non-speech events
- Subtitling and translating in real-time

# TED Tracks

---

- **Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR)**

- transcription of talks from audio to text
- English (E)

- **Spoken Language Translation (SLT)**

- automatic translation of talks from audio (or ASR output) to text
- English-French (EF)

- **Machine Translation (MT)**

- Automatic translation of talks from text to text
- English-French (EF), Arabic-English (AE) +

- X to English unofficial pairs**

- X= Chinese, Dutch, German, Polish, Portuguese(B),  
Romanian, Russian, Slovak, Slovene, Turkish.

# TED Task Resources

---

## ➤ **Speech**

- *any publicly available* data recorded before 31 Dec '10

## ➤ **Bilingual texts**

- TED: for all language pairs
- Multi-UN: EF(251Mw), CE (200Mw), AE (220Mw)
- WMT: EF(800Mw)

## ➤ **Monolingual texts**

- TED: E(2.4Mw), F(2.4Mw)
- LDC: Giga English, Giga French
- Google Book N-grams of English and French

## ➤ **Dev sets**

- ASR: 8 talks (segmented and transcribed)
- SLT: + ASR outputs (1-best and lattices)
- MT: 8+11 talks with one reference

# TED Task Specifications

---

Conditions	ASR	SLT	MT
Input: Pre-segmented	yes	yes	yes
Input: Cased & Punctuated		no	yes
Output: Cased & Punctuated	no	yes	yes
Automatic evaluation <sup>(1)</sup>	yes	yes	yes
<b>Human evaluation (official)</b>		yes	yes


Metrics	ASR	SLT	MT
WER	✓	✓	✓
BLEU, NIST		✓	✓
METEOR, GTM		✓	✓
PER, TER		✓	✓

(1) Prepared non trivial reference baselines for all MT directions.

# Evaluation Schedule

---

## ➤ Timeline



## ➤ Submissions

- One primary run, multiple secondary runs
- Runs both on TST12 and TST11 (progress test)
- Scores computed by evaluation server

# TED Task Participants

---

	<b>Group</b>	<b>System</b>
IT	Fondazione Bruno Kessler	FBK
DE	Karlsruhe Institute of Technology	KIT
FR	Laboratory of Informatics of Grenoble	LIG
US	Mass. Institute of Technology/Air Force Research Lab.	MIT-LL
JP	Nara Institute of Science and Technology	NAIST
JP	National Institute of Communications Technology	NICT
DJ	KIT & NAIST Collaboration	KIT-NAIST
TR	Center of Research for Advanced Technologies	TUBIKAT
PL	Polish-Japanese Institute of Information Technology	PJIT
RO	Research Institute for AI of the Romanian Academy	RACAI
DE	Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule Aachen	RWTH
UK	University of Edinburgh	UEDIN




# TED Task Submissions

	ASR EN	SLT EF	MT EF	Ar	De	NI	PI	Pt	Ro	Ru	Sk	Tr	Zh
FBK	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓	
KIT	✓	✓	✓										
LIG			✓										
MIT	✓	✓	✓	✓									
NAIST	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
NICT	✓									✓			✓
PJIIT							✓						
RACAI									✓				
RWTH	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						✓		✓
TUBIKAT				✓								✓	
UEDIN	✓	✓	✓		✓								
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>

# Subjective Evaluation for SLT/MT

---



Judges recruited through crowd sourcing  
Applied pair-wise MT output comparisons  
Adopted new tournament scheme system for ranking

# Pair-wise comparison (sentence)

---




Ref: Think about this as a pixel, a flying pixel.

Sys 1: This is a pixel, a pixel.

Sys 2: Take this as a pixel, a flight pixel.

and the winner is ... **System 2!**


# Pair-wise comparison (subset of test set)



Ref: <sentence 1>  
Sys 1:<output 1>  
Sys 2:<output 1>



Ref: <sentence 2>  
Sys 1:<output 2>  
Sys 2:<output 2>



Ref: <sentence 3>  
Sys 1:<output 3>  
Sys 2:<output 3>



Ref: <sentence 4>  
Sys 1:<output 4>  
Sys 2:<output 4>

...



Ref: <sentence 400>  
Sys 1:<output 400>  
Sys 2:<output 400>

Wins: 1 = 33%, 2= 44%, T 23%

The winner is System 2!


# TED Task: Subjective Ranking

---

- Performed on the progress test set (*tst2001*)
  - to measure progress wrt 2011 systems
- New tournament scheme:
  - from **Round Robin** (RR) to **Double Elimination** (DE)
  - as reliable as RR
    - Tested on 2011 subjective evaluation data  
(SLT-EF, MT-EF, MT-AE, MT-CE tasks)
    - DE and RR rankings were the same on all tasks
  - DE scheme is more cost effective than RR
    - 16 vs. 28 pairwise comparisons (with 8 systems)

# DE Tournament

## 8-player scheme:



➤ Use BLEU as ranking seed and start with:

A-B    C-D    E-F    G-H  
 $P_1-P_8$  ;  $P_5-P_4$  ;  $P_3-P_6$  ;  $P_7-P_2$

➤ Double elimination: each player must lose twice to be kicked out

➤ Consolation play-offs at each stage of the tournament to rank all players

# DE tournament scheme

---

- Seed: BLEU scores on the entire 2011 test set
- Ties: resolved by counting micro “win” judgments instead of “win” labels from majority voting
- Repeated matches: use result of first match
- Results for matches involving 2011 systems were taken from IWSLT 2011 evaluation data

# Subjective rankings

---

- **SLT-EF**: all 4 runs of 2012 + best 4 runs of 2011
- **MT-AE**: all 5 runs of 2012 + best 2 runs of 2011 + 2012 baseline
- **MT-EF**: two subsequent tournaments
  - (1) find best 4 runs among 2012 submissions
  - (2) rank top 4 runs of 2012 + top 4 runs of 2011

TASK	#SYSTEMS	#MATCHES	IAA ( <i>Fleiss k</i> )
SLT-EF	8	<b>15</b> (1 repeated match)	0.2263
MT-AE	8	<b>14</b> (2 repeated matches)	0.2496
MT-EF	12	<b>22</b> (6 repeated matches)	0.2861



# TED Task Results: ASR

---

Run	TST11 WER%	TST12 WER%
NICT	10.9	12.1
KIT-NAIST	12.0	12.4
KIT	12.0	12.7
MITLL	11.1	13.3
RWTH	13.4	13.6
UEDIN	12.4	14.4
FBK	15.4	16.8

Best WER on TST11 was 13.5% = 19% improvement!

# Excerpt of ASR outputs [tst2011]

---

my name is joshua walters  
i mean if you are whoppers  
\* \* \* \* \* walters

i am a performer  
i am a performer  
i am a performer

but as far as being a performer i am also  
\* \* and partly \* performer i am also ...  
\* \* \* and with this fiery performer i am also ...

errors and fixes

(IWSLT ASR TST11, Talk 1178,  
ref, best '11, best '12)

# Excerpt of ASR outputs [tst2011]

---

i reframe that as a positive because the crazier i get  
a refrain that as a positive because the crazy i get  
i refrain that as a positive because the crazier i get

when i was sixteen in san francisco i had my  
and i was sixteen and severance cisco \* had my  
when i was sixteen in san francisco i had my

you know scary but actually there's no amount of  
so you know scary but actually there's no matter \*  
a no scary but actually there's no matter \*

(IWSLT ASR TST11, Talk 1178,  
ref, best '11, best '12)

# TED Task Results: SLT English-French

---

## BLEU Ranking

(used for tournament seeding)

Ranking	System	BLEU score
1	KIT <sub>12</sub>	28.86
2	LIUM <sub>11</sub>	28.23
3	RWTH <sub>12</sub>	27.85
4	KIT <sub>11</sub>	26.78
5	RWTH <sub>11</sub>	26.76
6	UEDIN <sub>12</sub>	26.54
7	LIG <sub>11</sub>	24.85
8	MITLL <sub>12</sub>	24.27

# TED Task Results: MT English-French

---

Find top 4 systems among 2012 participants ...

## **BLEU Ranking**

(used for tournament seeding)

<b>Ranking</b>	<b>System</b>	<b>BLEU score</b>
1	UEDIN <sub>12</sub>	39.01
2	RWTH <sub>12</sub>	38.66
3	KIT <sub>12</sub>	38.49
4	NAIST <sub>12</sub>	37.90
5	FBK <sub>12</sub>	37.43
6	LIG <sub>12</sub>	36.88
7	BASELINE <sub>12</sub>	33.90
8	MITLL <sub>12</sub>	31.44

# TED Task Results: MT English French

---

... and let them compete against top 4 runs of 2011

## **BLEU Ranking**

(used for tournament seeding)

<b>Ranking</b>	<b>System</b>	<b>BLEU score</b>
1	UEDIN <sub>12</sub>	39.01
2	RWTH <sub>12</sub>	38.66
3	KIT <sub>12</sub>	38.49
4	KIT <sub>11</sub>	37.65
5	LIG <sub>12</sub>	36.88
6	LIMSI <sub>11</sub>	36.49
7	MITLL <sub>11</sub>	35.28
8	DFKI <sub>11</sub>	34.39

# TED Task Results: MT Arabic-English

---

## BLEU Ranking

(used for tournament seeding)

Ranking	System	BLEU score
1	RWTH <sub>12</sub>	27.28
2	RWTH <sub>11</sub>	26.32
3	FBK <sub>12</sub>	25.46
4	FBK <sub>11</sub>	24.31
5	TUBITAK <sub>12</sub>	23.85
6	NAIST <sub>12</sub>	23.65
7	BASELINE <sub>12</sub>	22.08
8	MITLL <sub>12</sub>	17.99

# MT excerpt: Arabic-English

---

And his brother said, "I just want to be able to talk to Tony again. I just want to be able to communicate with him and him to be able to communicate with me." And I said, "Wait a second, isn't that -- I've seen Stephen Hawking -- don't all paralyzed people have the ability to communicate via these devices?" And he said, "No, unless you're in the upper echelon, you've got really amazing insurance, you can't actually do that. These devices aren't accessible to people." (Human reference)

And he said his brother: "I just want to be Tony able to talk again. I just want to be able to communicate with him and to be able to communicate with us. And I said, "Wait a second, didn't see Stephen Hawking -- a physicist, paralyzed -- that people living in paralysis have the ability to communicate through these devices?" And he said, "No, unless you belong to the elite, you may be that I got insurance, you can't take advantage of that. These devices are not available to all people." (Best Arabic-English MT system 2011)

And he said his brother: «Tony, I just want to be able to talk again. I just want to be able to communicate with him and to be able to communicate with us. And I said, «Wait a second, didn't see a physicist Stephen Hawking, paralyzed -- that have the ability to communicate through these devices?» And he said, «No, unless you belong to the elite, you may be that got insurance amazing you can't take advantage of that. These devices are not available to all people . » (Best Arabic-English MT system 2012)



# MT excerpt: Arabic-English

---

And his brother said, "I just want to be able to talk to Tony again. I just want to be able to communicate with him and him to be able to communicate with me." And I said, "Wait a second, isn't that -- I've seen Stephen Hawking -- don't all paralyzed people have the ability to communicate via these devices?" And he said, "No, unless you're in the upper echelon, you've got really amazing insurance, you can't actually do that. These devices aren't accessible to people." *(Human reference)*

And he said his brother: "I just want to be Tony able to talk again. I just want to be able to communicate with him and [him] to be able to communicate with us. And I said, "Wait a second, didn't see Stephen Hawking -- a physicist, paralyzed -- that people living in paralysis have the ability to communicate through these devices?" And he said, "No, unless you belong to the elite, you may be that I got insurance, you can't take advantage of that. These devices are not available to all people." *(Best Arabic-English MT system 2011)*

And he said his brother: «Tony, I just want to be able to talk again. I just want to be able to communicate with him and [him] to be able to communicate with us. And I said, «Wait a second, didn't see a physicist Stephen Hawking, paralyzed -- that have the ability to communicate through these devices?» And he said, «No, unless you belong to the elite, you may be that got insurance<sub>2</sub> amazing<sub>1</sub> you can't take advantage of that. These devices are not available to all people .” *(Best Arabic-English MT system 2012)*

# MT excerpts: German/Portuguese-English

---

And his brother said, "I just want to be able to talk to Tony again. I just want to be able to communicate with him and him to be able to communicate with me." And I said, "Wait a second, isn't that -- I've seen Stephen Hawking -- don't all paralyzed people have the ability to communicate via these devices?" And he said, "No, unless you're in the upper echelon, you've got really amazing insurance, you can't actually do that. These devices aren't accessible to people." *(Human reference)*

And his brother said, "I want to talk with Tony. I just want to share with him." And I said, "Wait a minute, isn't it - I saw Stephen Hawking - can't communicate all paralyzed people using these devices? » And he said, "No, no, it's only when you get to the higher class and has a remarkable insurance, you can do that. Normal people are not available for these devices." *(Best German-English MT system 2012)*

The brother said, "I just want to go back to talk to Tony. I just wanted to be able to communicate with him, and he with me." And I said, "Wait a minute, it's not that -- I saw Stephen Hawking -- people with paralysis can communicate with these devices, can't?" And he said, "No, unless you're of his top, or to have a life insurance fantastic, in fact, it's not possible. People don't have access to these devices." *(Best Portuguese-English MT system 2012)*

# MT excerpts: German/Portuguese-English


---

And his brother said, "I just want to be able to talk to Tony again. I just want to be able to communicate with him and him to be able to communicate with me." And I said, "Wait a second, isn't that -- I've seen Stephen Hawking -- don't all paralyzed people have the ability to communicate via these devices?" And he said, "No, unless you're in the upper echelon, you've got really amazing insurance, you can't actually do that. These devices aren't accessible to people." *(Human reference)*


And his brother said, "I want to talk with Tony. I just want to share with him." And I said, "Wait a minute, isn't it - I saw Stephen Hawking - can't **communicate**<sub>4</sub> **all**<sub>1</sub> **paralyzed**<sub>2</sub> **people**<sub>3</sub> using these devices? » And he said, "No, no, it's only when you get to the higher class and **has** a remarkable insurance, you can do that. **Normal**<sub>7</sub> **people**<sub>8</sub> **are**<sub>3</sub> **not**<sub>4</sub> **available**<sub>5</sub> **for**<sub>6</sub> **these**<sub>1</sub> **devices**<sub>2</sub>." *(Best German-English MT system 2012)*

**The** brother said, "I just want to **go back** to talk to Tony. I just **wanted** to be able to communicate with him, and he with me." And I said, "Wait a minute, it's not that -- I saw Stephen Hawking -- people with paralysis can communicate with these devices, can't **[they]**?" And he said, "No, unless you're **of his** top, or **to** have a **life**<sub>2</sub> **insurance**<sub>3</sub> **fantastic**<sub>1</sub>, in fact, it's not possible. People don't have access to these devices." *(Best Portuguese-English MT system 2012)*

# MT Results: official and unofficial tracks



# MT Results: official and unofficial tracks



# TED Task Conclusions

---

- Three tracks: ASR (en), SLT (en-fr) and MT (en-fr,ar-en)
  - 12 teams, 44 primary runs
- Subjective evaluation with double-elimination tournament
- Evaluation with new and progress evaluation sets
  - We measures significant improvements wrt 2011
- New unofficial MT tracks with 10 language pairs
- Released TED parallel data for new languages pairs
- Prepared not-trivial baseline systems for all directions
  - Best MT systems were mostly better than baseline

# OLYMPICS Task

---

## Dialog Translation:

- human dialogs in travel situations
- translation of transcribed dialogs
- small vocabulary task
- Olympics domain
- Chinese to English

## Challenges:

- sentence structure differences (non-parallel sentences)
- out-of-vocabulary words

## Participants:

- 4 teams, 4 primary and 4 contrastive runs
- SMT architectures:
  - + phrase-based (HIT, NICT) and syntax-based (POSTECH)
  - + syntax-based EBMT (KYOTO-U)

# OLYMPICS Task: Language Resources

---

## **Olympic Trilingual Corpus** (HIT)

- + a multilingual corpus covering 5 domains (traveling, dining, sports, traffic and business) closely related to the Beijing 2008 Olympic Games
- + dialogs, example sentences, web articles, language teaching materials
- + train: 50k, develop: 2k, evaluation: 1k
  - ⇒ single reference translations only

## **Basic Travel Expression Corpus** (BTEC)

- + a multilingual speech corpus containing tourism-related sentence
- + training and evaluation data sets of previous IWSLT tasks
- + train: 20k, develop: 3k
  - ⇒ up to 16 reference translations



# OLYMPICS Task: Specifications

---

## Evaluation Specifications:

- » case-sensitive with punctuation included
- » *automatic evaluation* : all primary and contrastive runs
- » *human assessment*: primary runs only

## Evaluation:

**Objective evaluation (BLEU scores)**

**Subjective Ranking (Pair-wise Comparison, Round Robin)**

**Subjective Adequacy**

- + human judgments : How much information of input is retained in translation?  
(5: *all* / 4: *most* / 3: *much* / 2: *little* / 1: *none*)
- + **median score** of all evaluated sentences of a single system
- + **with / without taking into account dialog context**

# OLYMPICS Task: Results

---

## Objective

System	BLEU%
HIT	19.17
NAIST-NICT	16.95
KYOTO-U	12.79
POSTECH	12.16

## Subjective ranking

System	Better%
HIT	38.08
NAISTNICT	30.25
KYOTO-U	21.50
POSTECH	8.50

## Subjective adequacy

System	w/o context	with context
HIT	3.17	3.42
NAISTNICT	3.00	
KYOTO-U	2.90	
POSTECH	2.49	

---

# OLYMPICS Task: Conclusions

---

- Four participants:
- Consistent rankings were obtained for human assessment
- Differences between all system are statistically significant
- Findings:
  - Improvement of sentence alignment quality via filtering
  - No improvement by adding Wikipedia data
  - Phrase-based SMT better than tree-based SMT

**Thank you**

# Credits

---

- **IWSLT Evaluation Team**

Christian Girardi, Giovanni Moretti,  
Michael Paul, Sebastian Stüker,

- **Language resources**

- TED LLC, USA (Talk data)
- Workshop Machine Translation (Giga and news data)
- DFKI, Germany (United Nations data)

- **Funding**

- EUBRIDGE IST 287658
- Grant by European Association for Machine Translation
- Concept for the Future, German Excellence Initiative

**Questions?**