

Volume 14, No. 1
January 2010



Jost is an ATA-certified English-to-German translator and a localization and translation consultant. A native of Hamburg, Germany, Jost earned a Ph.D. in the field of Chinese translation history and linguistics in 1996. He began working in localization and technical translation in 1997. In 1999 he co-founded International Writers' Group (www.internationalwriters.com). Jost is also the publisher of the Tool Kit, a free technical newsletter for translation professionals (www.internationalwriters.com/toolkit). His computer guide for translators, *A Translator's Tool Box for the 21st Century*, was published in 2003. His latest endeavor is TranslatorsTraining.com, a site that offers in-depth comparisons of translation tools.

Jost can be reached at jzetzsche@internationalwriters.com.

[Front Page](#)

Select one of the previous 50 issues.

Select an issue:

 [Index 1997-2009](#)

 [TJ Interactive: Translation Journal Blog](#)

Translator Profiles

 [Twenty Years of Steady Workload](#)

by Andrei Gerasimov



Pondering and Wondering

by Jost Zetzsche

*W*hat better time than the end of the year to sit back and ponder the things that have happened in the past year and wonder what the next year will bring. (I won't even try to talk about the last and next decade!)

There is a lot to wonder and ponder: What has been particularly notable in the past year in our industry? What were the things that riled us up, enraged us, excited us, or disgusted us?

I think that TM technology in concert with terminology resources should form the foundation of the tool kit of every translator.

One thing that comes to mind immediately has to be *crowdsourcing*. I have written about this a bit in the past and have tried to encourage us not to have the knee-jerk response that so many of us first had when we rejected the encroachment of crowdsourcing into our territory, a territory

that we felt entitled to. I've encouraged the translation industry to be actively involved in shaping this (only seemingly) new concept into an opportunity that we can live with and in fact profit from.

Another of last year's notable topics was clearly *machine translation*. If you have not been involved in several discussions about machine translation with colleagues or other peers this past year, it's time for you to go out and get a social life! Companies like Google, Microsoft, Asia Online, and others have been pushing us to reconsider the applicability of machine translation on the basis of usability. The very concept of quality--which we also have had a love-hate relationship with for a long time--has seriously come under fire. The argument goes like this: Since translation quality is very abstract and arguable (yes, we would all agree here), the only relevant measure for translation is usefulness. For some kinds of texts, high stylistic standards are very important (think: literature, marketing); for others it's accuracy (think: legal, medical); and for still others the only thing that counts is the transfer of information (think: social networks, some technical documentation, customer support data). You may disagree with those classifications, but these are the lines that many

The Profession

[The Bottom Line](#)

by Fire Ant & Worker Bee

Translators Around the World

[Where Can I Find a Chinese Sworn Translator in Rio de Janeiro?](#)

by Danilo Nogueira and Kelli Semolini

Cultural Aspects of Translation

[Culture-Specific Items in Literary Translations](#)

by Sepideh Firoozkoobi

Medical Translation

[How Many Varieties of Medical Practice Are There?](#)

by Rafael A. Rivera, M.D., FACP

Science & Technology

[Translating a Patent: Translator's Templates](#)

by Kriemhild (Karen) Zerling

Translators and the Computer

[Automatic Web Translators as Part of a Multilingual Question-Answering \(QA\) System: Translation of Questions](#)

by Lola García-Santiago and María-Dolores Olvera-Lobo

[The Efficacy of Round-trip Translation for MT Evaluation](#)

by Milam Aiken and Mina Park

Arts & Entertainment

[Empirical Study of Subtitled Movies](#)

by Maria Bernschütz, Ph.D.

very large corporations are drawing when deciding what to give to translators and what to have machine translation do.

And then there is another topic that has come charging to the forefront in just the last few months: the *availability of large amounts of bilingual data* that can be used in translation memories.

Here is just a sampling:

- [MyMemory](#): A colossal translation memory of presently around 300 million segments that contains data from web alignments (app. 30% of the total data), corpora such as the EU corpus (app. 50%, see "DGT TM" below), and TM contributions from translators. It offers terminology searches, download and upload of translation memories in TMX (the Translation Memory eXchange format), editing capabilities for users, and a strong tie-in to machine translation.
- [BigTM](#): A custom translation search engine that can be used by LSPs or translators. You can submit the translatable text or a sample of it, and the system goes out on the web to search for pages similar to the source text that already have translations in the target language. Within 24 hours it then provides a searchable index of the discovered parallel pages that allows you to look up how terms or phrases were translated by others in the past. (This product is still in its beta phase.)
- [OPUS](#): An open-source parallel corpus with a large number of bilingual files in many language directions containing such varied materials as data from the European Medicines Agency, the European constitution, the European Parliament Proceedings, the OpenOffice.org corpus, the opensubtitles.org corpus, and various open-source localization and software documentation files. The author of the site is a researcher working in natural language processing and machine translation, so the files are not especially made for translation memory-most of them are in a text format-but they're nothing that could not be converted to a TM-compatible format or even TMX (and the files for the European Medicine Agency are in fact in TMX).
- [TAUS Data Association \(TDA\)](#): The TAUS Data Association (or TDA) is an association of mostly large corporate translation buyers who originally came together to pool their translation memory data to better train their machine translation engines. TDA has now just announced that they have launched a relatively low-priced Professional membership category that allows you to download 10 times the amount of data that you upload. Also, as a "by-product" they have opened the data up to the public as a terminology resource. Both the terminology searches and the TMX download can be categorized according to client and a (rather coarse) subject taxonomy. Presently (December 2009) the complete corpus includes about 1 billion words.
- [The DGT TM](#): The humongous translation memory for the Acquis Communautaire (the body of EU law) in 22 languages and a total of 231 language pairs. It's available as a free download and the data is presented in TMX format.

Literary Translation

- [La influencia de Voltaire en el primer Hamlet español](#)
Laura Campillo Arnaiz

Translators' Education

- [English Language Teaching Through the Translation Method \(A Practical Approach to Teaching Mongolian CPAs\)](#)
by Dr. Naveen K. Mehta

Translators' Tools

- [Pondering and Wondering](#)
by Jost Zetsche

- [Translators' Emporium](#)

Caught in the Web

- [Web Surfing for Fun and Profit](#)
by Cathy Flick, Ph.D.

- [Translators' On-Line Resources](#)
by Gabe Bokor

- [Translators' Best Websites](#)
by Gabe Bokor

- [Call for Papers and Editorial Policies](#)

- [Linguee](#): Linguee is a very large corpus of English-into-German-into-English data (other language pairings will be released in 2010) of web-based translated materials. The web-based data is matched up with the help of a large custom dictionary and other web-based dictionaries. Though the data is not categorized, every entry is accompanied by a link to the originating webpage where webpages or whole websites can be downloaded and aligned (i.e., converted into a translation memory).

And then there are translation environment tools (TENTs) like [Lingotek's suite of tools](#), [Google Translator Toolkit](#), and [Wordfast's VLTM](#) that are built around the concept of anonymous data sharing through translation memories or alignment tools like [AlignFactory](#) and [NoBabel's AutoAligner](#) that have finally made alignment of large amounts of web-based contents feasible.

So what are we going to do with all of this? Is this sudden flood of data going to be helpful or harmful to our productivity via translation memory technology? The short answer is: I don't know. But I do have an inkling.

When I first started to use translation environment tools (TENTs), I was very eager to build up my own data so that I could benefit from my past labor. My "Big Mama TM" grew and grew, and I was always excited to find matches from (almost) forgotten previous projects. As the years passed, I continued to use and feed my meanwhile obscenely large Big Mama TM, but her usefulness seemed to decline rather than improve. Too much time had passed between the earlier projects and the current ones to really classify them when matches were displayed (despite every translation unit being described with subject and client information). In addition, language had changed and my skill levels had, too, causing a lot of time to be spent deleting or wading through useless suggestions from the TM. The fact that many of the newer TENTs now also offered subsegment matching that allowed them to dig even deeper into the language materials did not help either.

I have increasingly come to realize that while large amounts of data are very powerful, they can also be very distracting if they a) originate from a subject matter or client that uses a different terminology or style; b) come from dated or obsolete sources; and c) come from sources with a different quality level.

So what does it mean to have all these gigantic data vaults at our disposal if my conclusions are true? I think that many of them are fantastic as reference materials, but I am just not sure about their value as translation memory data in the classic sense. And it's important to keep in mind that many of these resources were not produced for translation memory purposes (even though that may be their origin), but to feed the ever-hungry statistically based machine translation engines with their favorite food: bilingual data.

Am I suddenly advocating the dismissal of translation memory technology? Not on your life! I still think that TM technology in concert with terminology resources should form the

foundation of the tool kit of every translator who works on functional texts. But I have also come to the realization that raw data, including translation memory data, has no value per se. The value of data for the human translator is in its quality and appropriateness.

Here's to a good and successful 2010 and 20-teens!



© Copyright *Translation Journal* and the Author 2010
URL: <http://translationjournal.net/journal/51pondering.htm>
Last updated on: 12/19/2009 22:00:34